



African Journal of Biological Sciences



Research Paper

Open Access

Assessment of possible Roles of Dapagliflozin in Non-Alcoholic Fatty Liver

Shaimaa Ashraf Muhammed Abdulaziz Alsafty, Dalia M Abd El Motteleb, Ameen M Sekinah, Yassmen Mahmoud EL-sayed

Clinical Pharmacology Department, Faculty of Medicine, Zagazig University, Egypt

Email: shaimaa11ashraf@gmail.com

Article History

Volume 6, Issue 2, April-July

Received: 10 July 2024

Accepted: 23 July 2024

Published: 23 July 2024

doi:

10.48047/AFJBS.6.2.2024.1872-1886

Abstract:Background: A broad category including benign liver steatosis, non-alcoholic steatohepatitis, liver fibrosis, cirrhosis, and hepatocellular cancer is non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD). Recent years have seen NAFLD emerge as a significant health concern. It has become a worldwide priority to find ways to stop or slow the development of NAFLD. Even though there are a number of medicinal therapies for NAFLD that are undergoing clinical trials, changing one's lifestyle continues to be the most important part of treatment. Agents such as dapagliflozin, which are sodium-glucose co-transporter type-2 inhibitors (SGLT-2i), are showing great promise. Apoptosis, low-grade inflammation, autophagy, and processes regulated by SGLT-2i, including the endoplasmic reticulum (ER), are all involved in the pathophysiology of NAFLD. We provide the present state of knowledge on the pathophysiology of NAFLD in this review, with an emphasis on the possible role of SGLT-2i in the onset and progression of NAFLD, supported by the best available evidence from in vitro and animal research. Considering this information, doing additional mechanistic studies would enhance our comprehension of the specific pathways contributing to the development of NAFLD and the possible positive effects of SGLT-2i when used to treat NAFLD.

Keywords: Dapagliflozin, sodium-glucose co-transporter type-2 inhibitors, Non-Alcoholic Fatty

Introduction

The rising prevalence of non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD)—which ranges from 13% in Africa to 42% in South-East Asia [1,2]—has emerged as a significant global health concern. Various diseases fall under the umbrella of NAFLD. These include steatosis, which occurs when fat accumulates in more than 5% of hepatocytes, non-alcoholic steatohepatitis, which can lead to necroinflammation and fibrosis, and hepatocellular carcinoma [3,4]. Finding solutions to slow the development of NAFLD has shifted the spotlight worldwide.

Because a high body mass index (BMI) is present in more than 50% of NAFLD patients, it is crucial to treat this condition by making changes to one's lifestyle, such as eating habits, weight control, and exercise routine.

Addressing any co-morbidities, such as obesity, dyslipidemia, or type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM), should continue to be the principal focus in treating individuals with NAFLD, even if drugs targeting NAFLD are currently being developed [7,8].

An interesting development is that a group of international experts has recently suggested the term metabolic (dysfunction) associated fatty liver disease (MAFLD) to better reflect the underlying pathogenesis of the NAFLD spectrum [9,10,11]. This is because the cardiometabolic disorders that accompany NAFLD are responsible for the increased morbidity and mortality in these patients. All type 2 diabetic patients with high transaminases during biopsy had NAFLD, according to Masarone et al., who found a prevalence of 94.82% among MS patients [12]. It was shown that 96.82% of type 2 diabetic patients and 58.52% of MS patients in this study had NASH, which is quite surprising. They came to the conclusion that NASH might be one of the first clinical signs of T2DM [12] since insulin resistance (IR) is significantly associated with the pathophysiology of both T2DM and NASH [13]. It is worth mentioning that none of the MS components—age, sex, smoking habit, cholesterolemia, or otherwise—was linked with a lower risk of cardiovascular-related mortality when NAFLD was present, especially steatohepatitis [11]. An increase in preclinical atherosclerotic damage and adverse coronary, cerebral, and peripheral vascular events were found to be related with NAFLD, according to a number of studies and meta-analyses [14]. In addition, there is evidence that systolic and diastolic cardiac dysfunction are correlated with the severity of fibrosis in liver biopsies [15,16].

SGLT-2i, or sodium-glucose co-transporter type 2, are drugs that reduce blood sugar levels; they also aid in weight loss and reduce levels of uric acid in the blood. These medicines have gained permission for use in non-diabetic patients with chronic renal disorders and heart failure because of the significant benefits they have shown even in people without diabetes [17,18]. As a result of their anti-hyperglycemic and weight-reducing properties as well as their apparent strong antioxidant and anti-inflammatory actions, SGLT-2i show great promise as a therapy for NAFLD.

Indeed, imaging techniques and improvements in biological indicators of NAFLD show that SGLT-2i has a favorable effect on fatty liver buildup; however, these benefits have been observed mostly in patients with type 2 diabetes.

With an emphasis on the underlying mechanisms of action, we provide here the most recent findings regarding the impact of SGLT-2i on the progression of NAFLD. One way that SGLT-2i helps NAFLD is by regulating a number of processes, including ER stress, oxidative stress, low-grade inflammation, autophagy, and apoptosis. Thus, we summarize the data from *in vitro*, animal, investigations that support the idea that SGLT-2i may influence the onset and progression of NAFLD.

Advances in our understanding of this multi-factorial illness have led to changes in the main theory underlying the pathogenesis of NAFLD. For a long time, the "two-hit" notion was widely accepted. This theory proposed that NAFLD pathophysiology included two "hits" from different sources (e.g., oxidative stress, ER stress, other injury) that were necessary for the onset and advancement of hepatic inflammation (NASH) and fibrosis, and a first "hit" representing the stage of simple steatosis alone (NAFL) that includes hepatocytic lipid accumulation and hepatic insulin resistance [19]. A more recent idea, the "multiple parallel-hit" model [20], has superseded this earlier one and aims to clarify the intricate pathophysiology and development of NAFLD. This theory proposes that various combinations of various (epi)genetic and environmental factors, or "hits," interact in a dynamic manner and can contribute to the onset and progression of NAFLD. Certain genetic variations and epigenetic alterations [21], metabolic syndrome features [22,23,24,25] (e.g., a Western diet, insufficient physical exercise, central obesity, dysregulation of adipokines and insulin resistance), lipotoxicity [26,27], gut microbiota dysbiosis [26], autophagy and mitochondrial function dysregulation [28,29,30], endoplasmic reticulum stress [31], hepatocyte homeostasis and death [32,33], inflammatory and fibrotic responses [34,35]. When the liver's ability to process primary metabolic energy sources is overloaded, harmful lipid species build up, causing hepatocyte malfunction, apoptosis, metabolically activated inflammation, and fibrosis [36].

When adipose tissue's ability to store surplus energy from food decreases, the characteristic of NAFLD pathogenesis appears to be an increase in hepatocytes' adipocyte-like (dys)function [37,38,39,40]. Hepatic de novo lipogenesis [41,42,43] is driven by increased metabolic substrates in tissues [27] during energy surplus situations, which in turn enhances IR and creates a vicious cycle. The equilibrium among lipid production, absorption, and lysis controls the buildup of intrahepatic lipid levels [44]. Intracellular buildup of free fatty acids (FFA) results from an imbalance between lipogenesis and lipolysis, which in turn causes hepatocellular damage, IR, impaired liver function, hepatic steatosis, and the development of NASH, cirrhosis, and hepatocellular cancer [44].

Impairment of insulin signaling in adipocytes is caused by metabolic-induced inflammation and dysregulation of adipokines (such as leptin and adiponectin) in the majority of persons with NAFLD [45,46]. This deficit leads to an increase in FA supply to the liver through sped-up lipolysis in subcutaneous adipose tissue and decreased FA absorption [45,46,47]. Overloaded hepatocytes experience an increase in reactive oxygen species (ROS) production [41,48] and the generation of highly reactive aldehyde by-products [49] due to the upregulation of physiologically minor β -oxidation processes in the peroxisomes and the endoplasmic reticulum (ER). Damage to DNA in the nucleus and mitochondria, as well as rupture of phospholipid membranes and cell death, result from this occurrence. In patients with non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD), toxic lipid metabolites like diacylglycerols [24], ceramides [52,53], and lysophosphatidyl choline species [53] are generated as a result of mitochondrial dysfunction and impaired β -oxidation. This leads to hepatocyte dysfunction (lipotoxicity) [54,55] and endothelial dysfunction (ER stress). Patients with non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) have problems with the unfolded protein response (UPR), an adaptive homeostatic mechanism of endoplasmic reticulum (ER) stress [56]. As a result, more cell stress sensors are turned on, and inflammatory and apoptotic pathways are elevated [57,58]. Another important factor in the development of NAFL and NASH appears to be the gut microbiota. Inflammasome activation and Toll-like receptor signaling initiate an intrahepatic inflammatory response in response to damage-associated molecular patterns and pathogens originating in the gut [35,59,60]. Neutrophils, monocytes, T-lymphocytes, and macrophages are recruited and progressively penetrate the liver parenchyma after activation of hepatic innate immune cells, including Kupffer cells, dendritic cells, and hepatic stellate cells (HSCs) [34,61]. Then, in an unsuccessful effort at tissue regeneration, the released growth factors and cytokines amplify the inflammatory response and add to the fibrotic process [35]. The complex pathogenetic process of NAFLD involves multiple pathways, making the search for a "wonder drug" an arduous undertaking.

In the time after, several efforts were made to create phlorizin C-glucoside analogs that could inhibit SGLT-2 effectively and selectively. As a result of these efforts, dapagliflozin was developed in 2008 by Meng et al. [71]. The effectiveness of dapagliflozin against human SGLT-2 was about 1,200 times greater than that against SGLT-1. The United States Food and Drug Administration (FDA) authorized dapagliflozin and multiple other C-glucoside inhibitors in the subsequent years. The inhibitory actions of canagliflozin, a thiophene derivative of C-glucoside, were found to be more than 400 times different between SGLT-2 and SGLT-1 in humans [72]. The third agent to be approved by both the EMA and the FDA was empagliflozin, which has a selectivity for SGLT-2 over SGLT-1 that is approximately 2700 times higher than that of luseogliflozin and topogliflozin. Up to this point, only Japan and Russia have authorized the use of ipragliflozin [73,74,75,76,77].

Here are the chemical formulas and brand names of the SGLT-2 inhibitors that are currently approved by the FDA in the US: ertugliflozin, dapagliflozin, canagliflozin, and empagliflozin, as well as the structure of phlorizin [78]. Many patents and articles have already revealed the different structures of other SGLT-2 inhibitors [79,80].

SGLT-2 Inhibitors in NAFLD

In Vitro Data

Human hepatocellular carcinoma cells (HepG2) have been found to express both the SGLT-1 and SGLT-2 co-transporters [81,82,83], whereas SGLT-2 has also been found in immortalized human primary hepatocyte cells (HuS-E/2), and in immortalized normal human hepatocyte-derived liver cells (L02) [84,85].

Research has shown that the majority of SGLT-2i have an anti-proliferative effect in several hepatic cell lines. This effect is achieved, among other things, by reducing glucose absorption [86]. Canagliflozin significantly reduced cell proliferation by increasing the number of cells in the G0/G1 phase and decreasing the number of cells in the G2/M phase when incubated with L02 and HepG2 cells for 24 hours at different doses [86]. Furthermore, activation of caspase 3 in HepG2 cells demonstrated an apoptotic effect [87]. Theoretically, SGLT-2i regulates cell proliferation and survival by targeting cyclins and cyclin-dependent kinases (CDKs), which are cell growth regulators. Consequently, HepG2 cells that were treated with canagliflozin showed an upregulation of the cell growth regulator hepatocyte nuclear factor 4 α (HNF4 α) [82]. In addition, canagliflozin therapy has been associated with cell cycle arrest in a hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) cell line due to decreased expression of cyclin D1, cyclin D2, and cdk4 [82,87]. Another study found that by incubating HepG2 cells with canagliflozin, the anti-carcinogenic capability of γ -irradiation was enhanced by modulation of ER stress-mediated autophagy and cell apoptosis, and the survival of clonogenic cells was decreased [88]. Contrarily, at doses of 10, 50, and 100 μ M, the HepG2 cell proliferation rate was boosted by trilobatin, a new SGLT-1/2 inhibitor, while human HCC cell proliferation rate was unaffected by incubation with tofogliflozin at different dosages [89]. There was no effect on cancer cell survival [81,86] or adhesion capacity [81] when canagliflozin, empagliflozin, or dapagliflozin were incubated with HepG2 cells. However, an increase in the number of floating HepG2 cells [81] indicated that the cells were sensitive to dapagliflozin after UDP Glucuronosyltransferase Family 1 Member A9 (UGT1A9) was silenced.

The treatment with dapagliflozin significantly reduced the buildup of lipids and total lipids in L02 cells caused by oleic acid (OA) by increasing FA β -oxidation. This was demonstrated by increased levels of proliferator-activated receptor-gamma coactivator-1 alpha (PGC-1 α) and activation of the AMP-activated protein kinase (AMPK)/mammalian target of rapamycin (mTOR) signaling pathway [84]. Multiple in vitro investigations have demonstrated that AMPK plays a critical role in mediating the numerous positive effects of SGLT-2i on glucose and cholesterol metabolism in liver cells. In OA-stimulated HuS-E/2 cells, dapagliflozin inhibited glucose absorption by activating AMPK and decreasing SGLT-2 expression [90]. After subjecting cells to compound C, a strong AMPK inhibitor, this effect was reversed [84]. In addition, canagliflozin treatment of HepG2 cells activated AMPK, which permitted hepatic cholesterol efflux. Then, the reverse transport of cholesterol was stimulated because AMPK activation elevated the expression of the liver X receptor (LXR) and the proteins that interact with it [91]. In addition, activation of LXR regulates the expression of the ATP-binding cassette (ABC) transporters ABCG5 and ABCG8, which speeds up the elimination of cholesterol in the

feces [92]. The expression of ABCG5, ABCG8, and LXR were all decreased after canagliflozin treatment, but this effect was blocked when cells were treated with compound C [91]. The activation of AMP-kinase is triggered by an elevated AMP/ADP ratio. Indirectly activating the AMPK signaling pathway, SGLT-2i lowers cellular ATP levels is well-documented [83,93]. The control of glucose metabolism is the mechanism by which dapagliflozin reduces intracellular ATP levels [84].

To simulate the hepatocyte condition in NASH, palmitic acid (PA) is used to stimulate hepatic cell lines [94,95]. Dapagliflozin significantly reduced intracellular lipid accumulation when incubated with PA-stimulated L02 and HepG2 cell lines. The decrease in lipid synthesis-related proteins, an increase in genes involved in fatty acid oxidation (such as PPAR α and CPT1a), modulation of the AMPK/mTOR pathway, and autophagy were the factors that were thought to be responsible for this result. Intriguingly, the positive effect of dapagliflozin on reducing intracellular lipid accumulation was reversed when cells were incubated with compound C [85]. The results indicate that activation of AMPK signaling is directly related to the SGLT-2i-induced improvement of NAFLD.

Animal Studies

There are primarily two types of animal models used to study NAFLD and NAASH. One group includes rats with specific genetic modifications, such as those with leptin deficiency (ob/ob), resistance to leptin (db/db), Agouti mutation (KK-Ay), and apolipoprotein E knockout (ApoE $^{-/-}$) mutations. Another group includes rats with specific genetic modifications, such as the Zucker diabetic fatty (ZDF) rats, the Prague hereditary hypertriglyceridemic (HHTg) rats, and the Otsuka Long-Evans Tokushima Fried (OLETF) rat. Animal models of non-alcoholic steatohepatitis and non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) that have been induced by dietary or pharmaceutical manipulation fall into a different category. These models have been fed different diets, such as the MCD diet, the AMLN diet (amylin liver non-alcoholic steatohepatitis, NASH), the CDAA diet, a high-fat diet (HFD), an HFD + cholesterol diet, or a high-fat, high-calorie (HFHC) diet [30,96,97,98,99,100]. Hepatic tissues from rats and mice express the SGLT-1 and SGLT-2 genes, according to the majority of recent evidence [30,85,87,101]. Hepatic macrophages and T lymphocytes also show elevated SGLT-2 protein levels [101]. Our understanding of the possible hepato-protective mechanisms of SGLT-2i actions is primarily derived from animal research, while the precise molecular processes by which SGLT-2i induces its favorable effects on NAFLD remain unclear.

Animal studies indicate that other pathways are more likely to be responsible for the documented SGLT-2i-mediated hepato-protection, even if SGLT-2i-induced weight loss appears to play a significant role in hepato-protective effects on NAFLD in humans [102,103,104].

In addition to decreasing the accumulation of white adipose tissue, administration of SGLT-2i causes a net loss of calories, which in turn reduces body weight growth [99,105,106,107]. Research has shown that SGLT-2i can enhance weight loss by regulating the AMP/ATP ratio, which in turn increases basal metabolism, increases body temperature, and improves systemic IR [19]. In a study conducted by Petito da Silva et al., it was found that male C57Bl/6 mice that were fed a high-fat diet (HFD) for five weeks at a dose of 10 mg/kg/day of empagliflozin (Table 1) considerably reduced their body weight and mass, even if their appetites were somewhat increased [107]. Canagliflozin improved liver function, TG content, and NAS score in male mice that were fed a high-fat diet for four weeks (Table 2), just as it did in humans [108]. Also, in both the HFD and HFD+MCD diet-fed C57Bl/6 mice, dapagliflozin lowered body weight and ALT levels in the short-term (Table 3) [109]. Although SGLT-2i may have a positive impact on weight loss, not all research have found this to be the case. No significant effect on body weight growth, epididymal fat weight, or food consumption was seen in studies that administered SGLT-2i [30,105,110,111]. Supplementing an AMLN diet with 40 mg ipragliflozin/kg for 8 weeks did not significantly change the body weight of AMLN diet fed mice. However, it did improve liver function, hepatic fibrosis, and NA score [114], which is in contrast to the strong effects of low dose ipragliflozin on weight loss reported in several studies (Table 4). While 10 mg/kg/day of

empagliflozin improved liver function and NAFLD status, it had no discernible impact on body weight in ApoE knockout mice [30], ZDF, HHTg, and Wistar rats [115,116].

While some research suggests that taking SGLT-2i won't make you put on any pounds [30,100,110,111,112,113,114], the bulk of the evidence suggests that it will help you lose weight.

Nevertheless, it appears that SGLT-2i's protective benefits against NAFLD progression are mediated by mechanisms other than weight. Reducing the expression of genes involved in de novo lipogenesis, FA uptake, and hepatic TG secretion, while promoting the expression of key regulatory genes of fatty acid β -oxidation, SGLT-2i treatment improves IR and ameliorates intracellular FFA, total cholesterol (TC), and TG accumulation [30,84,100,107,109,112,117]. At higher doses, lipotoxic intermediates like diacylglycerols (DAG) contribute to insulin resistance (IR) by activating the protein kinase C (PKC) ϵ pathway [118], but taking empagliflozin lowers hepatic TAG levels and improves IR [115]. Importantly, Hüttl et al. recently showed that an increase in Nrf2, fibroblast growth factor 21, and altered gene expression of the cytochrome P450 (CYP) enzyme superfamily of cytochrome [115] mediates the decrease in hepatic lipid accumulation after empagliflozin treatment. Curiously, Nrf2 controls lipid metabolism by reducing insulin sensitivity whereas FGF21 controls lipogenesis [119,120].

Some of the most important genes involved in lipogenesis, such as fatty acid synthase (Fasn), acetyl-CoA carboxylase 1 (Acc1), and stearoyl-CoA desaturase1 (Scd-1), are regulated by sterol regulatory element-binding transcription factor 1 (SREBP1). There is evidence that suggests that elevated SREBP1 expression can worsen hepatic steatosis [121]. Several studies have shown that canagliflozin and empagliflozin both suppress SREBP1 and Scd-1 expression [30,107,108,115]. However, Kern et al. [122] showed that eight weeks of treatment with empagliflozin had no significant effect on Scd-1 levels in db/db mice. In ZDF rats, Scd-1 gene expression was down-regulated and ACC1 phosphorylation was lowered after nine weeks of therapy with dapagliflozin (1 mg/kg/day). Due to the decreased mTOR expression/activity found following SGLT-2i treatment [84,123], which could explain the decreased expression of SREBP1 and its downstream lipogenic targets, as SREBP1 activity is directly regulated by mTOR signaling [123].

The overexpression of the peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor gamma (PPAR- γ) causes the production of new lipoproteins and the subsequent accumulation of these lipid droplets within the liver cells (hepatocytes) [124]. When compared to insulin glargine-treated rats, those given dapagliflozin for eight weeks had a lower liver weight and no liver steatosis progression [125]. Without influencing insulin sensitivity, liver TC, or oleate content, this effect was discovered to be mediated through reduced expression of PPAR- γ targeted genes involved in fatty acid synthesis, including Scd-1, Mogat1, Cell death inducing DFFA like effector A (Cidea), and cell death inducing DFFA like effector C (Cidec) [125].

SGLT-2i has also been demonstrated to undo the down regulation of genes involved in FA β -oxidation and lipolysis that has been caused by a high-fat diet in the livers of different NAFLD mice models [30,84,107,108]. The fact that SGLT-2i induces PPAR α expression has been demonstrated [84,105,107,114]. The majority of genes involved in the FA β -oxidation pathway in mitochondria, including ACOX1, CPT1, and cytochrome-mediated (CYP4A1 and CYP4A3), are regulated by PPAR α activation [44]. Research has demonstrated that AMLN-fed C57BL/6j mice with elevated levels of PPAR α , CPT1A, and Microsomal Triglyceride Transfer Protein (MTTP) gene expression experienced an acceleration of β -oxidation and the export of very-low-density lipoprotein (VLDL) after receiving ipragliflozin therapy. The export of hepatic LDL is greatly impacted by MTTP [114]. In a similar vein, dapagliflozin reduced the buildup of hepatic lipids in rats with ZDF by increasing levels of the FA β -oxidation enzyme ACOX1 [85]. While tofogliflozin did not affect the expression of genes associated to FA β -oxidation in tumorous liver tissues, it did so in non-tumorous liver lesions [126]. On the other hand, not every study finds that SGLT-2i promotes the expression of transcription factors and enzymes that are involved in regulating FA β -oxidation [107,114,126]. Importantly, after eight weeks of empagliflozin therapy, the expression of PPAR α did not change in either Wistar or HHTg rats [107,115]. Zinc alpha 2 glycoprotein (ZAG) is an essential regulator of hepatic lipolysis; its down-regulation was ameliorated by canagliflozin administration at doses of 10 and 20 mg/kg/day in response to the high-fat diet (HFD).

Similar SGLT-2i treatment of KK-Ay animals increased levels of the prostaglandin E2 (PGE2) protein in the liver, which in turn decreased lipid droplet accumulation [111]. In addition, when canagliflozin and exercise were administered together, they increased hepatic PPAR γ Coactivator 1 Beta (PGC1b) levels and decreased the expression of genes that cause lipogenesis in the liver, like Scd1 [108]. Notably, PGC1 is involved in regulating hepatic gluconeogenesis, and studies have linked its overexpression to an increase in hepatic FA oxidation [127].

Reduced oxidative stress, inflammation in the liver, and cell death are the results of SGLT-2-i's ability to limit de novo lipogenesis while simultaneously increasing lipolysis and β -oxidation [128]. Reduced levels of oxidative stress, inflammation, and apoptosis were observed in the livers of diabetic Wistar adult male rats given canagliflozin. This was supported by measures such as decreased levels of plasma malondialdehyde (MDA), serum tumor necrosis factor (TNF), and caspase-3, and increased expression of interleukin-6 (IL-6) in the livers of these rats [128,129]. Treatment with dapagliflozin also alleviated hepatic steatosis and decreased expression of hepatic inflammatory cytokines (TNF- α , IL-1 β , and IL-18) in male Wistar rats fed HCHF [130]. Ipragliflozin has anti-inflammatory and anti-oxidative properties, according to research by Tahara et al. [131]. This was shown in mice that were either streptozotocin-nicotinamide created type 2 diabetics or were fed a high-fat diet. Similarly, decreasing hepatic ROS generation of myeloperoxidase (MPO) and F4/80 with dapagliflozin administration at a dose of 1 mg/kg/day ameliorated blood ALT levels and hepatic fibrosis [132]. Enzyme MPO controls the start of the acute inflammatory response and encourages the progression of chronic inflammation by producing oxidants [133]. Additionally, levels of hepatic ROS, MDA, and 8-hydroxy-2'-deoxyguanosine (8-OHdG) were decreased after 16 weeks of canagliflozin administration in F344 rats [110]. Lipidomic acid dehydrogenase (MDA) and oxidative DNA damage (8-OHdG) are two well-established indicators, correspondingly. Reducing hepatic oxidative stress and ameliorating hepatic inflammation were both improved by combination therapy with canagliflozin and teneligliptin, which was noteworthy [110]. Thiobarbituric acid reactive substances (TBARS) levels were decreased as an indicator of reduced oxidative stress in patients treated with remogliflozin and ipragliflozin [100,134]. Alternatively, neither the hepatic TBARS nor the plasma ALT levels were significantly affected by four weeks of dapagliflozin administration at a dose of 1 mg/kg/twice daily [135]. Empagliflozin treatment reduced oxidative stress, which improved hepatic inflammation [30,107,116] and steatosis [30,107,115], as measured by down-regulation of inflammatory markers. Intriguingly, SGLT-2-anti-inflammatory i's effects in the liver have been associated with reduced macrophage infiltration expression [107,117,134] and increased autophagy markers [30,117]. In particular, empagliflozin therapy decreased oxidative stress by increasing antioxidant enzyme activity (SOD) and decreasing hepatic inflammation through upregulating Nrf2 [115]. In diet-induced obese mice and NASH mouse models, empagliflozin reduced inflammation by suppressing hepatic nuclear factor kappa-light-chain-enhancer of activated B cells (NF κ B), monocyte chemoattractant protein-1 (MCP-1), and tumor necrosis factor-alpha (TNF- α) expression, and by inhibiting the IL17/IL23 axis [115,117,136]. Mice and rats treated with low doses of dapagliflozin and ipragliflozin (1 mg/kg/day) showed reduced hepatic inflammation. By reducing expression of pro-inflammatory markers Emr1 and Itgax in non-tumorous lesions, ipragliflozin treatment for 12 weeks did relieve hepatic fibrosis [126]. Hupa-Breier et al. recently found that empagliflozin alone, at a dose of 10 mg/kg/day, had no beneficial effect on diet-induced NASH after four weeks of treatment, but it did increase expression of pro-inflammatory and pro-fibrotic genes. By regulating the pro-inflammatory immune response and microbiome dysbiosis, the combination of dulaglutide and empagliflozin had a hepato-protective impact on diabetic background mice in the same study [137].

The positive effects of empagliflozin on liver inflammation and ALT levels were shown by Meng et al. to be mediated mostly through the stimulation of AMPK/mTOR activity [117]. Liver kinase B1 (LKB1) is a master serine/threonine kinase that activates downstream AMPK pathway kinases [105,138]. Dapagliflozin therapy also activates the AMPK/mTOR pathway by phosphorylation of LKB1. Prior research has shown that autophagy-dependent lipid catabolism caused by SGLT-2i requires AMPK activation [30,117]. By increasing

AMPK phosphorylation and BECLIN gene expression and decreasing P62 levels and mTOR levels and activity, both dapagliflozin and empagliflozin induce hepatic autophagy [30,85].

Steatosis and the advancement of NAFLD/NASH are both impacted by ER stress, which in turn impairs autophagy [30,107]. In line with the idea that endoplasmic reticulum stress plays a regulatory role in autophagy, Nasiri-Ansari et al. showed that empagliflozin can reduce hepatic cell death and improve endoplasmic reticulum stress by stimulating autophagy [30]. Specifically, there are three branches of ER stress that empagliflozin administration inhibits: inositol-requiring enzyme 1 (IRE1a), X-box binding protein 1 (Xbp1), activating transcription factor 4 (ATF4), C/EBP homologous protein (CHOP), and activating transcription factor 6 (ATF6) [30,107]. In a recent study, Chen et al. found that reducing ATF6 signaling reduced inflammation and hepatic cell death caused by endoplasmic reticulum stress [139]. In HFD-fed ApoE^{-/-} animals with NASH, the hepatic cell apoptosis was controlled by the combined effects of the ER stress response and autophagy process, which led to a decrease in cleaved caspase 3 levels and an increase in the B-cell lymphoma-2 (Bcl-2)/BCL2 Associated X (Bax) ratio [30]. Notably, canagliflozin has been shown to have an anti-apoptotic effect on mice that are fed a high-fat diet, as indicated by strong expression of Bcl-2 in their livers [129].

The conversion of hepatic stellate cells to myofibroblasts, a byproduct of chronic inflammation, is a factor in liver fibrosis and the development of NASH from NAFLD [140]. The most powerful inducer of liver fibrosis is Transforming growth factor beta (TGF- β). The expression of hepatic TGF- β was decreased in both F344 rats and MC4R-KO mice after 16 and 20 weeks of canagliflozin administration, respectively [101,110]. It is worth noting that the activation of TGF- β results in a rise in the production of fibronectin and collagen types I, II, and IV [141]. Mice treated with both empagliflozin and canagliflozin had their collagen expression levels decreased, suggesting that the two drugs worked together [87,137]. Reduced expression of collagen1a1, collagen1a2, TGF- β , and smooth muscle actin (SMA) is another mechanism by which luseogliflozin produces anti-fibrotic effects [142]. There is a wealth of information demonstrating that tissue inhibitors of metalloproteinases (TIMPs) play a pivotal role in the development of fibrosis in the liver. Both luseogliflozin and canagliflozin have been found to alleviate hepatic fibrosis and decrease TIMP expression [101,126,142]. Treating cells with empagliflozin had no effect on the expression of markers for stellate cell functioning, including galectin-3, a-SMA, and collagen1a1 [99].

The development of cirrhosis and HCC is more likely in individuals with NASH. Several variables, including inflammation, abnormal metabolism, and hepatic lipotoxicity, lead to DNA instability, which in turn causes hepatic tumorigenesis [141,143]. Since most studies have demonstrated that SGLT-2i protects against the progression of NAFLD/NASH, researchers set out to determine whether it has any influence on the formation or progression of HCC [87,101,142]. Liver cancer and induced fibrosis are both favorably associated with P53/P21 signaling pathway activation. Effective reduction of P21 expression and amelioration of NASH progression through, at least in part, modulating genes relevant to the hepatocyte cellular senescence-associated secretory phenotype was seen after a 14-week therapy with tofogliflozin [89]. Canagliflozin, when administered daily, significantly reduced the incidence of hepatic tumorous lesions, preventing hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) in both the STAM (a NASH model) mice (11 weeks) and the MC4R-KO (HFD-fed) mice (52 weeks) [87,101]. Canagliflozin also had an anti-carcinogenic impact in F344 rats that were fed CDAA for 66 weeks; this was shown by a decrease in the number of cells positive for placental glutathione S-transferase, a major indicator of hepatocarcinogenesis [110]. Finally, by suppressing hepatic neovascularization indicators, such as cluster of differentiation 31 (CD31) and vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) [110], canagliflozin treatment decreased the development of hepatic preneoplastic lesions in rats given CDAA.

Conclusion

No matter how common type 2 diabetes is, there is growing interest in SGLT-2i as a potential treatment for non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD). According to in vitro, animal, and clinical studies, SGLT-2i has a number of beneficial effects on NAFLD development and progression, including weight loss and the

regulation of multiple processes, such as oxidative stress, low-grade inflammation, autophagy, and apoptosis. Also, different SGLT-2i medications have different impacts on NAFLD, which may indicate that there are characteristics unique to each drug in this class in terms of its action mechanism(s) and how it affects NAFLD

References:

1. Younossi, Z.M.; Koenig, A.B.; Abdelatif, D.; Fazel, Y.; Henry, L.; Wymer, M. Global epidemiology of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease-Meta-analytic assessment of prevalence, incidence, and outcomes. *Hepatology* 2016, 64, 73–84. [Google Scholar] [CrossRef] [PubMed] [Green Version]
2. Li, J.; Zou, B.; Ye, Y.H.; Feng, Y.; Xie, X.; Lee, D.H.; Fujii, H.; Wu, Y.; Kam, L.Y.; Ji, F.; et al. Prevalence, incidence, and outcome of non-alcoholic fatty liver disease in Asia, 1999–2019: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Lancet Gastroenterol. Hepatol.* 2019, 4, 389–398. [Google Scholar] [CrossRef]
3. Hui, J.M.; Kench, J.G.; Chitturi, S.; Sud, A.; Farrell, G.C.; Byth, K.; Hall, P.; Khan, M.; George, J. Long-term outcomes of cirrhosis in nonalcoholic steatohepatitis compared with hepatitis C. *Hepatology* 2003, 38, 420–427. [Google Scholar] [CrossRef] [PubMed]
4. Bugianesi, E.; Leone, N.; Vanni, E.; Marchesini, G.; Brunello, F.; Carucci, P.; Musso, A.; De Paolis, P.; Capussotti, L.; Salizzoni, M.; et al. Expanding the natural history of nonalcoholic steatohepatitis: From cryptogenic cirrhosis to hepatocellular carcinoma. *Gastroenterology* 2002, 123, 134–140. [Google Scholar] [CrossRef] [PubMed]
5. Yki-Jarvinen, H. Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease as a cause and a consequence of metabolic syndrome. *Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol.* 2014, 2, 901–910. [Google Scholar] [CrossRef]
6. Petroni, M.L.; Brodosi, L.; Bugianesi, E.; Marchesini, G. Management of non-alcoholic fatty liver disease. *BMJ* 2021, 372, m4747. [Google Scholar] [CrossRef]
7. European Association for the Study of the Liver (EASL); European Association for the Study of Diabetes (EASD); European Association for the Study of Obesity (EASO). EASL-EASD-EASO Clinical Practice Guidelines for the management of non-alcoholic fatty liver disease. *J. Hepatol.* 2016, 64, 1388–1402. [Google Scholar] [CrossRef]
8. Chalasani, N.; Younossi, Z.; Lavine, J.E.; Charlton, M.; Cusi, K.; Rinella, M.; Harrison, S.A.; Brunt, E.M.; Sanyal, A.J. The diagnosis and management of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease: Practice guidance from the American Association for the Study of Liver Diseases. *Hepatology* 2018, 67, 328–357. [Google Scholar] [CrossRef]
9. Eslam, M.; Sanyal, A.J.; George, J. MAFLD: A Consensus-Driven Proposed Nomenclature for Metabolic Associated Fatty Liver Disease. *Gastroenterology* 2020, 158, 1999–2014.e1. [Google Scholar] [CrossRef]
10. Fouad, Y.; Waked, I.; Bollipo, S.; Gomaa, A.; Ajlouni, Y.; Attia, D. What's in a name? Renaming 'NAFLD' to 'MAFLD'. *Liver Int.* 2020, 40, 1254–1261. [Google Scholar] [CrossRef] [Green Version]
11. Rinaldi, L.; Pafundi, P.C.; Galiero, R.; Caturano, A.; Morone, M.V.; Silvestri, C.; Giordano, M.; Salvatore, T.; Sasso, F.C. Mechanisms of Non-Alcoholic Fatty Liver Disease in the Metabolic Syndrome. A Narrative Review. *Antioxidants* 2021, 10, 270. [Google Scholar] [CrossRef]
12. Masarone, M.; Rosato, V.; Aglitti, A.; Bucci, T.; Caruso, R.; Salvatore, T.; Sasso, F.C.; Tripodi, M.F.; Persico, M. Liver biopsy in type 2 diabetes mellitus: Steatohepatitis represents the sole feature of liver damage. *PLoS ONE* 2017, 12, e0178473. [Google Scholar] [CrossRef]
13. Tanase, D.M.; Gosav, E.M.; Costea, C.F.; Ciocoiu, M.; Lacatusu, C.M.; Maranduca, M.A.; Ouatu, A.; Floria, M. The Intricate Relationship between Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus (T2DM), Insulin Resistance (IR), and Nonalcoholic Fatty Liver Disease (NAFLD). *J. Diabetes Res.* 2020, 2020, 3920196. [Google Scholar] [CrossRef]
14. Fargion, S.; Porzio, M.; Fracanzani, A.L. Nonalcoholic fatty liver disease and vascular disease: State-of-the-art. *World J. Gastroenterol.* 2014, 20, 13306–13324. [Google Scholar] [CrossRef]
15. Galiero, R.; Caturano, A.; Vetrano, E.; Cesaro, A.; Rinaldi, L.; Salvatore, T.; Marfella, R.; Sardu, C.; Moscarella, E.; Gragnano, F.; et al. Pathophysiological mechanisms and clinical evidence of relationship between Nonalcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) and cardiovascular disease. *Rev. Cardiovasc. Med.* 2021, 22, 755–768. [Google Scholar] [CrossRef]
16. Petta, S.; Argano, C.; Colomba, D.; Camma, C.; Di Marco, V.; Cabibi, D.; Tuttolomondo, A.; Marchesini, G.; Pinto, A.; Licata, G.; et al. Epicardial fat, cardiac geometry and cardiac function in patients with non-alcoholic fatty liver disease: Association with the severity of liver disease. *J. Hepatol.* 2015, 62, 928–933. [Google Scholar] [CrossRef]
17. U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA). FDA Approves New Treatment for a Type of Heart Failure. Available online: <https://www.fda.gov/news-events/press-announcements/fda-approves-new-treatment-type-heart-failure> (accessed on 10 December 2021).
18. U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA). FDA Approves Treatment for Chronic Kidney Disease. Available online: <https://www.fda.gov/news-events/press-announcements/fda-approves-treatment-chronic-kidney-disease> (accessed on 15 December 2021).
19. Kim, J.W.; Lee, Y.J.; You, Y.H.; Moon, M.K.; Yoon, K.H.; Ahn, Y.B.; Ko, S.H. Effect of sodium-glucose cotransporter 2 inhibitor, empagliflozin, and alpha-glucosidase inhibitor, voglibose, on hepatic steatosis in an animal model of type 2 diabetes. *J. Cell Biochem.* 2018, 20, 8534–8546. [Google Scholar] [CrossRef]

20. Buzzetti, E.; Pinzani, M.; Tsochatzis, E.A. The multiple-hit pathogenesis of non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD). *Metabolism* 2016, 65, 1038–1048. [Google Scholar] [CrossRef]
21. Eslam, M.; Valenti, L.; Romeo, S. Genetics and epigenetics of NAFLD and NASH: Clinical impact. *J. Hepatol.* 2018, 68, 268–279. [Google Scholar] [CrossRef]
22. Mahady, S.E.; George, J. Exercise and diet in the management of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. *Metabolism* 2016, 65, 1172–1182. [Google Scholar] [CrossRef] [Green Version]
23. Polyzos, S.A.; Kountouras, J.; Mantzoros, C.S. Obesity and nonalcoholic fatty liver disease: From pathophysiology to therapeutics. *Metabolism* 2019, 92, 82–97. [Google Scholar] [CrossRef]
24. Perry, R.J.; Samuel, V.T.; Petersen, K.F.; Shulman, G.I. The role of hepatic lipids in hepatic insulin resistance and type 2 diabetes. *Nature* 2014, 510, 84–91. [Google Scholar] [CrossRef]
25. Marra, F.; Bertolani, C. Adipokines in liver diseases. *Hepatology* 2009, 50, 957–969. [Google Scholar] [CrossRef]
26. Marra, F.; Svegliati-Baroni, G. Lipotoxicity and the gut-liver axis in NASH pathogenesis. *J. Hepatol.* 2018, 68, 280–295. [Google Scholar] [CrossRef]
27. Gluchowski, N.L.; Becuwe, M.; Walther, T.C.; Farese, R.V., Jr. Lipid droplets and liver disease: From basic biology to clinical implications. *Nat. Rev. Gastroenterol. Hepatol.* 2017, 14, 343–355. [Google Scholar] [CrossRef]
28. Mansouri, A.; Gattoliat, C.H.; Asselah, T. Mitochondrial Dysfunction and Signaling in Chronic Liver Diseases. *Gastroenterology* 2018, 155, 629–647. [Google Scholar] [CrossRef] [Green Version]
29. Zhang, Y.; Li, K.; Kong, A.; Zhou, Y.; Chen, D.; Gu, J.; Shi, H. Dysregulation of autophagy acts as a pathogenic mechanism of non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) induced by common environmental pollutants. *Ecotoxicol. Environ. Saf.* 2021, 217, 112256. [Google Scholar] [CrossRef]
30. Nasiri-Ansari, N.; Nikolopoulou, C.; Papoutsis, K.; Kyrou, I.; Mantzoros, C.S.; Kyriakopoulos, G.; Chatzigeorgiou, A.; Kalotycho, V.; Randeva, M.S.; Chatha, K.; et al. Empagliflozin Attenuates Non-Alcoholic Fatty Liver Disease (NAFLD) in High Fat Diet ApoE^{-/-} Mice by Activating Autophagy and Reducing ER Stress and Apoptosis. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 2021, 22, 818. [Google Scholar] [CrossRef]
31. Xiong, X.; Wang, X.; Lu, Y.; Wang, E.; Zhang, Z.; Yang, J.; Zhang, H.; Li, X. Hepatic steatosis exacerbated by endoplasmic reticulum stress-mediated downregulation of FXR in aging mice. *J. Hepatol.* 2014, 60, 847–854. [Google Scholar] [CrossRef]
32. Zhang, X.; Han, J.; Man, K.; Li, X.; Du, J.; Chu, E.S.; Go, M.Y.; Sung, J.J.; Yu, J. CXC chemokine receptor 3 promotes steatohepatitis in mice through mediating inflammatory cytokines, macrophages and autophagy. *J. Hepatol.* 2016, 64, 160–170. [Google Scholar] [CrossRef] [Green Version]
33. Alkhouli, N.; Carter-Kent, C.; Feldstein, A.E. Apoptosis in nonalcoholic fatty liver disease: Diagnostic and therapeutic implications. *Expert Rev. Gastroenterol. Hepatol.* 2011, 5, 201–212. [Google Scholar] [CrossRef] [Green Version]
34. Cai, J.; Zhang, X.J.; Li, H. The Role of Innate Immune Cells in Nonalcoholic Steatohepatitis. *Hepatology* 2019, 70, 1026–1037. [Google Scholar] [CrossRef] [PubMed]
35. Lee, Y.A.; Friedman, S.L. Inflammatory and fibrotic mechanisms in NAFLD-Implications for new treatment strategies. *J. Intern. Med.* 2021, 291, 11–31. [Google Scholar] [CrossRef] [PubMed]
36. Ertunc, M.E.; Hotamisligil, G.S. Lipid signaling and lipotoxicity in metaflammation: Indications for metabolic disease pathogenesis and treatment. *J. Lipid Res.* 2016, 57, 2099–2114. [Google Scholar] [CrossRef] [PubMed] [Green Version]
37. Greco, D.; Kotronen, A.; Westerbacka, J.; Puig, O.; Arkkila, P.; Kiviluoto, T.; Laitinen, S.; Kolak, M.; Fisher, R.M.; Hamsten, A.; et al. Gene expression in human NAFLD. *Am. J. Physiol. Gastrointest. Liver Physiol.* 2008, 294, G1281–G1287. [Google Scholar] [CrossRef] [PubMed] [Green Version]
38. Magkos, F.; Fraterrigo, G.; Yoshino, J.; Luecking, C.; Kirbach, K.; Kelly, S.C.; de Las Fuentes, L.; He, S.; Okunade, A.L.; Patterson, B.W.; et al. Effects of Moderate and Subsequent Progressive Weight Loss on Metabolic Function and Adipose Tissue Biology in Humans with Obesity. *Cell Metab.* 2016, 23, 591–601. [Google Scholar] [CrossRef] [Green Version]
39. Vilar-Gomez, E.; Martinez-Perez, Y.; Calzadilla-Bertot, L.; Torres-Gonzalez, A.; Gra-Oramas, B.; Gonzalez-Fabian, L.; Friedman, S.L.; Diago, M.; Romero-Gomez, M. Weight Loss through Lifestyle Modification Significantly Reduces Features of Nonalcoholic Steatohepatitis. *Gastroenterology* 2015, 149, 367–378.e5; quiz e14–e15. [Google Scholar] [CrossRef]
40. Schwarz, J.M.; Noworolski, S.M.; Erkin-Cakmak, A.; Korn, N.J.; Wen, M.J.; Tai, V.W.; Jones, G.M.; Palii, S.P.; Velasco-Alin, M.; Pan, K.; et al. Effects of Dietary Fructose Restriction on Liver Fat, De Novo Lipogenesis, and Insulin Kinetics in Children with Obesity. *Gastroenterology* 2017, 153, 743–752. [Google Scholar] [CrossRef] [Green Version]
41. Donnelly, K.L.; Smith, C.I.; Schwarzenberg, S.J.; Jessurun, J.; Boldt, M.D.; Parks, E.J. Sources of fatty acids stored in liver and secreted via lipoproteins in patients with nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. *J. Clin. Invest.* 2005, 115, 1343–1351. [Google Scholar] [CrossRef] [Green Version]
42. Wang, Y.; Viscarra, J.; Kim, S.J.; Sul, H.S. Transcriptional regulation of hepatic lipogenesis. *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.* 2015, 16, 678–689. [Google Scholar] [CrossRef] [Green Version]
43. Abdelmalek, M.F.; Lazo, M.; Horska, A.; Bonekamp, S.; Lipkin, E.W.; Balasubramanyam, A.; Bantle, J.P.; Johnson, R.J.; Diehl, A.M.; Clark, J.M. Higher dietary fructose is associated with impaired hepatic adenosine triphosphate

- homeostasis in obese individuals with type 2 diabetes. *Hepatology* 2012, 56, 952–960. [Google Scholar] [CrossRef] [Green Version]
44. Ipsen, D.H.; Lykkesfeldt, J.; Tveden-Nyborg, P. Molecular mechanisms of hepatic lipid accumulation in non-alcoholic fatty liver disease. *Cell Mol. Life Sci.* 2018, 75, 3313–3327. [Google Scholar] [CrossRef] [Green Version]
 45. Xu, A.; Wang, Y.; Keshaw, H.; Xu, L.Y.; Lam, K.S.; Cooper, G.J. The fat-derived hormone adiponectin alleviates alcoholic and nonalcoholic fatty liver diseases in mice. *J. Clin. Invest.* 2003, 112, 91–100. [Google Scholar] [CrossRef] [Green Version]
 46. Myers, M.G.; Cowley, M.A.; Münzberg, H. Mechanisms of leptin action and leptin resistance. *Annu. Rev. Physiol.* 2008, 70, 537–556. [Google Scholar] [CrossRef] [Green Version]
 47. Sabio, G.; Das, M.; Mora, A.; Zhang, Z.; Jun, J.Y.; Ko, H.J.; Barrett, T.; Kim, J.K.; Davis, R.J. A stress signaling pathway in adipose tissue regulates hepatic insulin resistance. *Science* 2008, 322, 1539–1543. [Google Scholar] [CrossRef] [Green Version]
 48. Aubert, J.; Begriche, K.; Knockaert, L.; Robin, M.A.; Fromenty, B. Increased expression of cytochrome P450 2E1 in nonalcoholic fatty liver disease: Mechanisms and pathophysiological role. *Clin. Res. Hepatol. Gastroenterol.* 2011, 35, 630–637. [Google Scholar] [CrossRef]
 49. Delli Bovi, A.P.; Marciano, F.; Mandato, C.; Siano, M.A.; Savoia, M.; Vajro, P. Oxidative Stress in Non-alcoholic Fatty Liver Disease. An Updated Mini Review. *Front. Med.* 2021, 8, 595371. [Google Scholar] [CrossRef]
 50. Cases, S.; Smith, S.J.; Zheng, Y.W.; Myers, H.M.; Lear, S.R.; Sande, E.; Novak, S.; Collins, C.; Welch, C.B.; Lusis, A.J.; et al. Identification of a gene encoding an acyl CoA:diacylglycerol acyltransferase, a key enzyme in triacylglycerol synthesis. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 1998, 95, 13018–13023. [Google Scholar] [CrossRef] [Green Version]
 51. Cases, S.; Stone, S.J.; Zhou, P.; Yen, E.; Tow, B.; Lardizabal, K.D.; Voelker, T.; Farese, R.V., Jr. Cloning of DGAT2, a second mammalian diacylglycerol acyltransferase, and related family members. *J. Biol. Chem.* 2001, 276, 38870–38876. [Google Scholar] [CrossRef] [Green Version]
 52. Luukkonen, P.K.; Zhou, Y.; Sädevirta, S.; Leivonen, M.; Arola, J.; Orešič, M.; Hyötyläinen, T.; Yki-Järvinen, H. Hepatic ceramides dissociate steatosis and insulin resistance in patients with non-alcoholic fatty liver disease. *J. Hepatol.* 2016, 64, 1167–1175. [Google Scholar] [CrossRef] [Green Version]
 53. Han, M.S.; Park, S.Y.; Shinzawa, K.; Kim, S.; Chung, K.W.; Lee, J.H.; Kwon, C.H.; Lee, K.W.; Lee, J.H.; Park, C.K.; et al. Lysophosphatidylcholine as a death effector in the lipooptosis of hepatocytes. *J. Lipid Res.* 2008, 49, 84–97. [Google Scholar] [CrossRef] [PubMed] [Green Version]
 54. Fassnacht, M.; Kreissl, M.C.; Weismann, D.; Allolio, B. New targets and therapeutic approaches for endocrine malignancies. *Pharmacol. Ther.* 2009, 123, 117–141. [Google Scholar] [CrossRef] [PubMed]
 55. Yamaguchi, K.; Yang, L.; McCall, S.; Huang, J.; Yu, X.X.; Pandey, S.K.; Bhanot, S.; Monia, B.P.; Li, Y.X.; Diehl, A.M. Inhibiting triglyceride synthesis improves hepatic steatosis but exacerbates liver damage and fibrosis in obese mice with nonalcoholic steatohepatitis. *Hepatology* 2007, 45, 1366–1374. [Google Scholar] [CrossRef] [PubMed]
 56. Puri, P.; Mirshahi, F.; Cheung, O.; Natarajan, R.; Maher, J.W.; Kellum, J.M.; Sanyal, A.J. Activation and dysregulation of the unfolded protein response in nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. *Gastroenterology* 2008, 134, 568–576. [Google Scholar] [CrossRef]
 57. Wei, Y.; Wang, D.; Pagliassotti, M.J. Saturated fatty acid-mediated endoplasmic reticulum stress and apoptosis are augmented by trans-10, cis-12-conjugated linoleic acid in liver cells. *Mol. Cell Biochem.* 2007, 303, 105–113. [Google Scholar] [CrossRef]
 58. Wei, Y.; Wang, D.; Topczewski, F.; Pagliassotti, M.J. Saturated fatty acids induce endoplasmic reticulum stress and apoptosis independently of ceramide in liver cells. *Am. J. Physiol. Endocrinol. Metab.* 2006, 291, E275–E281. [Google Scholar] [CrossRef]
 59. Li, C.; Xu, M.M.; Wang, K.; Adler, A.J.; Vella, A.T.; Zhou, B. Macrophage polarization and meta-inflammation. *Transl. Res.* 2018, 191, 29–44. [Google Scholar] [CrossRef]
 60. Tilg, H.; Moschen, A.R.; Szabo, G. Interleukin-1 and inflammasomes in alcoholic liver disease/acute alcoholic hepatitis and nonalcoholic fatty liver disease/nonalcoholic steatohepatitis. *Hepatology* 2016, 64, 955–965. [Google Scholar] [CrossRef]
 61. Gomes, A.L.; Teijeiro, A.; Burén, S.; Tummala, K.S.; Yilmaz, M.; Waisman, A.; Theurillat, J.P.; Perna, C.; Djouder, N. Metabolic Inflammation-Associated IL-17A Causes Non-alcoholic Steatohepatitis and Hepatocellular Carcinoma. *Cancer Cell* 2016, 30, 161–175. [Google Scholar] [CrossRef]
 62. Choi, C.I. Sodium-Glucose Cotransporter 2 (SGLT2) Inhibitors from Natural Products: Discovery of Next-Generation Antihyperglycemic Agents. *Molecules* 2016, 21, 1136. [Google Scholar] [CrossRef] [Green Version]
 63. Hardman, T.C.; Rutherford, P.; Duprey, S.W.; Wierzbicki, A.S. Sodium-glucose co-transporter 2 inhibitors: From apple tree to ‘Sweet Pee’. *Curr. Pharm. Des.* 2010, 16, 3830–3838. [Google Scholar] [CrossRef]
 64. Blaschek, W. Natural Products as Lead Compounds for Sodium Glucose Cotransporter (SGLT) Inhibitors. *Planta Med.* 2017, 83, 985–993. [Google Scholar] [CrossRef]
 65. Vick, H.; Diedrich, D.F.; Baumann, K. Reevaluation of renal tubular glucose transport inhibition by phlorizin analogs. *Am. J. Physiol.* 1973, 224, 552–557. [Google Scholar] [CrossRef]
 66. Ehrenkranz, J.R.; Lewis, N.G.; Kahn, C.R.; Roth, J. Phlorizin: A review. *Diabetes Metab. Res. Rev.* 2005, 21, 31–38. [Google Scholar] [CrossRef]

67. Tian, L.; Cao, J.; Zhao, T.; Liu, Y.; Khan, A.; Cheng, G. The Bioavailability, Extraction, Biosynthesis and Distribution of Natural Dihydrochalcone: Phloridzin. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 2021, 22, 962. [Google Scholar] [CrossRef]
68. Lehmann, A.; Hornby, P.J. Intestinal SGLT1 in metabolic health and disease. *Am. J. Physiol. Gastrointest. Liver Physiol.* 2016, 310, G887–G898. [Google Scholar] [CrossRef] [Green Version]
69. Katsuno, K.; Fujimori, Y.; Takemura, Y.; Hiratochi, M.; Itoh, F.; Komatsu, Y.; Fujikura, H.; Isaji, M. Sergliflozin, a novel selective inhibitor of low-affinity sodium glucose cotransporter (SGLT2), validates the critical role of SGLT2 in renal glucose reabsorption and modulates plasma glucose level. *J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther.* 2007, 320, 323–330. [Google Scholar] [CrossRef] [Green Version]
70. Oku, A.; Ueta, K.; Arakawa, K.; Ishihara, T.; Nawano, M.; Kuronuma, Y.; Matsumoto, M.; Saito, A.; Tsujihara, K.; Anai, M.; et al. T-1095, an inhibitor of renal Na⁺-glucose cotransporters, may provide a novel approach to treating diabetes. *Diabetes* 1999, 48, 1794–1800. [Google Scholar] [CrossRef]
71. Meng, W.; Ellsworth, B.A.; Nirschl, A.A.; McCann, P.J.; Patel, M.; Girotra, R.N.; Wu, G.; Sher, P.M.; Morrison, E.P.; Biller, S.A.; et al. Discovery of dapagliflozin: A potent, selective renal sodium-dependent glucose cotransporter 2 (SGLT2) inhibitor for the treatment of type 2 diabetes. *J. Med. Chem.* 2008, 51, 1145–1149. [Google Scholar] [CrossRef]
72. Nomura, S.; Sakamaki, S.; Hongu, M.; Kawanishi, E.; Koga, Y.; Sakamoto, T.; Yamamoto, Y.; Ueta, K.; Kimata, H.; Nakayama, K.; et al. Discovery of canagliflozin, a novel C-glucoside with thiophene ring, as sodium-dependent glucose cotransporter 2 inhibitor for the treatment of type 2 diabetes mellitus. *J. Med. Chem.* 2010, 53, 6355–6360. [Google Scholar] [CrossRef]
73. Hsia, D.S.; Grove, O.; Cefalu, W.T. An update on sodium-glucose co-transporter-2 inhibitors for the treatment of diabetes mellitus. *Curr. Opin. Endocrinol. Diabetes Obes.* 2017, 24, 73–79. [Google Scholar] [CrossRef]
74. Markham, A.; Elkinson, S. Luseogliflozin: First global approval. *Drugs* 2014, 74, 945–950. [Google Scholar] [CrossRef]
75. Poole, R.M.; Dungo, R.T. Ipragliflozin: First global approval. *Drugs* 2014, 74, 611–617. [Google Scholar] [CrossRef]
76. Poole, R.M.; Prossler, J.E. Tofogliflozin: First global approval. *Drugs* 2014, 74, 939–944. [Google Scholar] [CrossRef]
77. Xu, J.; Hirai, T.; Koya, D.; Kitada, M. Effects of SGLT2 Inhibitors on Atherosclerosis: Lessons from Cardiovascular Clinical Outcomes in Type 2 Diabetic Patients and Basic Researches. *J. Clin. Med.* 2021, 11, 137. [Google Scholar] [CrossRef]
78. Wishart, D.S.; Feunang, Y.D.; Guo, A.C.; Lo, E.J.; Marcu, A.; Grant, J.R.; Sajed, T.; Johnson, D.; Li, C.; Sayeeda, Z.; et al. DrugBank 5.0: A major update to the DrugBank database for 2018. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2018, 46, D1074–D1082. [Google Scholar] [CrossRef]
79. Isaji, M. Sodium-glucose cotransporter inhibitors for diabetes. *Curr. Opin. Investig. Drugs* 2007, 8, 285–292. [Google Scholar]
80. Isaji, M. SGLT2 inhibitors: Molecular design and potential differences in effect. *Kidney Int. Suppl.* 2011, 79 (Suppl. S20), S14–S19. [Google Scholar] [CrossRef] [Green Version]
81. Okada, J.; Yamada, E.; Saito, T.; Yokoo, H.; Osaki, A.; Shimoda, Y.; Ozawa, A.; Nakajima, Y.; Pessin, J.E.; Okada, S.; et al. Dapagliflozin Inhibits Cell Adhesion to Collagen I and IV and Increases Ectodomain Proteolytic Cleavage of DDR1 by Increasing ADAM10 Activity. *Molecules* 2020, 25, 495. [Google Scholar] [CrossRef] [Green Version]
82. Kaji, K.; Nishimura, N.; Seki, K.; Sato, S.; Saikawa, S.; Nakanishi, K.; Furukawa, M.; Kawaratani, H.; Kitade, M.; Moriya, K.; et al. Sodium glucose cotransporter 2 inhibitor canagliflozin attenuates liver cancer cell growth and angiogenic activity by inhibiting glucose uptake. *Int. J. Cancer* 2018, 142, 1712–1722. [Google Scholar] [CrossRef] [Green Version]
83. Hawley, S.A.; Ford, R.J.; Smith, B.K.; Gowans, G.J.; Mancini, S.J.; Pitt, R.D.; Day, E.A.; Salt, I.P.; Steinberg, G.R.; Hardie, D.G. The Na⁺/Glucose Cotransporter Inhibitor Canagliflozin Activates AMPK by Inhibiting Mitochondrial Function and Increasing Cellular AMP Levels. *Diabetes* 2016, 65, 2784–2794. [Google Scholar] [CrossRef] [Green Version]
84. Luo, J.; Sun, P.; Wang, Y.; Chen, Y.; Niu, Y.; Ding, Y.; Xu, N.; Zhang, Y.; Xie, W. Dapagliflozin attenuates steatosis in livers of high-fat diet-induced mice and oleic acid-treated L02 cells via regulating AMPK/mTOR pathway. *Eur. J. Pharmacol.* 2021, 907, 174304. [Google Scholar] [CrossRef] [PubMed]
85. Li, L.; Li, Q.; Huang, W.; Han, Y.; Tan, H.; An, M.; Xiang, Q.; Zhou, R.; Yang, L.; Cheng, Y. Dapagliflozin Alleviates Hepatic Steatosis by Restoring Autophagy via the AMPK-mTOR Pathway. *Front. Pharmacol.* 2021, 12, 589273. [Google Scholar] [CrossRef] [PubMed]
86. Wang, L.; Liu, M.; Yin, F.; Wang, Y.; Li, X.; Wu, Y.; Ye, C.; Liu, J. Trilobatin, a Novel SGLT1/2 Inhibitor, Selectively Induces the Proliferation of Human Hepatoblastoma Cells. *Molecules* 2019, 24, 3390. [Google Scholar] [CrossRef] [PubMed] [Green Version]
87. Jojima, T.; Wakamatsu, S.; Kase, M.; Iijima, T.; Maejima, Y.; Shimomura, K.; Kogai, T.; Tomaru, T.; Usui, I.; Aso, Y. The SGLT2 Inhibitor Canagliflozin Prevents Carcinogenesis in a Mouse Model of Diabetes and Non-Alcoholic Steatohepatitis-Related Hepatocarcinogenesis: Association with SGLT2 Expression in Hepatocellular Carcinoma. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 2019, 20, 5237. [Google Scholar] [CrossRef] [Green Version]
88. Abdel-Rafei, M.K.; Thabet, N.M.; Rashed, L.A.; Moustafa, E.M. Canagliflozin, a SGLT-2 inhibitor, relieves ER stress, modulates autophagy and induces apoptosis in irradiated HepG2 cells: Signal transduction between PI3K/AKT/GSK-

- 3beta/mTOR and Wnt/beta-catenin pathways; in vitro. *J. Cancer Res. Ther.* 2021, 17, 1404–1418. [Google Scholar] [CrossRef] [PubMed]
89. Obara, K.; Shirakami, Y.; Maruta, A.; Ideta, T.; Miyazaki, T.; Kochi, T.; Sakai, H.; Tanaka, T.; Seishima, M.; Shimizu, M. Preventive effects of the sodium glucose cotransporter 2 inhibitor tofogliflozin on diethylnitrosamine-induced liver tumorigenesis in obese and diabetic mice. *Oncotarget* 2017, 8, 58353–58363. [Google Scholar] [CrossRef] [PubMed] [Green Version]
90. Chiang, H.; Lee, J.C.; Huang, H.C.; Huang, H.; Liu, H.K.; Huang, C. Delayed intervention with a novel SGLT2 inhibitor NGI001 suppresses diet-induced metabolic dysfunction and non-alcoholic fatty liver disease in mice. *Br. J. Pharmacol.* 2020, 177, 239–253. [Google Scholar] [CrossRef] [Green Version]
91. Zhao, Y.; Li, Y.; Liu, Q.; Tang, Q.; Zhang, Z.; Zhang, J.; Huang, C.; Huang, H.; Zhang, G.; Zhou, J.; et al. Canagliflozin Facilitates Reverse Cholesterol Transport through Activation of AMPK/ABC Transporter Pathway. *Drug Des. Devel. Ther.* 2021, 15, 2117–2128. [Google Scholar] [CrossRef]
92. Wang, B.; Tontonoz, P. Liver X receptors in lipid signalling and membrane homeostasis. *Nat. Rev. Endocrinol.* 2018, 14, 452–463. [Google Scholar] [CrossRef]
93. Zhou, J.; Zhu, J.; Yu, S.J.; Ma, H.L.; Chen, J.; Ding, X.F.; Chen, G.; Liang, Y.; Zhang, Q. Sodium-glucose co-transporter-2 (SGLT-2) inhibition reduces glucose uptake to induce breast cancer cell growth arrest through AMPK/mTOR pathway. *Biomed. Pharmacother.* 2020, 132, 110821. [Google Scholar] [CrossRef]
94. Xiao, Q.; Zhang, S.; Yang, C.; Du, R.; Zhao, J.; Li, J.; Xu, Y.; Qin, Y.; Gao, Y.; Huang, W. Ginsenoside Rg1 Ameliorates Palmitic Acid-Induced Hepatic Steatosis and Inflammation in HepG2 Cells via the AMPK/NF-kappaB Pathway. *Int. J. Endocrinol.* 2019, 2019, 7514802. [Google Scholar] [CrossRef] [Green Version]
95. Soret, P.A.; Magusto, J.; Housset, C.; Gautheron, J. In Vitro and In Vivo Models of Non-Alcoholic Fatty Liver Disease: A Critical Appraisal. *J. Clin. Med.* 2020, 10, 36. [Google Scholar] [CrossRef]
96. Anstee, Q.M.; Goldin, R.D. Mouse models in non-alcoholic fatty liver disease and steatohepatitis research. *Int. J. Exp. Pathol.* 2006, 87, 1–16. [Google Scholar] [CrossRef]
97. Ibrahim, S.H.; Hirsova, P.; Malhi, H.; Gores, G.J. Animal Models of Nonalcoholic Steatohepatitis: Eat, Delete, and Inflammation. *Dig. Dis. Sci.* 2016, 61, 1325–1336. [Google Scholar] [CrossRef] [Green Version]
98. Nakamura, A.; Terauchi, Y. Lessons from mouse models of high-fat diet-induced NAFLD. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 2013, 14, 21240–21257. [Google Scholar] [CrossRef] [Green Version]
99. Perakakis, N.; Chrysafi, P.; Feigh, M.; Veidal, S.S.; Mantzoros, C.S. Empagliflozin Improves Metabolic and Hepatic Outcomes in a Non-Diabetic Obese Biopsy-Proven Mouse Model of Advanced NASH. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 2021, 22, 6332. [Google Scholar] [CrossRef]
100. Tahara, A.; Takasu, T. Therapeutic Effects of SGLT2 Inhibitor Ipragliflozin and Metformin on NASH in Type 2 Diabetic Mice. *Endocr. Res.* 2020, 45, 147–161. [Google Scholar] [CrossRef]
101. Shiba, K.; Tsuchiya, K.; Komiya, C.; Miyachi, Y.; Mori, K.; Shimazu, N.; Yamaguchi, S.; Ogasawara, N.; Katoh, M.; Itoh, M.; et al. Canagliflozin, an SGLT2 inhibitor, attenuates the development of hepatocellular carcinoma in a mouse model of human NASH. *Sci. Rep.* 2018, 8, 2362. [Google Scholar] [CrossRef] [Green Version]
102. Brown, E.; Wilding, J.P.H.; Barber, T.M.; Alam, U.; Cuthbertson, D.J. Weight loss variability with SGLT2 inhibitors and GLP-1 receptor agonists in type 2 diabetes mellitus and obesity: Mechanistic possibilities. *Obes. Rev.* 2019, 20, 816–828. [Google Scholar] [CrossRef] [Green Version]
103. Cai, X.; Yang, W.; Gao, X.; Chen, Y.; Zhou, L.; Zhang, S.; Han, X.; Ji, L. The Association between the Dosage of SGLT2 Inhibitor and Weight Reduction in Type 2 Diabetes Patients: A Meta-Analysis. *Obesity* 2018, 26, 70–80. [Google Scholar] [CrossRef] [PubMed] [Green Version]
104. Cherney, D.Z.I.; Dekkers, C.C.J.; Barbour, S.J.; Cattran, D.; Abdul Gafor, A.H.; Greasley, P.J.; Laverman, G.D.; Lim, S.K.; Di Tanna, G.L.; Reich, H.N.; et al. Effects of the SGLT2 inhibitor dapagliflozin on proteinuria in non-diabetic patients with chronic kidney disease (DIAMOND): A randomised, double-blind, crossover trial. *Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol.* 2020, 8, 582–593. [Google Scholar] [CrossRef]
105. Han, T.; Fan, Y.J.; Gao, J.; Fatima, M.R.; Zhang, Y.L.; Ding, Y.M.; Bai, L.A.; Wang, C.X. Sodium glucose cotransporter 2 inhibitor dapagliflozin depressed adiposity and ameliorated hepatic steatosis in high-fat diet induced obese mice. *Adipocyte* 2021, 10, 446–455. [Google Scholar] [CrossRef] [PubMed]
106. Obata, A.; Kubota, N.; Kubota, T.; Iwamoto, M.; Sato, H.; Sakurai, Y.; Takamoto, I.; Katsuyama, H.; Suzuki, Y.; Fukazawa, M.; et al. Tofogliflozin Improves Insulin Resistance in Skeletal Muscle and Accelerates Lipolysis in Adipose Tissue in Male Mice. *Endocrinology* 2016, 157, 1029–1042. [Google Scholar] [CrossRef]
107. Petito-da-Silva, T.I.; Souza-Mello, V.; Barbosa-da-Silva, S. Empagliflozin mitigates NAFLD in high-fat-fed mice by alleviating insulin resistance, lipogenesis and ER stress. *Mol. Cell Endocrinol.* 2019, 498, 110539. [Google Scholar] [CrossRef]
108. Tanaka, K.; Takahashi, H.; Katagiri, S.; Sasaki, K.; Ohsugi, Y.; Watanabe, K.; Rasadul, I.M.D.; Mine, K.; Nagafuchi, S.; Iwata, T.; et al. Combined effect of canagliflozin and exercise training on high-fat diet-fed mice. *Am. J. Physiol. Endocrinol. Metab.* 2020, 318, E492–E503. [Google Scholar] [CrossRef]
109. Yabiku, K.; Nakamoto, K.; Tsubakimoto, M. Effects of Sodium-Glucose Cotransporter 2 Inhibition on Glucose Metabolism, Liver Function, Ascites, and Hemodynamics in a Mouse Model of Nonalcoholic Steatohepatitis and Type 2 Diabetes. *J. Diabetes Res.* 2020, 2020, 1682904. [Google Scholar] [CrossRef]

110. Ozutsumi, T.; Namisaki, T.; Shimozato, N.; Kaji, K.; Tsuji, Y.; Kaya, D.; Fujinaga, Y.; Furukawa, M.; Nakanishi, K.; Sato, S.; et al. Combined Treatment with Sodium-Glucose Cotransporter-2 Inhibitor (Canagliflozin) and Dipeptidyl Peptidase-4 Inhibitor (Teneligliptin) Alleviates NASH Progression in A Non-Diabetic Rat Model of Steatohepatitis. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 2020, 21, 2164. [Google Scholar] [CrossRef] [Green Version].