https://doi.org/10.48047/AFJBS.6.10.2024.6172-6181



Common Fixed Point Theorems in Fuzzy Metric Space

Hargovind Dubey^{1*}, Kamal Wadhwa¹ Sanjay Choudhary¹, Ramakant Bhardwaj²

^{1*}hari131281@gmail.com, ¹wadhwakamal68@gmail.com, ¹drsanjay0702@gmail.com, ²rkbhardwaj100@gmail.com,

¹Department of Mathematics, Govt. Narmada, PG College, Narmada Puram (M.P) ²Department of Mathematics, amity University Kolkata, WB.

Article Info Volume 6, Issue 10, 2024 Received 16 May 2024 Accepted 10 Jun 2024 Doi: : 10.48047/AFJBS.6.10.2024.6172-6181

Abstract

The purpose of this paper is to establish common fixed point theorems for six and seven self-mappings in fuzzy metric space using E.A. like property [15] AMS (2000) Subject Classification: 54H25, 47H10. Keywords: coincidence point, fuzzy metric space, weakly compatible mappings, E.A.like property.

1.Introduction

The concept of fuzzy set was introduced in 1965 by Zadeh [1]as a new way to represent vagueness in everyday life. A large number of renowned mathematicians worked with fuzzy sets in different branches of Mathematics, Fuzzy Metric Space is one of them. This paper uses the concept of fuzzy metric space introduced by Kramosil and Michalek [2] and modified by George and Veeramani [3] with the help of t-norm. Grabiec [4] obtained the fuzzy version of Banach contraction principle, which is a milestone in developing fixed point theory in fuzzy metric space. Jungck [5] proposed the concept of compatibility. The concept of compatibility in fuzzy metric space was proposed by Mishra et al.[6]. Later on, Jungck [7] generalized the concept of compatibility by introducing the concept of weak compatibility. Singh and Chauhan [8] and Cho [9] provided fixed point theorems in fuzzy metric space for four self-maps using the concept of compatibility where two mappings needed to be continuous. In 2017 Govery A. and Singh M.[10] proved a common fixed point theorem for six self-mappings in fuzzy metric space using the concept of compatibility and weak compatibility where one map is needed to be continuous.

In this paper, two theorems has been proved on common fixed point, one for six and another for seven self-mappings in fuzzy metrics space, using E.A.like property[15] which relaxes the condition of continuity, containment of ranges and completeness of space, generalizing the result of Govery A. and Singh M.[10]. Some related recent work is also done in this field can be seen in [16-26]

2. Definition

2.1 [11] - A binary operation $*:[0,1] \times [0,1] \rightarrow [0,1]$ is a continuous t-norms if * satisfying conditions:

- i. * is commutative and associative;
- ii. * is continuous;
- iii. a * 1 = a for all $a \in [0,1]$;
- iv. $a * b \le c * d$ whenever $a \le c$ and $b \le d$, and $a, b, c, d \in [0,1]$.

Examples: $a * b = \min\{a, b\}, a * b = a.b$

Definition 2.2[3] - A 3-tuple (X, M, *) is said to be a fuzzy metric space if X is an arbitrary set, * is a continuous t-norm and M is a fuzzy set on $X^2 \times (0, \infty)$ satisfying the following conditions, $\forall x, y, z \in X, s, t > 0$,

- (f1) M(x, y, t) > 0;
- (f2) M(x, y, t) = 1 if and only if x = y.
- (f3) M(x, y, t) = M(y, x, t);
- $(f4) M(x, y, t) * M(y, z, s) \le M(x, z, t+s)$
- (f5) $M(x, y, .):(0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, 1]$ is continuous.

Then M is called a fuzzy metric on X. Then M(x, y, t) denotes the degree of nearness between x and y with respect to t.

Example 2.3 (Induced fuzzy metric [3]) – Let (X,d) be a metric space. Denote a * b =ab for all $a, b \in [0,1]$ and let M_d be fuzzy sets on $X^2 \times (0,\infty)$ defined as follows:

$$M_d(x, y, t) = \frac{t}{t + d(x, y)}$$

Then $(X, M_d, *)$ is a fuzzy metric space. We call this fuzzy metric induced by a metric d as the standard intuitionistic fuzzy metric.

Definition 2.4[8]:Two self mappings f and g of a fuzzy metric space (X, M, *) are called compatible if $\lim_{n\to\infty} M(fgx_n, gfx_n, t) = 1$ whenever $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence in X such that $\lim_{n\to\infty} fx_n = \lim_{n\to\infty} gx_n = x$ for some $x \in X$.

 $\lim_{n\to\infty} fx_n = \lim_{n\to\infty} gx_n = x \text{ for some } x \in X.$

Lemma 2.5[6] : Let (X, M, *) be fuzzy metric space. If there exists $q \in (0,1)$ such that $M(x, y, qt) \ge M(x, y, t)$ for all $x, y \in X$ and t > 0, then x = y

Definition 2.6: Let X be a set, f and g selfmaps of X. A point $x \in X$ is called a coincidence point of f and g iff fx = gx. We shall call w = fx = gx a point of coincidence of f and g. **Definition 2.7** [14]: A pair of maps f and g is called weakly compatible pair if they commute at coincidence points.

$$fx = gx \rightarrow fgx = gfx$$

Definition 2.8[15]:Let f & g be two self-maps of a fuzzy metric space (X, M, *) we say that

f & g satisfy the E.A. Like property if there exists a sequence $\{x_n\}$ such that,

 $\lim_{n \to \infty} fx_n = \lim_{n \to \infty} gx_n = z \text{ for some } z \in f(X) \text{ or } z \in g(X) \text{ i.e. } z \in f(X) \cup g(X)$

Definition 2.9[15] (Common E.A. like Property) :Let A, B, S and T be self maps of a fuzzy metric space (X, M, *), then the pairs (A, S) and (B, T) said to satisfy common E.A. Like

property if there exists two sequences $\{x_n\}$ and $\{y_n\}$ in X such that

 $\lim_{n \to \infty} Ax_n = \lim_{n \to \infty} Sx_n = \lim_{n \to \infty} Ty_n = \lim_{n \to \infty} By_n = z$ where $z \in S(X) \cap T(X)$ or $z \in A(X) \cap B(X)$.

Example:let X = [0, 2) and $M(x, y, t) = \frac{t}{t + d(x, y)}$ for all $x, y \in X$ then (X, M, *) is a fuzzy metric space. Where $a * b = \min\{a, b\}$.

$$A(x) = \begin{cases} .25, 0 \le x \le .52 \\ \frac{x}{2}, x > .52 \end{cases} \qquad S(x) = \begin{cases} .25, 0 \le x \le .6 \\ x - .25, x > .6 \end{cases}$$

$$T(x) = \begin{cases} .25, 0 \le x \le .6\\ \frac{x}{4}, x > .6 \end{cases} \qquad B(x) = \begin{cases} .25, 0 \le x \le .95\\ x - .75, x > .95 \end{cases}$$

We define $x_n = .5 + \frac{1}{n}$ and $y_n = 1 + \frac{1}{n}$ We have $A(X) = \{.25\} \cup (.26, 1]$ $S(X) = \{.25\} \cup (.35, 1.75]$ T(X) = (.15, .5] and $B(X) = \{.25\} \cup (.20, 1.25]$ Also $\lim_{n \to \infty} Ax_n = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{2} [.5 + \frac{1}{n}] = .25 \in S(X)$

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} Sx_n = \lim_{n \to \infty} .5 + \frac{1}{n} - .25 = .25 \in A(X)$$
$$\lim_{n \to \infty} Ty_n = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{4} [1 + \frac{1}{n}] = .25 \in B(X) \text{ and}$$
$$\lim_{n \to \infty} By_n = \lim_{n \to \infty} 1 + \frac{1}{n} - .75 = .25 \in T(X)$$

Govery. A. and Singh M.[10] proved the following results:

Theorem: Let (X, M, *) be a complete fuzzy metric space and let A, B, S, T, P and Q be mappings from X into itself such that the following conditions are satisfied:

- (i) $P(X) \subset ST(X), Q(X) \subset AB(X)$
- (ii) AB = BA, ST = TS, PB = BP, QT = TQ;
- (iii) *Either AB* or *P* is continuous

(iv) (*P*, *AB*) is compatible and (*Q*, *ST*) is weakly compatible;

(v) There exists $q \in (0, 1)$ such that for every $x, y \in X$ and t > 0

 $M(Px,Qy,qt) \ge M(ABx,STy,t) * M(Px,ABx,t) * M(Qy,STy,t) * M(Px,STy,t)$

Then A, B, S, T, P and Q have a unique common fixed point in X.

3. Main Results

Theorem3.1 Let (X, M, *) be a fuzzy metric space and let A, B, S, T, P and Q be mappings from X into itself such that the following conditions are satisfied:

(i) AB = BA, ST = TS, PB = BP, QT = TQ;

(ii) (P,AB) and (Q,ST) is weakly compatible;

(iii) Pairs (*P*, *AB*) and (*Q*, *ST*) follows E. A. like property

(iv) There exists $q \in (0, 1)$ such that for every $x, y \in X$ and t > 0

 $M(Px, Qy, qt) \geq min\{M(ABx, STy, t), M(Px, ABx, t), M(Qy, STy, t), M(Px, STy, t)\}$

Then A, B, S, T, P and Q have a unique common fixed point in X.

Proof: since pair (*P*, *AB*) and (Q, ST) satisfy common E.A. Like property therefore there exists two sequences $\{x_n\}$ and $\{y_n\}$ in X such that

 $\lim_{n \to \infty} Px_n = \lim_{n \to \infty} ABx_n = \lim_{n \to \infty} Qy_n = \lim_{n \to \infty} STy_n = z$ Where $z \in AB(X) \cap ST(X)$ or $z \in P(X) \cap Q(X)$ Suppose that $z \in AB(X) \cap ST(X)$, now we have $\lim_{n \to \infty} Px_n = z \in AB(X) \text{ than there exist some } u \in X \text{ such that } z = ABu$ We claim that Pu = ABu $Put x = u, y = y_n \text{ in (iv)}$

 $M(Pu, Qy_n, qt) \ge min\{M(ABu, STy_n, t), M(Pu, ABu, t), M(Qy_n, STy_n, t), M(Pu, STy_n, t)\}$ Taking $n \to \infty$

$$M(Pu, z, qt) \ge \min\{M(z, z, t), M(Pu, z, t), M(z, z, t), M(Pu, z, t)\}$$
$$M(Pu, z, qt) \ge M(Pu, z, t)$$

$$Pu = z$$

Page 6176 of 10

Therefore Pu = z = ABuSince pair (**P**, AB) is weakly compatible therefore Pz = PABu = ABPu = ABzNow, we claim that Pz = zPut x = z and $y = y_n$ in (iv) $M(Pz, Qy_n, qt) \ge min\{M(ABz, STy_n, t), M(Pz, ABz, t), M(Qy_n, STy_n, t), M(Pz, STy_n, t)\}$ Taking $n \to \infty$ $M(Pz, z, qt) \geq \min\{M(Pz, z, t), M(Pz, Pz, t), M(z, z, t), M(Pz, z, t)\}$ $M(Pz, z, qt) \ge M(Pz, z, t)$ Pz = zPz = z = ABzTherefore Putting x = Bz and $y = y_n$ in (iv) $M(PBz, Qy_n, qt) \geq \min\{M(ABBz, STy_n, t), M(PBz, ABBz, t), M(Qy_n, STy_n, t), M(Qy_n, STy$ $M(PBz, STy_n, t)$ Taking $n \to \infty$ Also, BP = PB, AB = BA, so we have P(Bz) = B(Pz) = Bzand (AB)(Bz) = (BA)(Bz) = B(ABz) = Bz $M(Bz, z, qt) \geq \min\{M(Bz, z, t), M(Bz, Bz, t), M(z, z, t), M(z, z,$ M(Bz, z, t) $M(Bz, z, qt) \ge M(Bz, z, t)$ Bz = zSince Bz = z, $ABz = z \rightarrow Az = z.$ we also have Az = Bz = Pz = z. Therefore, Again, $\lim_{n \to \infty} Qy_n = z \in ST(X) \text{ than for some } v \in X, z = STv$ We claim that Qv = STvput $x = x_n$, y = v in (iv) $M(Px_n, Qv, qt) \ge \min\{M(ABx_n, STv, t), M(Px_n, ABx_n, t), M(Qv, STv, t), M(Px_n, STv, t)\}$ Taking $n \to \infty$ $M(z, Qv, qt) \ge \min\{M(z, z, t), M(z, z, t), M(Qv, z, t), M(z, z, t)\}$ $M(Qv, z, qt) \geq \min\{M(z, z, t), M(z, z, t), M(z, z, t), M(Qv, z, t)\}$ $M(Qv, z, qt) \ge M(Qv, z, t)$ Qv = z = STvSince pair (Q, ST) is weakly compatible therefore Qz = QSTv = STQv = STzNow, we claim that Qz = z

Put y = z and $x = x_n$ in (iv)

 $M(Px_n, Qz, qt) \geq min\{M(ABx_n, STz, t), M(Px_n, ABx_n, t), M(Qz, STz, t), M(Px_n, STz, t)\}$ Taking $n \to \infty$

$$M(Qz, z, qt) \ge \min\{M(z, Qz, t), M(z, z, t), M(Qz, Qz, t), M(z, Qz, t)\}$$
$$M(Qz, z, qt) \ge M(Qz, z, t)$$
$$Oz = z = STz$$

Putting $x = x_n$ and y = Tz in (iv)

$$M(P x_n, QTz, qt) \ge min\{M(ABx_n, STTz, t), M(Px_n, ABx_n, t), M(QTz, STTz, t), M(Px_n, STTz, t), M(Px_n, STTz, t)\}$$

Since

$$Q(Tz) = T(Qz) = Tz$$

$$(ST)(Tz) = (TS)(Tz) = T(STz) = Tz$$

$$M(z, Tz, qt) \ge min\{M(z, Tz, t), M(z, z, t), M(Tz, Tz, t), M(z, Tz, t)\}$$

$$M(Tz, z, qt) \ge M(Tz, z, t)$$
$$Tz = z$$

we get Tz = z and also we have $STz = z \rightarrow Sz = z$. Therefore, Tz = Sz = Qz = z.

$$Az = Bz = Tz = Sz = Qz = Pz = z$$

Hence A, B, T, S, Q, P have common fixed point.

To show uniqueness of fixed point, Put x = z and y = u

$$M(Pz, Qu, qt) \geq \min\{M(ABz, STu, t), M(Pz, ABz, t), M(Qu, STu, t), M(Pz, STz, t)\}$$

 $M(z, u, qt) \geq \min\{M(z, u, t), M(z, z, t), M(u, u, t), M(z, z, t)\}$

$$M(z, u, qt) \geq M(z, u, t)$$

z = u

Hence A, B, T, S, Q, P have unique common fixed point.

Theorem3.2: Let (X, M, *) be a fuzzy metric space and let A, B, R, S, T, P and Q be mappings from X into itself such that the following conditions are satisfied:

(i) AB = BA, ST = TS, PB = BP, QT = TQ, PR = RP, TR = RT, BR = RB

(ii) (*P*, *ABR*) and (*Q*, *STR*) are weakly compatible;

(iii) Pairs (P, ABR) and (Q, STR) follows E. A. like property

(iv) There exists $q \in (0, 1)$ such that for every $x, y \in X$ and t > 0

 $M(Px, Qy, qt) \geq min\{M(ABRx, STRy, t), M(Px, ABRx, t), M(Qy, STRy, t), M(Px, STRy, t)\}$

Then A, B, R, S, T, P and Q have a unique common fixed point in X.

Proof: since pair (*P*, *ABR*) and (Q, STR) satisfy common E.A. Like property therefore there exists two sequences $\{x_n\}$ and $\{y_n\}$ in *X* such that

 $\lim_{n \to \infty} Px_n = \lim_{n \to \infty} ABRx_n = \lim_{n \to \infty} Qy_n = \lim_{n \to \infty} STRy_n = z$

Where $z \in ABR(X) \cap STR(X)$ or $z \in P(X) \cap Q(X)$

Suppose that $z \in ABR(X) \cap STR(X)$, now we have

Page 6178 of 10

 $\lim Px_n = z \in STR(X) \text{ than for some } u \in X, z = STRu$ We claim that Qu = STRuput $x = x_n$, y = u in (iv) $M(Px_n, Qu, qt)$ $\geq min\{M(ABRx_n, STRu, t), M(Px_n, ABRx_n, t), M(Qu, STRu, t), M(Px_n, STRu, t)\}$ Taking $n \to \infty$ $M(z, Qu, qt) \geq \min\{M(z, z, t), M(z, z, t), M(Qu, z, t), M(z, z, t)\}$ $M(Qu, z, qt) \ge M(Qu, z, t)$ Ou = z = STRuSince pair (Q, STR) is weakly compatible therefore Qz = QSTRu = STRQu = STRzNow, we claim that Qz = zPutting $x = x_n$ and y = z in (iv) $M(Px_n, Qz, qt)$ $\geq min\{M(ABRx_n, STRz, t), M(Px_n, ABRx_n, t), M(Qz, STRz, t), M(Px_n, STRz, t)\}$ $M(z,Qz,qt) \geq \min\{M(z,Qz,t), M(z,z,t), M(Qz,Qz,t), M(z,Qz,t)\}$ $M(z, Qz, qt) \ge M(z, Qz, t)$ Qz = zOz = z = STRzAgain, $\lim_{n \to \infty} Qy_n = z \in ABR(X)$, therefore there exist $v \in X$ such that ABRv = zPutting $x = v \& y = y_n$ in (iv) $M(Pv, Qy_n, qt)$ $\geq min\{M(ABRv, STRy_n, t), M(Pv, ABRv, t), M(Qy_n, STRy_n, t), M(Pv, STRy_n, t)\}$ $M(Pv, z, qt) \geq \min\{M(z, z, t), M(Pv, z, t), M(z, z, t), M(Pv, z, t)\}$ $M(Pv, z, qt) \ge M(Pv, z, t)$ Pv = zPz = PABRv = ABRPv = ABRzPutting x = z, and $y = y_n$ in (iv) $M(Pz, Qy_n, qt)$ $\geq min\{M(ABRz, STRy_n, t), M(Pz, ABRz, t), M(Qy_n, STRy_n, t), M(Pz, STRy_n, t)\}$ $M(Pz, z, qt) \geq min\{M(Pz, z, t), M(Pz, Pz, t), M(z, z, t), M(Pz, z, t)\}$ $M(Pz, z, qt) \ge M(Pz, z, t)$ Pz = zPz = z = ABRz

Again putting x = Rz, and y = z in (iv)

$$\begin{split} & \mathcal{M}(PRz, Qz, qt) \\ &\geq \min\{\mathcal{M}(ABRRz, STRz, t), \mathcal{M}(PRz, ABRRz, t), \mathcal{M}(Qz, STRz, t), \mathcal{M}(PRz, STRz, t)\} \\ & \mathcal{M}(RPz, Qz, qt) \\ &\geq \min\{\mathcal{M}(RABRz, STRz, t), \mathcal{M}(RPz, RABRz, t), \mathcal{M}(Qz, STRz, t), \mathcal{M}(RPz, STRz, t)\} \\ & \mathcal{M}(Rz, z, qt) \geq \min\{\mathcal{M}(Rz, z, t), \mathcal{M}(Rz, z, t), \mathcal{M}(Rz, z, t)\} \\ & \mathcal{M}(Rz, z, qt) \geq \mathcal{M}(Rz, z, t), \mathcal{M}(z, z, t), \mathcal{M}(Rz, z, t)\} \\ & \mathcal{M}(Rz, z, qt) \geq \mathcal{M}(Rz, z, t) \\ & Rz = z \\ STRz = z > STz = z \text{ and } ABRz = z => ABz = z \\ & STz = ABz = z \\ Putting x = Bz \text{ and } y = z \text{ in (iv)} \\ & \mathcal{M}(PBz, Qz, qt) \\ &\geq \min\{\mathcal{M}(ABRBz, STRz, t), \mathcal{M}(PBz, BABRz, t), \mathcal{M}(Qz, STRz, t), \mathcal{M}(PBz, BSTRz, t)\} \\ & \mathcal{M}(Bz, z, qt) \geq \min\{\mathcal{M}(Bz, z, t), \mathcal{M}(Bz, Bz, t), \mathcal{M}(z, z, t), \mathcal{M}(Bz, Bz, t)\} \\ & \mathcal{M}(Bz, z, qt) \geq \min\{\mathcal{M}(Bz, z, t), \mathcal{M}(Bz, z, t), \mathcal{M}(Bz, Bz, t)\} \\ & \mathcal{M}(Bz, Z, qt) \geq Mz = z \\ Therefore ABz = z = Az = z \\ & Az = Bz = z \\ x = z \text{ and } y = Tz \text{ in (iv)} \\ & \mathcal{M}(Pz, QTz, qt) \\ &\geq \min\{\mathcal{M}(ABRz, STRz, t), \mathcal{M}(Pz, ABRz, t), \mathcal{M}(QTz, STRTz, t), \mathcal{M}(Pz, STRTz, t)\} \\ & \mathcal{M}(Pz, TQz, qt) \\ &\geq \min\{\mathcal{M}(ABRz, TSTRz, t), \mathcal{M}(Pz, ABRz, t), \mathcal{M}(TQz, TSTRz, t), \mathcal{M}(Pz, TSTRz, t)\} \\ & \mathcal{M}(z, Tz, qt) \geq \min\{\mathcal{M}(z, Tz, t), \mathcal{M}(z, Tz, t), \mathcal{M}(z, Tz, t)\} \\ & \mathcal{M}(z, Tz, qt) \geq \min\{\mathcal{M}(z, Tz, t), \mathcal{M}(z, Tz, t), \mathcal{M}(z, Tz, t)\} \\ & \mathcal{M}(z, z, qt) \geq \min\{\mathcal{M}(z, Tz, t), \mathcal{M}(z, z, z), \mathcal{M}(z, z, z), \mathcal{M}(z, z, z), \mathcal{M}(z, z, z), \mathcal{M}(z, z, z))\} \\ & \mathcal{M}(z, z, qt) \geq \min\{\mathcal{M}(z, z, z), \mathcal{M}(z, z, z))\} \\ & \mathcal{M}(z, z, qt) \geq \min\{\mathcal{M}(z, z, z), \mathcal{M}(z, z,$$

$$Tz = z$$

$$STz = z => Sz = z$$

$$Sz = Tz = z$$

$$Pz = Qz = Rz = Sz = Tz = Az = Bz = z$$

Therefore z is common fixed point of P, Q, R, S, T, A, B

Uniqueness of common fixed point z can easily be shown as in Theorem3.1.

4. Conclusion

This paper is generalization of the result of Govery A. and Singh M.[10] in the sense of using the weak compatible mapping for both pairs which is lighter condition than compatible and continuity of mappings, containment of ranges, completeness of space is not required for the existence of fixed point for six and seven self-mappings in fuzzy metric space

5. References

- 1. Zadeh, L.A., Fuzzy sets, Inform.and control, 8(1965),338-353.
- **2.** Kramosil, I. & Michalek, J. Fuzzy metric and statistical metric spaces, Kybernetica, 1,(1975). 336-344.
- **3.** George, A. & Veeramani, P. On some results in Fuzzy metric spaces. Fuzzy Sets and Systems, 64(1994), 395-399.
- **4.** M. Grebiec, Fixed points in fuzzy metric spaces, Fuzzy Sets and System 27 (1988), 385-389.
- **5.** Jungck, G: Compatible mappings and common fixed points. Int. J. Math. Math. Sci. 9(4), (1986), 771-779.
- 6. Mishra, S.N., Sharma, S.N. and Singh, S.L., Common fixed point of maps in fuzzy metric spaces, Internat. J. Math. Sci.17 (1994), 253-258.
- 7. Jungck, G., Common fixed points for non continuous non self-maps on non-metric spaces. Far East J. Math. Sci.4, (1996), 199-215.
- 8. Singh, B. & Chouhan, M. S. Common fixed points of compatible maps in fuzzy metric spaces. Fuzzy Sets and Systems, 115, (2000), 471-475.
- **9.** Cho S. H., 'On common fixed points in fuzzy metric spaces' Int. Mathematical Forum, 1, no.10, 2006 471- 479.
- **10.** Govery A. K. and Singh M., 'Fixed point theorem in fuzzy metric space through weak compatibility J. Ana. Num. Theor. 5, No. 1 (2017), 1-4.
- **11.** Schweizer, B. and Sklar, A., Statistical metric spaces, Pacific J. Math. 10 (1960), 314 334.
- **12.** Lopez, J., Rodrigues and Romaguera, S., The Hausdorff fuzzy metric on compact sets, Fuzzy Sets and Systems 147 (2), (2004),273-283.
- **13.** Singh, B. and Jain, S., Semi-compatibility and fixed point theorems in fuzzy metric space using implicit relation, international journal of Mathematics and Mathematical sciences, 6(2005), 2617-2629.
- **14.** Singh, B., Jain, S. and Jain, S., Generalized theorems on Fuzzy metric spaces, Southeast Asian Bulletin of Mathematics, 31(2007), 963-978.
- 15. Wadhwa, K., Dubey, H., Jain, R.: Impact of "E.A. Like" property on common fixed point theorems in fuzzy metric spaces, Journal of Advanced Studies in Topology, 3(2012), (1), 52-59
- 16. Sonam, Vandana Rathore, Amita Pal, Ramakant Bhardwaj, Satyendra Narayan 'Fixed-Point Results for Mappings Satisfying Implicit Relation in Orthogonal Fuzzy Metric Spaces", Advances in Fuzzy Systems (2023), Volume 2023, Article ID 5037401, 8 pages https://doi.org/10.1155/2023/5037401
- **17.** Sonam, C.S. Chouhan, Ramakant Bhardwaj, Satyendra Narayan ''Fixed Point Results in Soft Rectangular B-Metric Space'', Nonlinear Functional Analysis and Applications(2023),

Vol. 28, No. 3 (2023), pp. 753-774 ISSN: 1229-1595(print), 2466-0973(online) https://doi.org/10.22771/nfaa.2023.28.03.11

- Sonam, Ramakant Bhardwaj, Satyendra Narayan Fixed point results for soft fuzzy metric spaces, Mathematics (MDPI) (2023), 11, 3189. <u>https://doi.org/</u> 10.3390/math11143189.
- Ramakant Bhardwaj "Fixed Point results in Compact Rough Metric spaces", International Journal of Emerging Technology and Advanced Engineering, (2022) Volume 12, Issue 03, March 22), 107-110, DOI: 10.46338/ijetae0322_12 (Scopus), E-ISSN 2250-2459, https://www.ijetae.com/, https://ijetae.com/files/Volume12Issue3/IJETAE_0322_12.pdf
- 20. Uma Shankar Singh, Naval Singh, Ruchi Singh, Ramakant Bhardwaj, "Common Invariant Point Theorem for Multi-valued Generalized Fuzzy Mapping in b-Metric Space" Recent Trends in Design, Materials and Manufacturing(2022) pp 15–21, Online ISBN,978-981-16-4083-4, Part of the Lecture Notes in Mechanical Engineering book series (LNME) By Springer (Scopus)doi.org/10.1007/978-981-16-4083-4_3
- 21. Sneha A. Khandait, Chitra Singh, Sanjeev Kumar Gupta, Pankaj Kumar Mishra, Ramakant Bhardwaj "Advanced results in fuzzy sets and application in advanced materials" Materials Today Proceedings (2021), Vol 47, ISSN 2214-7853,
- 22. Sharad Gupta, Ramakant Bhardwaj, Wadkar Balaji Raghunath Rao, Rakesh Mohan Sharraf "fixed point theorems in fuzzy metric spaces" Materials Today Proceedings,(2020) 29 P2,611-616
- 23. Wadkar Balaji Raghunath Rao, Ramakant Bhardwaj, Rakesh Mohan Sharraf, "Couple fixed point theorems in soft metric spaces" Materials Today Proceedings (2020) 29 P2,617-624.
- Ramakant Bhardwaj, J .Singhi, Rajesh Shrivastava, "Some Results on fuzzy metric spaces", Proceedings of the World Congress on Engineering 2011, WCE 2011Volume 1, Pages 29- 32.
- 25. Sneha, A. Khandit, Chitra Singh, Ramakant Bhardwaj, Amit Kumar Mishra "Theorems on soft Fuzzy metric space using control Function" Fuzzy Intelligent system, Methodology, Techniques and Applications, Book by Wiley, (2021) Chapter 15, page 413-430, ISBN 978-1-119-76045-0
- 26. Qazi Aftab Kabir, Ramakant Bhardwaj, Ritu Shrivastava, "Theorems on fuzzy soft metric space" Fuzzy Intelligent system, Methodology, Techniques and Applications, Book by Wiley, (2021) Chapter 9, page 269-283, ISBN 978-1-119-76045-0