https://doi.org/10.33472/AFJBS.6.6.2024.1610-1614



Affecting computing for social justice

Dr. Sapna Sukrut Deo1, Prof. Dr. Pooja Prashant Narwadkar2, Dr. Madhura Kalamkar3, Dr. Aishwarya Yadav4 and Mr. Jatin Sethi5 1Dean, School of Law, MIT ADT University, Pune, Email sapnadeo242@gmail.com 2Principal, Bharati Vidyapeeth's New Law College Sangli 3Principal, Hindi Vidya Prachar Samiti's College of Law, Ghatkopar, Mumbai 4Assistant Professor, DES Shri Navalmal Firodia Law College, Pune 5Research Scholar, School of Law, MIT ADT University, Pune *Corresponding Author : sapna.deo@mituniversity.edu.in

Article Info

Volume 6, Issue 6, 2024 Received: 28 March 2024 Accepted: 03 May 2024 doi: 10.33472/AFJBS.6.6.2024.1610-1614

Abstract

The concept of computing for social justice underscores the pivotal role of technology in addressing societal inequalities and fostering inclusive, equitable, and just communities. This abstract explores the key aspects of computing for social justice, emphasizing its potential to impact various domains, including education, healthcare, and economic empowerment. It examines the importance of ethical AI, digital literacy, and equitable access to technology in achieving social justice. By synthesizing computational innovations with ethical considerations, this approach seeks to bridge the digital divide and empower marginalized communities. The need for computing in the pursuit of social justice is significant and arises from several key factors. Firstly, computing enables the collection, analysis, and interpretation of vast amounts of data. By leveraging computational tools and techniques, researchers and activists can uncover patterns of inequality,

discrimination, and systemic biases. This data-driven approach provides evidence to support social justice initiatives, identify areas of concern, and advocate for change. It allows for a deeper understanding of the root causes of social injustices and helps in formulating targeted interventions.

Key words: Computing, social justice, technology, ethical AI, digital literacy, equitable access.

Computing has become an integral part of our daily lives, transforming the way we communicate, work, and interact with the world around us. With the rapid advancement of technology, the potential for computing to influence and shape social justice has never been greater. Social justice refers to the fair and equitable distribution of resources, opportunities, and privileges within a society, aiming to address and eliminate systemic inequalities.

In recent years, computing has emerged as a powerful tool for advancing social justice by enabling individuals and communities to address pressing social issues, amplify marginalized voices, and promote inclusivity. From utilizing data analysis to uncover patterns of discrimination, to leveraging social media platforms for activism and awareness, computing has opened up new avenues for social change and empowerment [1].

The impact of computing on social justice is multifaceted, encompassing various aspects of our society. It has the potential to address disparities in education, healthcare, criminal justice, and beyond. By leveraging technology, individuals and organizations can create innovative solutions, bridge gaps in access and opportunity, and challenge existing power structures.

Dr. Sapna Sukrut Deo /Afr.J.Bio.Sc. 6(6) (2024)

However, it is important to recognize that computing can also exacerbate existing social injustices if not utilized responsibly. Algorithms and artificial intelligence systems, for example, can inadvertently perpetuate bias and discrimination if not properly designed and implemented. The digital divide, the gap in access to technology and digital literacy, can further marginalize already disadvantaged communities [2].

To fully harness the potential of computing for social justice, it is crucial to address these challenges and ensure that technology is developed and deployed in an ethical and inclusive manner. This requires collaboration between technologists, policymakers, activists, and communities affected by social injustices. It demands a commitment to diversity, equity, and inclusion in the tech industry and the recognition that technology alone cannot solve deeply rooted social problems [3].

In this exploration of computing for social justice, we will delve into various aspects of its impact, examining real-world examples of how computing has been leveraged to promote equality, inclusivity, and positive social change. We will also explore the challenges and considerations that arise in this domain and discuss the importance of an ethical and responsible approach to computing for social justice.

By understanding the potential of computing and its intersection with social justice, we can work towards a more equitable and just society, where technology becomes a catalyst for positive change rather than a perpetuator of inequality.

Need of Computing for Social Justice:

The need for computing in the pursuit of social justice is significant and arises from various factors. Initially, computing enables the collection, analysis, and interpretation of vast amounts of data. By leveraging computational tools and techniques, researchers and activists can uncover patterns of inequality, discrimination, and systemic biases. This data-driven approach provides evidence to support social justice initiatives, identify areas of concern, and advocate for change. It allows for a deeper understanding of the root causes of social injustices and helps in formulating targeted interventions [4].

Additionally, computing platforms, such as social media and online forums, offer marginalized communities a means to amplify their voices and share their experiences. These platforms enable individuals to connect, organize, and mobilize for social justice causes, reaching a global audience and challenging dominant narratives. Computing provides a democratized space for marginalized perspectives to be heard and recognized. It empowers individuals to share their stories, build solidarity, and advocate for the changes they seek [5].

Computing also plays a crucial role in addressing the issue of access to information and resources. Online platforms and educational tools facilitate access to knowledge that was once limited to specific groups or geographic locations. Computing can bridge the digital divide by providing opportunities for education, skill development, and economic empowerment. It enables individuals from disadvantaged communities to access learning materials, connect with mentors, and find economic opportunities. By reducing barriers to entry, computing opens up new pathways for marginalized groups to participate in and benefit from the digital age.

Furthermore, computing offers innovative solutions and technologies that can directly address social justice issues. From mobile applications for reporting human rights abuses to blockchain-based systems for transparent and accountable governance, technology can be harnessed to create positive change. Computing provides the tools and infrastructure necessary to develop and implement scalable interventions in areas such as healthcare, education, environmental sustainability, and more. It enables the creation of innovative solutions that can tackle long-standing social justice challenges and drive meaningful impact [6].

Equity in algorithmic decision-making is another critical area where computing can contribute to social justice. Algorithms and artificial intelligence systems play an increasingly prominent role in decision-making processes, ranging from hiring practices to criminal justice sentencing. It is crucial to ensure that these algorithms are designed and implemented with social justice considerations in mind. Computing professionals and researchers can work towards developing fair and unbiased algorithms, reducing the potential for algorithmic discrimination and amplifying social justice outcomes. This requires a focus on diversity, inclusivity, and rigorous evaluation of algorithmic systems [7].

Lastly, computing facilitates collaboration among diverse stakeholders working towards social justice. It allows for the sharing of knowledge, resources, and best practices across geographical boundaries. Online platforms and digital tools foster networks of individuals and organizations dedicated to social justice causes, enabling collective action and collaboration on a global scale. Computing creates spaces for collaboration, coordination, and advocacy, strengthening the social justice movement and enhancing its impact.

In summary, the need for computing in advancing social justice arises from its capacity to generate insights, amplify voices, provide access to information and resources, enable technological solutions, promote equity in decision-making, and facilitate collaboration. By harnessing the power of computing, we can work towards a more equitable and inclusive society, addressing systemic inequalities and promoting social change. Computing has the potential to reshape social justice efforts by leveraging data, amplifying marginalized voices, providing access, creating innovative solutions, ensuring fairness in algorithms, and fostering collaboration among diverse stakeholders.

Threats to Social Justice due to computing:

While computing offers significant potential for advancing social justice, it also poses certain threats and challenges that need to be addressed.

One major threat is algorithmic bias and discrimination. Algorithms and artificial intelligence systems are not immune to biases present in the data they are trained on. If the training data reflects societal biases and inequalities, the algorithms can perpetuate and even amplify these biases. This can result in discriminatory outcomes in areas such as hiring, lending, and criminal justice. It is crucial to ensure that algorithms are developed and tested with robust measures to detect and mitigate bias, promoting fair and equitable decision-making [8].

Another threat arises from the digital divide and unequal access to computing resources. While computing has the potential to bridge gaps in access to information and resources, the digital divide remains a significant challenge. Socioeconomic disparities, lack of infrastructure, and limited digital literacy can prevent marginalized communities from fully benefiting from computing technologies. This further exacerbates existing social inequalities. Efforts should be made to address the digital divide and provide equitable access to computing resources, training, and connectivity [9].

Privacy and data security concerns are also a threat to social justice in the context of computing. Computing heavily relies on the collection and analysis of personal data. The improper handling of this data can lead to privacy breaches and violations of individuals' rights. Marginalized communities, in particular, may be disproportionately affected by privacy intrusions and surveillance, undermining their trust in computing technologies. Robust data protection measures, transparency, and informed consent practices are essential to safeguard individual privacy and ensure social justice considerations in data handling.

Automation and job displacement present another challenge. The increasing automation of tasks through computing technologies has the potential to disrupt labor markets and exacerbate socioeconomic inequalities. Certain job sectors may be more vulnerable to automation, leading to job losses and income disparities. Efforts should be made to ensure that technological advancements are accompanied by policies that promote reskilling, job creation, and economic opportunities for all, minimizing the negative impact on vulnerable communities [10].

Digital misinformation and online harassment are additional threats stemming from computing platforms. Such platforms can be breeding grounds for the spread of misinformation, hate speech, and online harassment. These activities can target marginalized communities, perpetuating stereotypes, and fostering a hostile online environment. Combating digital misinformation and promoting online safety requires collaboration between technology companies, policymakers, and civil society organizations to develop effective content moderation strategies and promote digital literacy [11].

Finally, ethical considerations and accountability are critical in the realm of computing for social justice. Computing technologies raise ethical dilemmas and challenges regarding their development, deployment, and governance. Questions of responsibility, transparency, and accountability become paramount when considering the impact of computing on social justice. It is essential to establish ethical frameworks, industry standards, and regulatory measures that ensure computing technologies are aligned with social justice principles and address the potential for harm.

Addressing these threats requires a comprehensive and multidimensional approach. Collaboration between technologists, policymakers, activists, and affected communities is crucial to navigate the ethical, legal, and social implications of computing for social justice. It necessitates ongoing dialogue, responsible development and deployment of technology, robust regulations, and the promotion of diversity and inclusion in the tech sector.

By actively addressing these threats and challenges, we can harness the potential of computing for social justice while mitigating the risks and ensuring a more equitable and inclusive digital future.

Future of Social Justice due to computing:

The future of social justice is deeply intertwined with the continued development and advancement of computing technologies. Computing holds great promise in shaping a more equitable and just society.

Data-driven insights and targeted interventions are one key aspect of computing's potential impact. With advancements in data analytics and machine learning, computing can help identify patterns of discrimination, uncover hidden biases, and inform targeted interventions. By leveraging data-driven approaches, policymakers, activists, and researchers can develop evidence-based strategies to address social injustices effectively [12].

In terms of algorithmic development, the future of social justice requires algorithms that are transparent, explainable, and designed to mitigate bias and discrimination. Ongoing research and development efforts aim to create fairness-aware algorithms that prioritize social justice outcomes. The focus is on ensuring that automated decision-making systems promote equality and do not perpetuate systemic biases.

Inclusive technology design is another critical aspect. Computing professionals and designers are increasingly recognizing the importance of inclusivity in technology development. The future of social justice demands that technology be accessible and usable by all, regardless of their abilities, backgrounds, or identities. Designing

Dr. Sapna Sukrut Deo /Afr.J.Bio.Sc. 6(6) (2024)

with empathy and incorporating diverse perspectives can lead to the creation of inclusive technologies that cater to the needs of marginalized communities, amplifying their voices and reducing existing disparities [13].

Collaborative platforms for social change are also crucial. Computing platforms and online communities provide spaces for collaboration and collective action in pursuit of social justice. These platforms enable individuals and organizations to connect, mobilize resources, and drive change on a global scale. As these platforms evolve, the future holds potential for even greater connectivity and collaboration, empowering individuals and communities to advocate for social justice causes, share resources, and mobilize support more effectively.

Technology for grassroots activism is another area where computing can make a significant impact. Computing technologies can support grassroots activism by providing tools and platforms for organizing, raising awareness, and amplifying marginalized voices. From social media campaigns to online petition platforms, technology facilitates the dissemination of information, facilitates collective action, and engages a broader audience. The future will likely witness further innovation in this domain, with new technologies and applications that empower individuals and communities to address social justice issues more effectively [14]. Furthermore, computing can play a crucial role in expanding access to education and skill development opportunities. Online learning platforms, virtual classrooms, and educational apps can provide marginalized communities with access to quality education, closing educational gaps and empowering individuals to overcome systemic barriers. Additionally, technology-driven skill development initiatives can enhance employability and economic opportunities, contributing to social and economic justice.

However, it is important to recognize that the future of social justice is not solely dependent on computing. Technology is a tool, and its impact is shaped by human decisions and actions. Ethical considerations, responsible governance, and critical engagement with technology are essential for ensuring that computing advancements contribute positively to social justice. A concerted effort from all stakeholders - including technologists, policymakers, activists, and communities affected by social injustices - is crucial to steer the future of computing in a direction that aligns with the principles of equity, inclusivity, and justice [15].

In summary, the future of social justice is intricately connected to the development and utilization of computing technologies. By harnessing the power of data, promoting ethical algorithms, prioritizing inclusive design, fostering collaborative platforms, leveraging technology for grassroots activism, and expanding access to education and skills, computing can contribute significantly to advancing social justice. However, it requires a proactive and conscious effort to ensure that technology is harnessed responsibly and in alignment with the principles of equity and fairness [16].

Conclusion:

In conclusion, the future of social justice is deeply intertwined with the continued advancement of computing technologies. Computing has the potential to contribute significantly to addressing social injustices through data-driven insights, ethical algorithm development, inclusive technology design, collaborative platforms for social change, technology-enabled grassroots activism, and expanded access to education and skills. However, it is crucial to approach these advancements with a conscious and proactive effort to ensure that technology is harnessed responsibly, promoting equity, inclusivity, and justice.

The future of social justice through computing requires collaboration among technologists, policymakers, activists, and affected communities. By collectively shaping the development and utilization of computing technologies, we can create a future that leverages the power of data, promotes fairness and transparency in algorithms, designs technology with empathy and inclusivity, fosters collaboration and amplifies marginalized voices, and provides equal access to educational and economic opportunities.

It is essential to approach the future of social justice and computing with a critical lens, considering the ethical implications, responsible governance, and the impact on marginalized communities. By doing so, we can harness the full potential of computing for social justice, contributing to a more equitable and inclusive society where technology acts as a tool for positive change.References

- 1. Ashikoto, L. I. "A room for social justice: affective and interactive augmented reality exploration." 2018
- 2. Ruben A. "Social justice, deferred complicity, and the moral plight of the wealthy." 2013
- 3. Corbett, E. "Engaging gentrification as a social justice issue in HCI." 2019
- 4. Hafernik, J. J. "Social justice in practice" 2014
- 5. Connell "Schools & social justice" 1993
- 6. Furman, G. C. "Expanding the landscape of social justice: A critical ecological analysis." 2004
- 7. Mladenovic, M. N. "Engineering social justice into traffic control for self-driving vehicles?" 2016
- 8. Supra note 4
- 9. Eubanks, V. "Digital dead end: Fighting for social justice in the information age." 2012
- Jean-Marie "Leadership for social justice: Preparing 21st century school leaders for a new social order." 2009
- 11. Brooks, J. S. "From scientific management to social justice and back again." 2008
- 12. Wall, D. "Cybercrime: The transformation of crime in the information age" 2007

Dr. Sapna Sukrut Deo /Afr.J.Bio.Sc. 6(6) (2024)

- 13. Vargas, C. "Lifelong learning from a social justice perspective 21." 2017
- 14. Wall, D. "Cybercrime: The transformation of crime in the information age" 2007
- 15. Vargas, C. "Lifelong learning from a social justice perspective 21." 2017
- 16. Britz, J. J. "A critical analysis of information poverty from a social justice perspective" 2006
- 17. Loewen, G. "The Social Justice Perspective." 2010
- 18. Deo, S., & Deo, D. S. (2019). Domain name and its protection in India. International Journal of Recent Technology and Engineering.
- 19. Mulani, A. O., & Mane, P. B. (2017). Watermarking and cryptography based image authentication on reconfigurable platform. Bulletin of Electrical Engineering and Informatics, 6(2), 181-187.
- 20. Mane, D. P., & Mulani, A. O. (2019). High throughput and area efficient FPGA implementation of AES algorithm. International Journal of Engineering and Advanced Technology, 8(4).
- Sarda, M., Deshpande, B., Deo, S., & Karanjkar, R. (2018). A comparative study on Maslow's theory and Indian Ashrama system.". International Journal of Innovative Technology and Exploring Engineering, 8(2), 48-50.
- 22. Mulani, A. O., & Mane, D. P. (2018). Secure and area efficient implementation of digital image watermarking on reconfigurable platform. International Journal of Innovative Technology and Exploring Engineering, 8(2), 56-61.
- 23. Deo, S., & Deo, S. (2019). Cybersquatting: Threat to domain name. International Journal of Innovative Technology and Exploring Engineering, 8(6), 1432-1434.
- 24. Mane, P. B., & Mulani, A. O. (2018). High speed area efficient FPGA implementation of AES algorithm. International Journal of Reconfigurable and Embedded Systems, 7(3), 157-165.