https://doi.org/ 10.48047/AFJBS.6.7.2024.2250-2261

African Journal of Biological Sciences

Journal homepage: http://www.afjbs.com

Research Paper Open Access

ROLE OF CORPORATE SOCIAL RESPONSIBILITY IN ENHANCING ORGANIZATIONAL PERFORMANCE

Dr. Gajanan Lokdiba Shendge[1], Mrs. Sonia Panigrahi[2], Dr. Suprava Sahu[3], Dr. T. Amutha[4], Dr. Sashikala V[5], Brahma Edwin Barreto[6]

1. Associate Professor, Department of Economics, Mahatma Gandhi Vidyamandir's Arts Science and Commerce College, Manmad, Maharashtra, India. glshendge83@gmail.com
2. Assistant Professor, Department of Agronomy and Agroforestry, MS Swaminathan School of Agriculture, Centurion University Technology and Management, Paralakhemundi, Gajapati, Odisha. sonia.panigrahi@cutm.ac.in
3. Assistant Professor (Stage 3), Department of Commerce, Ravenshaw University, Cuttack, Odisha, India. supravasahu1@gmail.com
4. Assistant Professor (SG), Department of Business Administration, Avinashilingam Institute for Home Science and Higher Education for Women, Coimbatore, Tamilnadu, India. amutha_mba@avinuty.ac.in
5. Associate Professor, Department of Management (MBA), Hindustan College of Arts and Science, Coimbatore, Tamilnadu, India. vishwasash14@gmail.com
6. Associate Professor, Department of Commerce, VVM's Shree Damodar College of Commerce & Economics, Margao, Goa, India. edwin.barreto@vvm.edu.in

Article History

Volume 6,Issue 7, 2024

Received: 29 Apr 2024

Accepted : 10 JUN 2024

doi:10.48047/AFJBS.6.7.2024.2250- 2261

Abstract

Businesses that manage their effects on society and the environment in a way that goes beyond profit maximization are said to be engaging in corporate social responsibility (CSR) activities and policies. In addition to enhancing the standard of living for employees, their families, the neighbourhood, and society at large, it entails a dedication to moral behaviour, sustainable operations, and contributions to economic development. CSR has become a vital element in improving organizational performance as companies are realizing the value of being decent corporate citizens. Enhancing organizational performance now heavily depends on the incorporation of charity into company operations. This study explores the complex relationship between CSR and organizational success, looking at theoretical frameworks and empirical data to clarify the ways in which CSR efforts affect important performance indicators. The study emphasizes how strategically significant CSR is for promoting sustainable company practices, strengthening stakeholder connections, and boosting long-term profitability. Investigating the connection between organizational performance and corporate social responsibility in Indian businesses is the goal of this study. Information about the respondents was gathered using 285 questionnaires, each with 36 items. The current study's findings demonstrated a strong positive correlation between organizational performance and corporate social responsibility. For Indian businesses, the current study's findings have significant managerial ramifications. Decision-makers need to concentrate on developing and implementing an effective corporate social responsibility agenda in order to improve company performance. By establishing sustainable business practices, attracting and keeping consumers, and building a strong corporate reputation, putting such an agenda into action would improve performance outcomes.

Keywords: Corporate Social Responsibility, Organizational Performance, Indian Companies

1. Introduction

Today's globalized and fiercely competitive business landscape has made CSR an essential part of organizational strategy. CSR is the term for the voluntary activities' businesses take on to run their business in a way that is sustainable in terms of the environment, society, and economy. This idea covers a broad variety of actions, such as corporate governance, community involvement, ethical labor practices, and environmental conservation[1]. The increasing focus on spending is a reflection of a change in business paradigms, where success is now more heavily weighted in addition to financial results by taking beneficial social and environmental effects [2]. Politicians, practitioners, and academics have all paid close attention to how spending might improve an organization's success. Several studies have indicated that CSR can result in a number of advantages, such as better financial performance, an improved reputation, a rise in consumer loyalty, and an increase in staff happiness [3]. Even with these acknowledged benefits, more research is still required to fully comprehend the precise ways that corporate social responsibility affects organizational performance across a range of settings and sectors.

1. Corporate Social Responsibility

CSR has changed over the years from being a contentious idea to becoming a crucial topic of study for both business professionals and academics. Due to the realization that companies must address social, economic, legal, ethical, and environmental concerns in order to maintain long-term success, CSR has grown to be an essential part of organizational strategies across the globe [4]. This paradigm shift is a reflection of a wider realization that in today's linked world, where stakeholders from communities and regulators to investors and NGOs expect responsible corporate behaviour, economic prosperity alone is insufficient [5]. According to the notion of CSR, companies have legal obligations, financial obligations to shareholders, and moral and ethical duties in addition to these responsibilities. Nonetheless, business leaders have struggled to determine how much of a company's social obligations to society. notoriously contended that a company's main duty is to maximize profits for its owners, ignoring more extensive social obligations as falling outside of its jurisdiction [6]. CSR proponents, on the other hand, contend that companies have an obligation to take into account and resolve how their operations affect society and the environment. This discussion highlights contrasting opinions about the place of businesses in society. While shareholder value and profit maximization are given priority in classical economic theory, ethical behaviour and sustainable company practices are becoming more and more important from a contemporary standpoint [7]. CSR pushes businesses to actively contribute to societal well-being through programs that support fair labor practices, environmental stewardship, community engagement, and transparent governance, going above and beyond simple legal and regulatory compliance. Some who disagree with Friedman's position contend that instead of concentrating only on making money, companies, as powerful members of society, need to take proactive measures to address social and environmental problems [8]. This expanded definition of this is in line with the changing demands of stakeholders, such as investors, employees, customers, and regulators, who want to see companies doing responsibly. Organizations can improve their standing, foster stakeholder trust, and forward the objectives of sustainable development by incorporating CSR into their plans and processes [9]. In essence, the spending is a paradigm that encourages firms to adopt ethical practices and make beneficial contributions to society, even though there are ongoing disagreements over the scope and nature of CSR obligations beyond profit-making. This rephrasing recognizes the changing standards that are expected of businesses and emphasizes the advantages of taking a proactive approach to CSR [10]. Businesses are becoming more and more aware of how important it is to implement socially conscious practices in order to minimize operational risks, improve stakeholder trust, and maintain regulatory compliance, in addition to improving their brand. CSR includes a wide range of activities, such as strategic efforts that include social and environmental factors into core business operations and decision-making processes, as well as charitable projects [11]. According to Business for Social Responsibility, is a collection of guidelines, procedures, and initiatives designed to maximize beneficial effects on society while maintaining the viability of businesses. The literature outlines a number of reasons why companies participate in CSR, such as meeting legal requirements, boosting public image, providing investors with transparency, and eventually boosting financial performance [12]. CSR activities are viewed as a way to guarantee moral behavior, promote employee wellbeing, and favorably impact local communities while striking a balance between financial profits and societal benefits [13] Distinctions are established between economic, legal, ethical, and discretionary obligations when assessing CSR measurement techniques. Additionally, the effects of CSR initiatives on internal stakeholders, such as employees, and external stakeholders, such as consumers, communities, and suppliers, are taken into account. While external spending includes activities that benefit external stakeholders and the larger community, internal CSR concentrates on enhancing employee productivity and well-being within the company [14]. In conclusion, CSR has developed into a strategic requirement for businesses in a variety of industries. It affects not just an organization's standing and relationships with stakeholders, but also its long-term viability and capacity to compete [15]. The context for examining the effects of CSR on organizational performance, particularly in relation to internal and external dimensions, is set by this review.

2. Internal Corporate Social Responsibility

In order to improve employee well-being, establish a culture of ethical behavior and corporate citizenship, and create a healthy work environment, internal CSR focuses on internal operations and practices within a firm. This entails implementing strict health and safety regulations, wellness initiatives, and mental health assistance to guarantee safe and healthy working circumstances. By putting anti-discrimination measures into place, encouraging diversity and inclusion, and guaranteeing equal chances for all employees, fair treatment and equity are prioritized [17]. Implementing flexible work schedules, generous leave policies, and assistance for personal and family requirements helps to promote work-life balance. Professional growth is encouraged through training programs, career advancement pathways, and chances for ongoing learning. Open forums, decision-making procedures, and feedback mechanisms all promote employee participation and engagement [18]. Promoting an honest culture, offering ethics education, and guaranteeing openness in business dealings and communications are all ways to foster ethical behavior. Enhanced employee morale and satisfaction, better performance and productivity, talent acquisition and retention, legal and ethical compliance, and higher stakeholder trust and loyalty are just a few advantages of internal spending [19]. Strong internal CSR practices are exemplified by businesses like Google and Patagonia, which support work-life balance with flexible work schedules and substantial parental leave, and provide comprehensive employee amenities including free meals, on-site healthcare, and exercise centers, respectively [20]. All things considered, internal CSR is essential to creating a welcoming, inclusive, and productive workplace culture.

3. External Corporate Social Responsibility

In order to increase the beneficial effects of an organization's operations on society, external CSR focuses on actions and policies that are aimed outside the company and have an influence on clients, partners in business, the environment, and local communities. This entails delivering goods and services in an economical, moral, and ecologically responsible manner, guaranteeing quality, and cultivating enduring bonds with clients by skilfully handling their requests, grievances, and recommendations [21]. Socially conscious businesses sustain positive working relationships with their suppliers by following the law, labor regulations, and supplier complaint protocols. Philanthropic endeavors including infrastructure investments, sponsorships, community development projects, and promoting staff involvement in volunteer work all assist the local community [22]. Businesses also financially support charitable and non-commercial community initiatives. By encouraging goodwill, boosting business reputation, and having a positive societal impact, these external CSR initiatives provide value [23]. Strong external CSR practices are demonstrated by companies such as Starbucks and Unilever. While Unilever concentrates on sustainability programs and enhancing the quality of life in the communities in which it operates, Starbucks engages in ethical sourcing, community service, and environmental stewardship [24]. Ultimately, in order for companies to behave as good corporate citizens and strike a balance between profit-making and social and environmental responsibility, external CSR is essential.

4. **Organizational Performance (OP)**

Amidst the plethora of obstacles encountered by profit-driven companies and non-profit organizations, organizational performance is a critical consideration in the modern landscape influenced by globalization and digital transformation [25]. It is the primary indicator of an organization's success or failure, motivating companies to always aim for improvement. It is imperative for organizations to comprehend the determinants that impact their performance in order to implement strategic initiatives that enhance their efficacy and productivity [26]. Work processes, team dynamics, company culture, leadership effectiveness, and cultivating a creative and devoted staff are among the important factors mentioned in the literature. Over time, the idea of organizational performance has undergone substantial change. In the 1950s, it was first focused on accomplishing organizational goals. In the following decades, it grew to include resource usage and efficiency. In the 1980s and 1990s, it changed its focus to effectiveness and stakeholder satisfaction. Organizational performance in the twenty-first century is defined as the capacity to satisfy stakeholders while maximizing the use of capital, people, and physical resources to achieve common objectives [27]. In essence, the value generated for stakeholders and the effectiveness with which organizational resources are deployed to accomplish intended results serve as indicators of organizational performance [28,32]. Organizations must effectively measure their performance in order to pinpoint areas in need of development and raise overall operational effectiveness. In the past, companies have mostly used financial measures to assess employee performance, a technique that has been criticized for failing to adequately account for innovation and ongoing development. In light of these drawbacks, modern methods call for a fair assessment that takes non-financial objectives like the calibre of the product or service, customer satisfaction, employee retention, attraction, and relations management into account [29,31]. This all-encompassing strategy guarantees that the interests of all stakeholders are taken into account, encouraging long-term organizational success in a fast-paced commercial climate. This research will evaluate the performance of organizations using a multifaceted framework that is in line with modern viewpoints that highlight the significance of non-financial indicators in addition to conventional financial measurements [30]. This method not only offers a more complex view of organizational effectiveness, but it also emphasizes how crucial it is to satisfy the expectations of many stakeholders in order to promote competitive advantage and long-term success.

5. Research Methodology

The purpose of this study is to look into how CSR, in the context of Indian companies, might improve organizational performance. Considering the intricate and diverse characteristics of CSR and organizational performance, a thorough and rigorous research technique is important to yield significant insights. This study's research methodology includes a number of crucial processes, such as the development of research hypotheses, data gathering, and data analysis.

5.1. Formulation of Research Hypotheses

The study makes the assumption that CSR initiatives, both internal and external, have a beneficial impact on a number of organizational performance parameters based on the literature review. While external CSR focuses on actions that have an influence on external stakeholders, such as suppliers, consumers, and the larger community, internal CSR refers to initiatives that improve the physical and psychological work environment for workers.

The hypothesis is as follows:

H1: Internal CSR practices positively affect organizational performance.

H2: External CSR practices positively affect organizational performance.

5.2. Data Collection

Employees of Indian companies were given a standardized questionnaire to complete in order to gather data for testing these theories. The purpose of the questionnaire was to gather detailed data about respondents' opinions of organizational performance and CSR initiatives at their companies. There were 36 items total, broken down into sections covering internal and external corporate social responsibility as well as several facets of organizational performance such customer satisfaction, product/service quality, employee retention, employee attractiveness, management-employee interactions, and general employee relations.

5.3. Sampling

A simple random sampling technique was used to assure a representative sample of the employee population inside these organizations, and a total of 285 questionnaires were distributed. By using this approach, bias is reduced and the findings' generalizability is enhanced. Based on statistical power analysis, the sample size of 285 was found to be sufficient to ensure that the study could identify significant connections between variables.

5.4.**Data Analysis**

To assess the study hypotheses, various and basic regression analyses were performed on the gathered data. Examining the correlations between independent factors (internal and external CSR practices) and dependent variables (organizational performance) is a suitable use for regression analysis. This approach makes it possible to determine the direction and strength of the associations, giving the study's conclusions a solid statistical basis.

5.5.**Validity and Reliability**

A crucial component of the process was guaranteeing the measurement tools' validity and reliability. Through extensive analysis of the body of research and discussion with authorities on corporate social responsibility and organizational effectiveness, content validity was determined. The questionnaire questions' internal consistency was evaluated using reliability analysis, which included Cronbach's alpha. For trustworthy scales, a Cronbach's alpha of 0.70 or above was deemed appropriate.

Table 1: Cronbach's Alpha

Variable	Cronbach's
CSR	0.818
Organizational Performance	0.714

Table 2: Multiple Regression

Variables	R	R2	F	Sig
Constant	0.771	0.564	0.593	0.001
CSR	0.852	0.723	0.708	0.001

Table 3: ANOVA for Regression Analysis

	Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
Regression	15.08	**1**	**15.08**	**157.06**	**0.001**
Residual	5.79	60	0.0965		

Total	20.87	61			

5.6.**Interpretation:**

The findings of the ANOVA and regression analysis show that corporate social responsibility (CSR) significantly and favourably affects organizational performance. According to the first regression analysis's high R2 value of 0.723, 72.3% of the variance in organizational performance can be explained by CSR. The resilience and significance of CSR in impacting organizational performance are further supported by the high significance ($p < 0.001$) of both the F-value in the ANOVA table and the regression coefficient for CSR.

6. **Conclusion**

CSR has become a critical tactic for improving organizational performance in modern commercial settings. This study emphasizes how important CSR is in helping businesses succeed both within and outside. Internally, CSR programs that put an emphasis on professional growth, employee well-being, and a positive work environment raise job satisfaction, boost output, and lower attrition rates. Organizations may build a motivated workforce that is essential for accomplishing strategic goals by providing chances for growth and work-life balance, supporting diversity and inclusion, and creating safe working conditions. These actions not only attract and retain talent. Externally, CSR programs that promote sustainable environmental practices, ethical corporate practices, and community involvement foster trust among stakeholders, including as clients, investors, and local communities. Companies can improve their reputation and competitiveness in the market by showcasing their commitment to social and environmental responsibility through community development initiatives, sustainable sourcing practices, and transparent operations. These businesses use CSR to set themselves apart in cutthroat marketplaces, draw in socially conscious customers, and reduce the risk of negative social and environmental effects. In conclusion, companies looking to achieve long-term sustainability and growth must embrace CSR as a fundamental element of their corporate strategy. Through the alignment of corporate objectives with ethical values and societal expectations, companies can attain financial success and provide shared benefit for all stakeholders. Going forward, additional studies and real-world implementation of CSR will clarify its many advantages and help create a more just and sustainable global economy.

7. **Limitations and Future Scope**

Although this study has demonstrated how important CSR is for improving organizational performance, there are a few important constraints to note. The conclusions can't be applied to other organizational settings because they are based on particular industries and situations. Future studies should broaden this focus by looking at many industries and geographical areas in order to get a deeper understanding of the efficacy of CSR. Future studies should examine mediating elements including employee engagement, leadership philosophies, and company culture to gain a deeper understanding of the processes by which spending affects performance. Even though it has many advantages, putting complete initiatives into practice calls for significant financial outlays as well as organizational dedication. Future research should look into how profitable and shareholder value are affected by its initiatives, as well as how cost-effective they are. A more sophisticated knowledge of how CSR can be used as a strategic tool to promote sustainable business practices and improve organizational resilience in a constantly changing global economy would result from addressing these constraints and broadening the research focus.

8. Reference

1. Agyemang, O. S., & Ansong, A. (2017). Corporate social responsibility and firm performance of Ghanaian SMEs. Journal of Global Responsibility, 8, 47–62.
2. Anurag Shrivastavaa , S. J. Suji Prasadb , Ajay Reddy Yeruvac , P. Manid , Pooja Nagpal , and Abhay Chaturvedi. IoT Based RFID Attendance Monitoring System of Students using Arduino ESP8266 & Adafruit.io on Defined Area. Cybernetics and Systems: An International Journal. https://doi.org/10.1080/01969722.2023.2166243.
3. Ansong, A., & Agyemang, O. S. (2016). Firm reputation and financial performance of SMEs: The Ghanaian perspective. EuroMed Journal of Management, 1(3), 237-251.
4. Pooja Nagpal., Avinash Pawar., Sanjay. H.M. (2024). Sustainable Entrepreneurship: Balancing Push and Pull Factors for Customer Loyalty in Organic Product Marketing. 6 (9), 1134-1144. doi: 10.33472/AFJBS.6.9.2024.1134-1144.
5. Gowri Shankar, V. Purna Kumari., et.al. (2024). Revolution Agri-Food Systems: Leveraging Digital Innovations for Equitable Sustainability and Resilience. 6 (8), 520-530. doi: 10.33472/AFJBS.6.8.2024.520-530.
6. Lockett, A., Moon, J., Visser, W. (2006). Corporate social responsibility in management research: focus, nature, salience and sources of influence. Journal of Management Studies, 43 (1), 115-136

7. P Nagpal, Dr. R. Arulmoli, et.al. (2024). Determinants Of Women Entrepreneur Motivational Factors Towards Marketing Organic Products, 6 (10), 687-699. doi: 10.33472/AFJBS.6.10.2024.687-699
8. BK Kumari, VM Sundari, C Praseeda, P Nagpal, J EP, S Awasthi (2023), Analytics-Based Performance Influential Factors Prediction for Sustainable Growth of Organization, Employee Psychological Engagement, Work Satisfaction, Training and Development. Journal for ReAttach Therapy and Developmental Diversities 6 (8s), 76-82.
9. Pooja Nagpal, C. Vinotha, Lucky Gupta, Gunjan Sharma, Khyati Kapil, Vijay Kumar Yadav, Akhil Sankhyan. (2024). Machine Learning and Ai in Marketing–Connecting Computing Power to Human Insights. International Journal of Intelligent Systems and Applications in Engineering, 12(21s), 548–561. https://ijisae.org/index.php/IJISAE/article/view/5451
10. Obiekwe, O. & Nwaeke, L.I. (2019). Impact of corporate social responsibility practices and disclosures on organizational survival. International Journal of Social Sciences and Management Research, 5(2), 117-127
11. P Nagpal (2023). The Transformative Influence of Artificial Intelligence (AI) on Financial Organizations World Wide. 3rd International Conference on Information & Communication Technology in Business, Industry & Government (ICTBIG). Symbiosis University of Applied Science, Indore.
12. Namita Rajput, Gourab Das, Kumar Shivam, Chinmaya Kumar, Pooja Nagpal. An inclusive systematic investigation of human resource management practice in harnessing human capital, Materials Today: Proceedings, 80 (3),2023, 3686-3690, ISSN 2214-7853, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matpr.2021.07.362
13. Adeneye YB, Ahmed M (2015) Corporate social responsibility & company performance. J Bus Stud Q 7(1):151–166
14. Pooja Nagpal (2022) Online Business Issues and Strategies to overcome it- Indian Perspective. SJCC Management Research Review. Vol 12 (1) pp 1-10. June 2022, Print ISSN 2249-4359. DOI: 10.35737/sjccmrr/v12/il/2022/151
15. G. Gokulkumari, M. Ravichand, P. Nagpal and R. Vij. (2023). "Analyze the political preference of a common man by using data mining and machine learning," 2023 International Conference on Computer Communication and Informatics (ICCCI), Coimbatore, India. doi: 10.1109/ICCCI56745.2023.10128472.

16. Lakshmi, J.Divya., et al., (2021). Stress and Behavioral Analysis of Employees using Statistical & Correlation Methods. International Journal of Aquatic Science 12(01), 275-281. ISSN: 2008- 8019 2021
17. Baetschmann, G., Staub, K., & Winkelmann, R. (2017). Consistent estimation of the fixed effects ordered logit model. Journal of the Royal Statistical Society: Series A (Statistics in Society), 178(3), 685-703.
18. P Nagpal (2023). The Impact of High Performance Work System and Engagement. Business Review" Vol17 (1) pp 57-64, ISSN 0973- 9076
19. F. A. Syed, N. Bargavi, A. Sharma, A. Mishra, P. Nagpal and A. Srivastava. (2022). "Recent Management Trends Involved with the Internet of Things in Indian Automotive Components Manufacturing Industries," 2022 5th International Conference on Contemporary Computing and Informatics (IC3I), Uttar Pradesh, India. pp. 1035-1041, doi: 10.1109/IC3I56241.2022.10072565.
20. Bhandari, A., & Javakhadze, D. (2017). Corporate social responsibility and capital allocation efficiency. Journal of Corporate Finance, 43, 354-377.
21. P. William, A. Shrivastava, H. Chauhan, P. Nagpal.(2022). "Framework for Intelligent Smart City Deployment via Artificial Intelligence Software Networking," 2022 3rd International Conference on Intelligent Engineering and Management (ICIEM), pp. 455-460, doi: 10.1109/ICIEM54221.2022.9853119.
22. Pooja Nagpal., Kiran Kumar., A.C. & Ravindra., H. V. (2020). Does Training and Development Impacts – Employee Engagement? Test Engineering and Management, the Mattingley Publishing Co., Inc. 83. 19407 – 19411. ISSN: 0193-4120.
23. S. H. Abbas, S. Sanyal, P. Nagpal, J. Panduro-Ramirez, R. Singh and S. Pundir. (2023). "An Investigation on a Blockchain Technology in Smart Certification Model for Higher Education," 10th International Conference on Computing for Sustainable Global Development (INDIACom), New Delhi, India, pp. 1277-1281.
24. R. Bhattacharya, Kafila, S. H. Krishna,et.al (2023). "Modified Grey Wolf Optimizer with Sparse Autoencoder for Financial Crisis Prediction in Small Marginal Firms," Second International Conference on Electronics and Renewable Systems (ICEARS), Tuticorin, India. 907-913, doi: 10.1109/ICEARS56392.2023.10085618.
25. Pooja Nagpal & Senthil Kumar (2017). A study on drivers and outcomes of employee engagement – A review of literature approach. Asia Pacific Journal of Research.4 (1) 56- 62. ISSN -2320-5504. Online E ISSN – 2347-4793.

26. Brieger, S. A., et al. (2019). Too much of a good thing? On the relationship between CSR and employee work addiction. Journal of Business Ethics, 17(1), 1-19.
27. Chen, Z. F., Hong, C., & Occa, A. (2019). How different CSR dimensions impact organization-employee relationships: The moderating role of CSR-culture fit. Corporate Communications: An International Journal, 24(1), 63-78.
28. Madhusudhan R. Urs & Pooja Nagpal (2019). A study on Determinants and Outcomes of Job Crafting in an Organization; Journal of Emerging Technologies and Innovative Research, 7, (15). 145-151. ISSN: 2349-5162
29. P Nagpal., Senthil Kumar., & Ravindra. H V. (2019). The Road Ahead of HR-AI to boost Employee Engagement; Journal of Emerging Technologies and Innovative Research, 7,(15), 180-183. ISSN: 2349-5162
30. Feng, M., Wang, X., & Kreuze, J. (2017). Corporate social responsibility and firm financial performance: Comparison analyses across industries and CSR categories. American Journal of Business, 32(3-4), 106-133.
31. Hamidu, A. A., Haron, H. M., & Amran, A. (2015). Corporate social responsibility: A review on definitions, core characteristics and theoretical perspectives. Mediterranean Journal of Social Sciences, 6(4), 83-95.
32. Javed, M., Rashid, M. A., Hussain, G., & Ali, H. Y. (2020). The effects of corporate social responsibility on corporate reputation and firm financial performance: Moderating role of responsible leadership. Corporate Social Responsibility and Environmental Management, 27(3), 1395-1409.