https://doi.org/10.48047/AFJBS.6.7.2024.4068-4074

African Journal of Biological Sciences

Journal homepage: http://www.afjbs.com

Research Paper Open Access

Exploring Biochemical Markers in the Diagnosis and Management of Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus

Dr Manoranjan Mallick[1],Dr Piyush Shukla[2], Dr Rajanikanta Sahoo[3], Dr Ashis Kumar Biswal[4*]

[1]ASSISTANT PROFESSOR, General Medicine, Government Medical College & Hospital,Sundargarh
[2]ASSISTANT PROFESSOR, Pediatrics, Government Medical College &Hospital, Sundargarh
[3]ASSISTANT PROFESSOR, Dept of Medicine, Government Medical College & Hospital,Sundargarh
[4*]ASSISTANT PROFESSOR, Dept of General Medicine, Government Medical College & Hospital,Sundargarh

Corresponding Author: Dr Ashis Kumar Biswal[*]

Article History
Volume 6, Issue 7, May 2024
Received: 09 March 2024
Accepted: 19 April 2024
doi:
10.48047/AFJBS.6.7.2024.4068-4074

ABSTRACT: Type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) is a chronic metabolic disorder characterized by hyperglycemia due to insulin resistance and/or impaired insulin secretion. This study aimed to evaluate the association between various biochemical markers and glycemic control in T2DM patients. A cross-sectional analysis was conducted on 200 patients recruited from a tertiary care hospital. Data collection included demographic information, anthropometric measurements, and laboratory tests for fasting plasma glucose (FPG), glycated hemoglobin (HbA1c), lipid profile, adipokines (adiponectin, leptin), inflammatory markers (CRP, IL-6), and oxidative stress markers (MDA, TAC).

Results indicated significant correlations between several biochemical markers and HbA1c levels. FPG, total cholesterol, LDL-C, CRP, IL-6, and MDA were positively correlated with HbA1c, indicating poorer glycemic control. Conversely, adiponectin and TAC showed negative correlations with HbA1c, suggesting a protective role. Multiple linear regression analysis identified FPG, CRP, and adiponectin as significant independent predictors of HbA1c levels.

The findings underscore the critical role of a comprehensive panel of biochemical markers in the management of T2DM. Incorporating inflammatory and oxidative stress markers into routine monitoring could enhance the precision of glycemic control strategies and improve patient outcomes. Future research should focus on longitudinal studies and intervention trials to further elucidate these associations and develop targeted therapeutic interventions.

INDEXTERMS: Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus (T2DM), Biochemical Markers, Glycated Hemoglobin (HbA1c), Inflammatory Markers, Oxidative Stress Markers, Adiponectin, Glycemic Control

I. INTRODUCTION

Type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) is a prevalent and multifactorial metabolic disorder characterized by chronic hyperglycemia due to insulin resistance and/or impaired insulin secretion. The global burden of T2DM is substantial, affecting hundreds of millions of individuals and posing significant challenges to healthcare systems worldwide. As the incidence of T2DM continues to rise, there is an increasing need for effective diagnostic, monitoring, and therapeutic strategies to manage the disease and its complications.

Biochemical markers play a crucial role in the management of T2DM, providing insights into the disease's pathophysiology, aiding in early diagnosis, and enabling the monitoring of therapeutic efficacy and disease progression. These markers include traditional indicators such as fasting plasma glucose, glycated hemoglobin (HbA1c), and lipid profiles, as well as emerging biomarkers like adipokines, inflammatory cytokines, and oxidative stress markers. Understanding the significance of these markers and their interplay can enhance our ability to predict, diagnose, and treat T2DM more effectively.

This research paper aims to provide a comprehensive overview of the key biochemical markers associated with T2DM, exploring their roles in disease pathogenesis, their utility in clinical practice, and the potential implications for future research and therapeutic approaches. By delving into the current literature and latest advancements in the field, this study seeks to underscore the importance of biochemical markers in improving the outcomes for individuals with T2DM.

II. METHODS

Study Design and Population: This study was conducted as a cross-sectional analysis of patients diagnosed with type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM). Participants were recruited from the outpatient diabetes clinic of a tertiary care hospital. The inclusion criteria were individuals aged 18 years and above, diagnosed with T2DM according to the American Diabetes Association (ADA) criteria, and who provided informed consent. Exclusion criteria included patients with type 1 diabetes, gestational diabetes, severe renal or hepatic impairment, or those undergoing treatment for malignancies.

Data Collection: Data were collected through comprehensive medical interviews, physical examinations, and laboratory tests. Demographic information, medical history, and medication use were documented. Anthropometric measurements, including weight, height, body mass index (BMI), and waist circumference, were recorded.

Biochemical Marker Analysis: Venous blood samples were collected from participants after an overnight fast of at least 8 hours. The following biochemical markers were measured:

1. **Fasting Plasma Glucose (FPG):** Measured using the glucose oxidase method.
2. **Glycated Hemoglobin (HbA1c):** Determined by high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC).
3. **Lipid Profile:** Including total cholesterol, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C), high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-C), and triglycerides, measured using enzymatic methods.
4. **Adipokines:** Levels of adiponectin and leptin were measured using enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) kits.
5. **Inflammatory Markers:** Serum levels of C-reactive protein (CRP) and interleukin-6 (IL-6) were assessed using ELISA.
6. **Oxidative Stress Markers:** Malondialdehyde (MDA) levels were measured as a marker of lipid peroxidation using a Thiobarbituric acid-reactive substances (TBARS) assay, and total antioxidant capacity (TAC) was determined using colorimetric methods.

Statistical Analysis: Data were analyzed using SPSS software version 25.0. Descriptive statistics were used to summarize the demographic and clinical characteristics of the study population. Continuous variables were expressed as mean ± standard deviation (SD), while categorical variables were presented as frequencies and percentages.

The association between biochemical markers and clinical parameters was evaluated using Pearson's correlation coefficient. Multiple linear regression analysis was performed to identify independent predictors of glycemic control (HbA1c levels). A p-value of less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Limitations: While this study provides valuable insights into the biochemical markers associated with T2DM, it is limited by its cross-sectional design, which precludes the assessment of causality. Additionally, the study population was drawn from a single center, which may limit the generalizability of the findings. Future research should consider longitudinal designs and multi-center collaborations to further validate and expand upon these results.

III. RESULTS

Demographic and Clinical Characteristics: A total of 200 patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) were included in the study. The mean age of the participants was 55.3 ± 10.4 years, with a male-to-female ratio of 1.2:1. The mean duration of diabetes was 8.6 ± 6.1 years. The demographic and clinical characteristics of the study population are summarized in Table 1.

Characteristic	Value
Age (years)	55.3 ± 10.4
Male/Female ratio	1.2:1
Duration of diabetes (years)	8.6 ± 6.1
Body Mass Index (BMI) (kg/m²)	29.4 ± 4.7
Waist Circumference (cm)	98.5 ± 12.3
Hypertension (%)	65
Dyslipidemia (%)	78
Smoking (%)	20

Biochemical Markers: The biochemical parameters measured in the study population are presented in Table 2.

Marker	Value (Mean ± SD)
Fasting Plasma Glucose (mg/dL)	162.4 ± 48.7
HbA1c (%)	8.4 ± 1.6
Total Cholesterol (mg/dL)	202.3 ± 45.6
LDL-C (mg/dL)	120.5 ± 36.4
HDL-C (mg/dL)	45.3 ± 11.2
Triglycerides (mg/dL)	178.6 ± 64.3
Adiponectin (µg/mL)	5.8 ± 2.3
Leptin (ng/mL)	19.4 ± 8.7
CRP (mg/L)	4.2 ± 3.1
IL-6 (pg/mL)	7.3 ± 4.5
MDA (nmol/mL)	3.6 ± 1.2
TAC (mmol/L)	1.2 ± 0.5

Correlation of Biochemical Markers with Glycemic Control: Pearson's correlation analysis revealed significant associations between several biochemical markers and HbA1c levels (Table 3). Fasting plasma glucose, total cholesterol, LDL-C, CRP, IL-6, and MDA were positively correlated with HbA1c, indicating poorer glycemic control. Conversely, adiponectin and TAC showed a negative correlation with HbA1c, suggesting a protective role.

Marker	Correlation Coefficient (r)	p-value
Fasting Plasma Glucose	0.63	<0.001
Total Cholesterol	0.45	<0.001
LDL-C	0.38	<0.001
HDL-C	-0.25	<0.001
Triglycerides	0.22	0.001
Adiponectin	-0.42	<0.001
Leptin	0.27	<0.001
CRP	0.49	<0.001
IL-6	0.51	<0.001
MDA	0.46	<0.001
TAC	-0.34	<0.001

Independent Predictors of Glycemic Control: Multiple linear regression analysis identified fasting plasma glucose ($\beta = 0.45$, $p < 0.001$), CRP ($\beta = 0.29$, $p < 0.001$), and adiponectin ($\beta = -0.32$, $p < 0.001$) as significant independent predictors of HbA1c levels (Table 4).

Predictor	β coefficient	p-value
Fasting Plasma Glucose	0.45	<0.001
CRP	0.29	<0.001
Adiponectin	-0.32	<0.001
Total Cholesterol	0.12	0.062
IL-6	0.14	0.054
MDA	0.11	0.073
TAC	-0.10	0.081

The results of this study underscore the critical role of various biochemical markers in the management of T2DM. The strong correlations observed between markers such as fasting plasma glucose, CRP, adiponectin, and HbA1c levels highlight their potential utility in assessing glycemic control and disease progression. These findings suggest that incorporating a broader range of biochemical markers into routine clinical practice could enhance the precision of diabetes management strategies.

In summary, this study provides evidence that specific biochemical markers are significantly associated with glycemic control in T2DM patients. Future research should focus on longitudinal studies to establish causal relationships and explore the mechanisms underlying these associations to develop targeted therapeutic interventions.

IV. DISCUSSION

This study aimed to evaluate the association between various biochemical markers and glycemic control in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM). The findings provide valuable insights into the role of these markers in the pathophysiology, diagnosis, and management of T2DM.

Key Findings: Our results demonstrated significant correlations between several biochemical markers and HbA1c levels, a standard measure of long-term glycemic control. Specifically, fasting plasma glucose, total cholesterol, LDL-C, CRP, IL-6, and MDA were positively correlated with HbA1c, indicating poorer glycemic control. In contrast, adiponectin and TAC were negatively correlated with HbA1c, suggesting a protective role in glycemic regulation.

Fasting plasma glucose emerged as a strong independent predictor of HbA1c, consistent with its established role in diabetes management. The positive correlation with HbA1c highlights its importance in monitoring and controlling blood glucose levels. Elevated CRP and IL-6 levels, markers of inflammation, were also significantly associated with higher HbA1c levels,

underscoring the link between inflammation and poor glycemic control. These findings align with previous studies suggesting that chronic inflammation contributes to insulin resistance and β-cell dysfunction in T2DM.

Adiponectin, a hormone with anti-inflammatory and insulin-sensitizing properties, was inversely correlated with HbA1c, indicating its beneficial role in T2DM management. Low adiponectin levels have been associated with increased insulin resistance and a higher risk of T2DM. This study reinforces the potential of adiponectin as a therapeutic target for improving insulin sensitivity and glycemic control.

The association between oxidative stress markers (MDA and TAC) and HbA1c levels highlights the impact of oxidative stress on diabetes progression. Elevated MDA levels, indicative of lipid peroxidation, were positively correlated with HbA1c, while higher TAC levels, reflecting overall antioxidant capacity, were inversely correlated. These findings suggest that oxidative stress contributes to poor glycemic control and that enhancing antioxidant defenses could be beneficial for T2DM patients.

Clinical Implications: The significant associations between these biochemical markers and HbA1c emphasize the need for a multifaceted approach in managing T2DM. Traditional markers such as fasting plasma glucose and lipid profiles remain crucial, but incorporating inflammatory and oxidative stress markers could provide a more comprehensive assessment of disease status and progression.

Routine monitoring of CRP, IL-6, adiponectin, MDA, and TAC, alongside traditional markers, could enhance the early detection of metabolic imbalances and allow for timely interventions. For instance, targeting inflammation and oxidative stress through lifestyle modifications and pharmacological interventions might improve glycemic control and reduce the risk of diabetes-related complications.

Limitations and Future Directions: This study has some limitations. The cross-sectional design limits the ability to infer causality between biochemical markers and glycemic control. Longitudinal studies are needed to establish temporal relationships and causative links. Additionally, the study population was recruited from a single center, which may limit the generalizability of the findings. Future research should include diverse populations and multi-center collaborations to validate and extend these results.

Moreover, exploring the mechanisms underlying the observed associations can provide deeper insights into the pathophysiology of T2DM. Investigating the impact of interventions targeting these biochemical markers on glycemic control and clinical outcomes would be valuable. For instance, clinical trials assessing the effects of anti-inflammatory agents, antioxidants, and adiponectin modulators could offer new therapeutic avenues for T2DM management.

Summary: This study highlights the critical role of various biochemical markers in assessing and managing type 2 diabetes mellitus. The significant associations between fasting plasma glucose, inflammatory markers, oxidative stress markers, adiponectin, and HbA1c underscore their potential utility in clinical practice. Incorporating a broader range of biochemical markers into routine monitoring could enhance the precision of diabetes management strategies, ultimately improving patient outcomes. Future research should focus on longitudinal studies and intervention trials to further elucidate the role of these markers and develop targeted therapies for T2DM.

V. CONCLUSION

This study underscores the pivotal role of biochemical markers in the management of type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM). By examining the associations between various markers and glycemic control, we have identified key indicators that provide valuable insights into the disease's pathophysiology and progression.

Key Findings

- **Fasting Plasma Glucose**: Strongly correlated with HbA1c levels, reaffirming its importance in monitoring and managing T2DM.
- **Inflammatory Markers**: Elevated CRP and IL-6 levels were significantly associated with higher HbA1c levels, highlighting the impact of chronic inflammation on glycemic control.
- **Adiponectin**: Inversely correlated with HbA1c, suggesting its protective role in insulin sensitivity and potential as a therapeutic target.
- **Oxidative Stress Markers**: Positive correlation of MDA and negative correlation of TAC with HbA1c levels emphasize the detrimental effects of oxidative stress and the benefits of antioxidant defenses.

Clinical Implications: Incorporating a broader spectrum of biochemical markers into routine clinical practice could enhance the precision of T2DM management. Traditional markers like fasting plasma glucose and lipid profiles remain essential, but the inclusion of inflammatory and oxidative stress markers offers a more comprehensive assessment. This multifaceted approach can facilitate early detection of metabolic imbalances, enabling timely and targeted interventions to improve glycemic control and reduce the risk of complications.

Future Directions: While the findings of this study are significant, further research is needed to establish causative relationships and explore the mechanisms underlying these associations. Longitudinal studies and clinical trials targeting these biochemical markers could provide deeper insights and inform the development of new therapeutic strategies for T2DM.

In conclusion, this study highlights the integral role of biochemical markers in the assessment and management of type 2 diabetes mellitus. By broadening the scope of routine monitoring to include inflammatory and oxidative stress markers, healthcare providers can achieve a more holistic understanding of the disease, ultimately improving patient outcomes. Future research should focus on validating these findings in diverse populations and exploring targeted therapies that address the multifaceted nature of T2DM.

VI. REFERENCES

[1] American Diabetes Association. Standards of Medical Care in Diabetes—2023. Diabetes Care. 2023;46(Suppl 1)

.

[2] Zimmet P, Alberti KGMM, Shaw J. Global and societal implications of the diabetes epidemic. Nature. 2001;414(6865):782-787.

[3] Kahn SE, Cooper ME, Del Prato S. Pathophysiology and treatment of type 2 diabetes: perspectives on the past, present, and future. Lancet. 2014;383(9922):1068-1083.

[4] Stumvoll M, Goldstein BJ, van Haeften TW. Type 2 diabetes: principles of pathogenesis and therapy. Lancet. 2005;365(9467):1333-1346.

[5] DeFronzo RA, Ferrannini E, Zimmet P, Alberti G. International Textbook of Diabetes Mellitus. 4th ed. Wiley; 2015.

[6] Chen L, Magliano DJ, Zimmet PZ. The worldwide epidemiology of type 2 diabetes mellitus: present and future perspectives. Nat Rev Endocrinol. 2012;8(4):228-236.

[7] NCD Risk Factor Collaboration (NCD-RisC). Worldwide trends in diabetes since 1980: a pooled analysis of 751 population-based studies with 4.4 million participants. Lancet. 2016;387(10027):1513-1530.
[8] Pepys MB, Hirschfield GM. C-reactive protein: a critical update. J Clin Invest. 2003;111(12):1805-1812.

[9] Hotamisligil GS. Inflammation and metabolic disorders. Nature. 2006;444(7121):860-867.

[10] Ma RC, Chan JC. Type 2 diabetes in East Asians: similarities and differences with populations in Europe and the United States. Ann N Y Acad Sci. 2013;1281(1):64-91.

[11] Duncan BB, Schmidt MI, Pankow JS, et al. Low-grade systemic inflammation and the development of type 2 diabetes: the atherosclerosis risk in community's study. Diabetes. 2003;52(7):1799-1805.

[12] Tanaka M, Babazono T, Saito S, et al. Association of adiponectin and insulin resistance with altered HDL2 cholesterol level in Japanese men. Metabolism. 2005;54(3):299-304.

[13] Balsan G, da Costa IF, de Oliveira AM, et al. Relationship between adiponectin, obesity and insulin resistance. Rev Assoc Med Bras. 2015;61(1):72-80.

[14] Roberts CK, Sindhu KK. Oxidative stress and metabolic syndrome. Life Sci. 2009;84(21-22):705-712.

[15] Ceriello A, Motz E. Is oxidative stress the pathogenic mechanism underlying insulin resistance, diabetes, and cardiovascular disease? The common soil hypothesis revisited. ArterioscIerThrombVasc Biol. 2004;24(5):816-823.

[16] Kalousová M, Zima T, Tesař V, et al. Advanced glycation end products in kidney disease. Ren Fail. 2002;24(3):337-351.

[17] Haffner SM. The metabolic syndrome: inflammation, diabetes mellitus, and cardiovascular disease. Am J Cardiol. 2006;97(2A):3A-11A.

[18] Festa A, D'Agostino R Jr, Tracy RP, et al. Elevated levels of acute-phase proteins and plasminogen activator inhibitor-1 predict the development of type 2 diabetes: the insulin resistance atherosclerosis study. Diabetes. 2002;51(4):1131-1137.

[19] Reddy MA, Natarajan R. Epigenetic mechanisms in diabetic vascular complications. Cardiovasc Res. 2011;90(3):421-429.

[20] Schmidt MI, Duncan BB, Sharrett AR, et al. Markers of inflammation and prediction of diabetes mellitus in adults (Atherosclerosis Risk in Communities study): a cohort study. Lancet. 1999;353(9165):1649-1652.