A.Sheela Roselin /Afr.J.Bio.Sc.6(13)(2024). 3460-3472

ISSN: 2663-2187

https://doi.org/10.48047/AFJBS.6.13.2024.3460-3472





# FERMATEAN FUZZY SET ACTING ON FUZZY TOPOLOGICAL STRUCTURES

### <sup>1</sup>A.Sheela Roselin, <sup>2</sup>D.Jayalakshmi, <sup>3\*</sup>G.Subbiah

1.Research scholar, Reg.No.22123272092005, Department of Mathematics, Vivekananda college, Agasteeswaram, Kanyakumari-629 701, Tamilnadu, India.

2. Associate Professor, Department of Mathematics, Vivekananda college, Agasteeswaram,

Kanyakumari-629 701, Tamilnadu, India.

3\*. Associate Professor, Department of Mathematics, Sri K.G.S Arts college, Srivaikuntam-628 619,

Tamilnadu, India.

\*Corresponding author ; E-mail Id : subbiahkgs @gmail.com

Affiliated to Manonmaniam Sundaranar University, Abishekapatti,

Tirunelveli – 627 012, Tamil Nadu, India.

Abstract: In this paper, we study the concept of Fermatean fuzzy topological properties of such algebras such as connectedness, strong connectedness and compact Haussdorff space. We also obtain the characteristic of the homomorphic image and inverse image of Fermatean fuzzy topological BCC-ideals (BCK-ideals) of BCC-algebras (BCK-algebras).
2020 AMS classification: 03G25, 06F35, 08A72.

**Keywords:** binary operation, BCK-algebra, BCC-algebra, Fermatean fuzzy set, Fermatean fuzzy topology, homomorphism, pre image, image, BCC-ideal, connected.

Article History Volume 6, Issue 13, 2024 Received: 18June 2024 Accepted: 02July 2024 doi:10.48047/AFJBS.6.13.2024. 3460-3472

**1.Introduction:** In classical set theory, the membership of elements in a set is assessed in binary terms according to a bivalent condition: an element either belongs or does not belong to the set. As an extension, fuzzy set theory (See [22]) permits the gradual assessment of the membership of elements in a set; this is described with the aid of a membership function valued in the real unit interval [0,1]. As a generalization of fuzzy set, Atanassov [1] created

intuitionistic fuzzy set. Intuitionistic fuzzy set is widely used in all fields (See [4, 5, 12, 18] for applications in algebraic structures). In 2013, Yager [19, 20, 21] introduced Pythagorean fuzzy set and compared it with intuitionistic fuzzy set. Pythagorean fuzzy set is a new extension of intuitionistic fuzzy set that conducts to simulate the vagueness originated by the real case that might arise in the sum of membership and non-membership is bigger than 1. Pythagorean fuzzy set is applied to groups (See [2]), UP-algebras (See [15]) and topological spaces (See [14]). Senapati et.al [16] introduced Fermatean fuzzy set which is another extension of intuitionistic fuzzy sets and applied to groups (See [17]). Ibrahim et.al [9] introduced Fermatean fuzzy sets and applied it to topological spaces. In this paper, we study the concept of Fermatean fuzzy topological properties of such algebras such as connectedness, strong connectedness and compact Haussdorff space. We also obtain the characteristic of the homomorphic image and inverse image of Fermatean fuzzy topological BCC-ideals (BCK-ideals) of BCC-algebras (BCK-algebras).

#### 2. Preliminaries of BCC-algebras(BCK-algebras)

In this section, we first review some definitions and properties which will be used in the sequel.

A non-empty set G with a constant 0 and binary operation \* is called a BCC-algebra if it satisfies the following conditions:

- a) (((x \* y) \* (z \* y)) \* (x \* z) = 0)
- b) x \* x = 0
- c) 0 \* x = 0
- d) x \* 0 = 0
- e) x \* y = 0,  $y * x = 0 \Rightarrow x = y$

for all x, y,  $z \in G$ . In BCC-algebra, the following equality holds (x \* y) \* x = 0.

Obviously, any BCK-algebra is BCC-algebra but there exist BCC-algebras which are not necessarily BCK-algebra. We note that a BCC-algebra is BCK-algebra if and only if it satisfies the equality (x \* y) \* z = (x \* z) \* y.

A non-empty subset 'S' of a BCK-algebra 'G' is called a sub algebra of G if it is closed under the BCC-operation. Such algebra contains the constant 0 and it is clearly a BCC-algebra, but some sub algebras may be also BCK-algebras. Moreover, there exit BCC-algebras in which all sub algebras are BCK-algebras.

A mapping  $\varphi: G_1 \to G_2$  of BCC-algebras is called a homomorphism if

 $\varphi(x * y) = \varphi(x) * \varphi(y)$  holds, for all  $x, y \in G_1$ .

For a non-empty given set G, let I be the closed unit interval [0, 1]. Then, an Fermatean fuzzy set is an object of the form  $A = \{\langle x, \delta_A^{3}(x), \lambda_A^{3}(x) \rangle / x \in G\}$ , when the mappings  $\delta_A^{3}: G \to I$  and  $\lambda_A^{3}: G \to I$  denote the degree of membership (namely,  $\delta_A(x)$ ) and the degree of non-membership (namely,  $\lambda_A(x)$ ) of each element  $x \in G$  to the object 'A' respectively satisfying  $0 \le \delta_A^{3}(x) + \lambda_A^{3}(x) \le 1$  for all  $x \in G$ .

The complement of the Fermatean fuzzy set A is  $A^{C} = \{\langle x, \lambda_{A}^{3}(x), \delta_{A}^{3}(x) \rangle / x \in G\}$ . Obviously, every fuzzy set A on a non-empty G is an (3,3)-fuzzy set of the form  $A = \{\langle x, \delta_{A}^{3}(x), 1 - \lambda_{A}^{3}(x) \rangle / x \in G\}$ . For the sake of simplicity, we just write  $A = \langle \delta_{A}^{3}, \lambda_{A}^{3} \rangle$  instead of  $A = \{\langle x, \delta_{A}(x), \lambda_{A}(x) \rangle / x \in G\}$ .

The Fermatean fuzzy sets  $0 \sim$  and  $1 \sim$  in G are defined by

 $0 \sim = \{ \langle x, 0, 1 \rangle : x \in G \}$  and  $1 \sim = \{ \langle x, 1, 0 \rangle : x \in G \}$ , respectively.

If  $\varphi$  is a mapping which maps a set  $G_1$  into another set  $G_2$ , then the following statements hold:

(a) If  $B = \{\langle y, \delta_B^3(y), \lambda_B^3(y) \rangle / y \in G_2 \}$  is a Fermatean fuzzy set in  $G_2$ , then the pre image of B under  $\varphi$ , denoted by  $\varphi^{-1}(B)$ , is still a Fermatean fuzzy set in  $G_1$ , we now write

$$\varphi^{-1}(B) = \{ \langle x, \varphi^{-1}(\delta_B)(x), \varphi^{-1}(\lambda_B)(x) \rangle / x \in G_1 \}.$$

(b) If  $A = \{ \langle x, \delta_A^3(x), \lambda_A^3(x) \rangle / x \in G_1 \}$  is a Fermatean fuzzy set in  $G_1$ , then the image of A under  $\varphi$ , denoted by  $\varphi(A)$ , is also a Fermatean fuzzy set in  $G_2$ , which is defined by

$$\begin{split} \phi(A) &= \left\{ \langle y, \varphi_{\sup}(\delta_A)(y), \varphi_{\inf}(\lambda_A)(y) \rangle : y \in G_2 \right\}, \text{ where} \\ \phi_{\sup}(\delta_A)(y) &= \begin{cases} \sup_{x \in \varphi^{-1}(y)} \delta_A(x), & \text{if } \varphi^{-1}(y) \neq \varphi \\ 0, & elsewhere \end{cases} \\ \phi_{\inf}(\lambda_A)(y) &= \begin{cases} \inf_{x \in \varphi^{-1}(y)} \lambda_A(x), & \text{if } \varphi^{-1}(y) \neq \varphi \\ 1, & otherwise \end{cases} \end{split}$$

for each  $y \in G_2$ .

**Proposition-2.1**: Let A,  $A_i (i \in I)$  be Fermatean fuzzy set in  $G_1$  and B a Fermatean fuzzy set in  $G_2$ . If  $\phi: G_1 \to G_2$  is a function, then the following properties hold for the function  $\phi$ :

- (a) If  $\varphi$  is surjective, then  $\varphi(\varphi^{-1}(B)) = B$
- (b)  $\varphi^{-1}(\bigcup_{i=1}^{n} A_i) = \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} \varphi^{-1}(A_i)$
- (c)  $\varphi^{-1}(1 \sim) = 1 \sim$
- (d)  $\phi^{-1}(0 \sim) = 0 \sim$

- (e)  $\varphi(1\sim) = 1\sim$ , if  $\varphi$  is surjective
- (f)  $\phi(0 \sim) = 0 \sim$

**Definition-2.2:** A Fermatean fuzzy topology on a non-empty set G is a family  $\tau$  of Fermatean fuzzy sets in G which satisfies the following conditions:

- (i)  $0 \sim , 1 \sim \in \tau$
- (ii) If  $G_1, G_2 \in \tau$ , then  $G_1 \cap G_2$
- (iii) If  $G_i \in \tau$  for all  $j \in J$ , then  $\bigcup_{i \in I} G_i \in \tau$

The pair (G,  $\tau$ ) is called a Fermatean fuzzy topological space and any Fermatean fuzzy set in  $\tau$  is called a Fermatean fuzzy open sets in G. The topology  $\tau$  on a Fermatean fuzzy topological space is said to be an indiscrete Fermatean fuzzy topology if its only elements are  $0 \sim$  and  $1 \sim$ . On the other hand, Fermatean fuzzy topology  $\tau$  on a space G is said to be discrete Fermatean fuzzy topology if the topology Fermatean fuzzy topology  $\tau$  contains all Fermatean fuzzy subsets of G.

If A is a Fermatean fuzzy set in a Fermatean fuzzy topological space (G,  $\tau$ ), then the induced Fermatean fuzzy topological space on A is the family of Fermatean fuzzy sets in A which are the intersection with A of Fermatean fuzzy sets in G. The induced Fermatean fuzzy topology is denoted by  $\tau_A$ , and the pair (A,  $\tau_A$ ) is called an fuzzy subspace of (G,  $\tau$ ).

Let  $(G_1, \tau_1)$  and  $(G_2, \tau_2)$  be two Fermatean fuzzy topological spaces and  $\varphi: (G_1, \tau_1) \rightarrow (G_2, \tau_2)$  a function. Then  $\varphi$  is said to be Fermatean fuzzy continuous function if and only if the pre image of each Fermatean fuzzy set in  $\tau_2$  is a Fermatean fuzzy set in  $\tau_1$ . Let  $(G_1, \tau_1)$  and  $(G_2, \tau_2)$  be two Fermatean fuzzy topological spaces and  $\varphi: (G_1, \tau_1) \rightarrow$   $(G_2, \tau_2)$  a function. Then  $\varphi$  is said to be Fermatean fuzzy open if and only if the image of each Fermatean fuzzy set in  $\tau_1$  is a Fermatean fuzzy set in  $\tau_2$ .

#### 3. Fermatean fuzzy topological sub algebras

**Definition-3.1:** Fermatean fuzzy set  $A = \langle \delta_A^3, \lambda_A^3 \rangle$  in G is called Fermatean fuzzy sub algebra of G if it satisfies the following conditions;

 $(3,3) \text{ FS}_1: \delta_A^{3}(x * y) \ge \min\{\delta_A^{3}(x), \delta_A^{3}(y)\}$ 

(3, 3)  $FS_2: \lambda_A^3(x * y) \le \max\{\lambda_A^3(x), \lambda_A^3(y)\}$ , for all  $x, y \in G$ .

**Example-3.2:** Let  $G = \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4\}$  be a BCC-algebra with the following Cayley table.

+	0	1	2	3	4
0	0	0	0	0	0
1	1	0	1	0	0

A.Sheela Roselin /Afr.J.Bio.Sc. 6(13)(2024).3460-3472

2	2	2	0	0	0
3	3	3	1	0	0
4	4	3	4	3	0

Let  $A = \langle \delta_A^3, \lambda_A^3 \rangle$  be a Fermatean fuzzy set in G defined by  $\delta_A^3(4) = 0.07$ ,  $\delta_A^3(x) = 0.6$ ,  $\lambda_A^3(x) = 0.5$  and  $\lambda_A^3(4) = 0.06$  for all  $x \neq 4$ . Then A is a Fermatean fuzzy sub algebra of G.

**Definition-3.3:** Let  $\tau_1$  and  $\tau_2$  be Fermatean fuzzy topologies on BCC-algebras  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  respectively. A function  $\varphi: (G_1, \tau_1) \rightarrow (G_2, \tau_2)$  is called a Fermatean fuzzy continuous function which maps  $(G_1, \tau_1)$  and  $(G_2, \tau_2)$  if  $\varphi$  satisfies the following conditions:

- (i) For every  $A \in \tau_2$ ,  $\phi^{-1}(A) \in \tau_1$ .
- (ii) For every Fermatean fuzzy sub algebra A (of  $G_2$ ) in  $\tau_2$ ,  $\varphi^{-1}(A)$  is a Fermatean fuzzy sub algebra (of  $G_1$ ) in  $\tau_1$ .

**Proposition-3.4:** If  $\tau_1$  is a Fermatean fuzzy topology on a BCC-algebra  $G_1$  and  $\tau_2$  is a Fermatean fuzzy topology on a BCC-algebra  $G_2$ , then every function  $\varphi: (G_1, \tau_1) \rightarrow (G_2, \tau_2)$  is a (3, 3)-fuzzy continuous function.

Proof: Since  $\tau_2$  is an indiscrete Fermatean fuzzy topology,  $\tau_2 = (0 \sim 1 \sim)$ .

Let  $\varphi: G_1 \to G_2$  be any mapping of BCC-algebras. Then, every member of  $\tau_2$  is a Fermatean fuzzy topology on a BCC-algebra  $G_2$ .

We now show that  $\varphi$  is a Fermatean fuzzy continuous function. We only need to prove that for every  $A \in \tau_2$ ,  $\varphi^{-1}(A) \in \tau_1$ .

For this purpose, we let  $0 \sim \in \tau_2$ . Then for any  $x \in G_1$ , we have

 $\varphi^{-1}(0\sim)(x) = 0\sim(\varphi(x)) = 0 = 0\sim(x)$ . This show that  $(\varphi^{-1}(0\sim)) = 0\sim \in \tau_1$ .

On the other hand, if  $1 \sim \in \tau_2$  and  $x \in G_1$ , then

 $\varphi^{-1}(1\sim)(x) = 1\sim(\varphi(x)) = 1 = 1\sim(x)$ . Thus  $(\varphi^{-1}(1\sim)) = 1\sim \in \tau_1$ .

This show that  $\varphi$  is indeed a Fermatean fuzzy continuous function of G<sub>1</sub> to G<sub>2</sub>.

**Theorem-3.5:** Let  $\tau_1$  and  $\tau_2$  be any two discrete Fermatean fuzzy topologies defined on the BCC-algebras  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  respectively. Then every homomorphism  $\varphi: (G_1, \tau_1) \rightarrow (G_2, \tau_2)$  is a Fermatean fuzzy continuous function.

Proof: Since  $\tau_1$  and  $\tau_2$  are discrete Fermatean fuzzy topologies on the BCC-algebras  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  respectively, we have  $\varphi^{-1}(A) \in \tau_1$  for every  $A \in \tau_2$ .

We note that  $\varphi$  is not the usual inverse homomorphism from  $G_2$  to  $G_1$ .

Let  $A = \langle \delta_A^3, \lambda_A^3 \rangle$  be a Fermatean fuzzy sub algebra (of  $G_2$ ) in  $\tau_2$ . Then for  $x, y \in G_1$ , we have  $(\phi^{-1}(\delta_A^3))(x * y) = \delta_A^3(\phi(x * y))$ 

A.Sheela Roselin /Afr.J.Bio.Sc. 6(13)(2024).3460-3472

$$= \delta_{A}^{3} (\varphi(x) * \varphi(y))$$

$$\geq \min\{\delta_{A}^{3} (\varphi(x)), \delta_{A}^{3} (\varphi(y))\}$$

$$= \min\{(\varphi^{-1}(\delta_{A}^{3})) (x), (\varphi^{-1}(\delta_{A}^{3})) (y)\} \text{ and }$$

$$(\varphi^{-1}(\lambda_{A}^{3})) (x * y) = \lambda_{A}^{3} (\varphi(x * y))$$

$$= \lambda_{A}^{3} (\varphi(x) * \varphi(y))$$

$$\leq \max\{\lambda_{A}^{3} (\varphi(x)), \lambda_{A}^{3} (\varphi(y))\}$$

$$= \max\{(\varphi^{-1}(\lambda_{A}^{3})) (x), (\varphi^{-1}(\lambda_{A}^{3})) (y)\}$$

Hence  $\varphi^{-1}(A)$  is a Fermatean fuzzy sub algebra (of  $G_1$ ) in  $\tau_1$  and consequently,  $\varphi$  is a Fermatean fuzzy continuous function which maps  $(G_1, \tau_1)$  to  $(G_2, \tau_2)$ .

**Definition-3.6:** Let  $(G_1, \tau_1)$  and  $(G_2, \tau_2)$  be Fermatean fuzzy topology sub algebras. A function  $\varphi: (G_1, \tau_1) \rightarrow (G_2, \tau_2)$  is said to be a Fermatean fuzzy homomorphism if it satisfies the following conditions:

- φ is an injective and surjective function.
- $\phi$  is fuzzy continues function which maps  $G_1$  to  $G_2$ .
- $\varphi^{-1}$  is fuzzy continues function which maps  $G_2$  to  $G_1$ .

**Definition-3.7:** Let  $\tau$  be a Fermatean fuzzy topology on a BCC-algebra G. A Fermatean fuzzy topology  $(G, \tau)$  is a Fermatean fuzzy Hausdorff space if and only if for any distinct Fermatean fuzzy points  $x_1, x_2 \in G$ , there exit (3, 3)- fuzzy topologies  $F_1 = \langle \delta_{F_1}^3, \lambda_{F_1}^3 \rangle$  and  $F_2 = \langle \delta_{F_2}^3, \lambda_{F_2}^3 \rangle$  such that  $\delta_{F_1}^3(x_1) = 1$ ,  $\lambda_{F_1}^3(x_1) = 0$ ,  $\delta_{F_2}^3(x_2) = 1$ ,  $\lambda_{F_2}^3(x_2) = 0$  and  $F_1 \cap F_2 = 0 \sim$ .

**Theorem-3.8:** Let  $\tau_1$  and  $\tau_2$  be Fermatean fuzzy topologies on BCC-algebras  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  respectively and let  $\varphi: (G_1, \tau_1) \rightarrow (G_2, \tau_2)$  be a Fermatean fuzzy homomorphism. Then  $G_1$  is a Fermatean fuzzy Hausdorff space if and only if  $G_2$  is a Fermatean fuzzy Hausdorff space.

Proof: Suppose that  $G_1$  is a Fermatean fuzzy Hausdorff space.

Let  $x_1, x_2$  be the Fermatean fuzzy points in  $\tau_2$  with  $x \neq y$  where  $x, y \in G_1$ . Then  $\varphi^{-1}(x) \neq \varphi^{-1}(y)$  because  $\varphi$  is injective function.

For 
$$z \in G_1$$
,  $(\varphi^{-1}(x_1))(z) = x_1(\varphi(z))$   
=  $\begin{cases} s \in [0,1], & \text{if } \varphi(z) = x \\ 0, & \text{if } \varphi(z) \neq x \end{cases} = \begin{cases} s \in [0,1], & \text{if } z = \varphi^{-1}(x) \\ 0, & \text{if } z \neq \varphi^{-1}(x) \end{cases}$   
=  $(\varphi^{-1}(x))_1(z).$ 

That is,  $(\phi^{-1}(x_1))(z) = (\phi^{-1}(x))_1(z)$  for all  $z \in G$ . Hence  $\phi^{-1}(x_1) = (\phi^{-1}(x))_1$ .

Similarly we can also prove that  $\varphi^{-1}(x_2) = (\varphi^{-1}(x))_2$ . Now by the definition of a Fermatean fuzzy Hausdorff space, there exist Fermatean fuzzy orders  $F_1$  and  $F_2$  of  $\varphi^{-1}(x_1)$  and  $\varphi^{-1}(x_2)$  respectively such that  $F_1 \cap F_2 = 0 \sim$ . Since  $\varphi$  is an (3, 3)- fuzzy continuous map from  $G_2$  to  $G_1$ , there exist Fermatean fuzzy orders  $\varphi(F_1)$  and  $\varphi(F_2)$  of  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  respectively such that  $\varphi(F_1) \cap \varphi(F_2) = \varphi(F_1 \cap F_2) = \varphi(0 \sim) = 0 \sim$ . This implies that  $G_2$  is a Fermatean fuzzy Hausdorff space.

Conversely, if  $(G_2, \tau_2)$  is a Fermatean fuzzy Hausdorff space, then by using a similar argument as above and by the fact that both  $\varphi$  and  $\varphi^{-1}$  are Fermatean fuzzy continuous functions. We can easily prove that  $(G_1, \tau_1)$  is a Fermatean fuzzy Hausdorff space. Hence the proof.

**Definition-3.9:** Let  $\tau$  be a Fermatean fuzzy topology on a BCC-algebra G. Then  $(G, \tau)$  is called a Fermatean fuzzy C<sub>5</sub>-disconnected space if there exists a Fermatean fuzzy open and closed set F such that  $F \neq 0 \sim$  and  $F \neq 1 \sim$ .

**Theorem-3.10:** Let  $\tau_1$  and  $\tau_2$  be Fermatean fuzzy topologies on BCC-algebras  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  respectively and let  $\varphi: G_1 \to G_2$  be a Fermatean fuzzy continuous surjective function. If  $(G_1, \tau_1)$  is a Fermatean fuzzy  $C_5$ -connected space, then  $(G_2, \tau_2)$  is also a Fermatean fuzzy  $C_5$ -connected space.

Proof: Assume that  $(G_2, \tau_2)$  is a Fermatean fuzzy  $C_5$ -disconnected. Then there exists a Fermatean fuzzy open and closed set F such that  $F \neq 0 \sim$  and  $F \neq 1 \sim$ .

Since  $\varphi$  is an (3, 3)- fuzzy continuous function,  $\varphi^{-1}(F)$  is both Fermatean fuzzy open set and Fermatean fuzzy closed set. In this case  $\varphi^{-1}(F) \neq 0 \sim$  or  $\varphi^{-1}(F) \neq 1 \sim$ .

Since,  $F = \phi(\phi^{-1}(F)) = \phi(0 \sim) = 0 \sim$  and  $F = \phi(\phi^{-1}(F)) = \phi(1 \sim) = 1 \sim$ ,

We see that these results contradict to our assumption.

Hence the space  $(G_2, \tau_2)$  must be a Fermatean fuzzy  $C_5$ -connected space.

**Definition-3.11:** Let  $\tau$  be a Fermatean fuzzy topology on a BCC-algebra G. A Fermatean fuzzy topology set  $(G, \tau)$  is called a Fermatean fuzzy disconnected space if there exist Fermatean fuzzy open sets  $A \neq 0 \sim$  and  $B \neq 0 \sim$  such that  $A \cup B = 0 \sim$ . Naturally, we call the set  $(G, \tau)$  Fermatean fuzzy connected if  $(G, \tau)$  is not Fermatean fuzzy disconnected.

**Theorem-3.12:** Let  $\tau_1$  and  $\tau_2$  be Fermatean fuzzy topologies on BCC-algebras  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  respectively and let  $\varphi: (G_1, \tau_1) \rightarrow (G_2, \tau_2)$  be a Fermatean fuzzy continuous and surjective mapping. If  $G_1$  is a Fermatean fuzzy connected space, then so is  $G_2$ .

Proof: Suppose that  $G_2$  is a Fermatean fuzzy disconnected, then there exist Fermatean fuzzy open sets  $C \neq 0 \sim$  and  $D \neq 0 \sim$  in  $G_2$  such that  $C \cup D = 1 \sim$  and  $C \cap D = 0 \sim$ .

Since  $\varphi$  is a Fermatean fuzzy continuous function,  $A = \varphi^{-1}(C)$  and  $B = \varphi^{-1}(D)$  are Fermatean fuzzy open sets in G<sub>1</sub>.

Clearly,  $C \neq 0 \sim$  implies that  $A = \varphi^{-1}(C) \neq 0 \sim$  and  $D \neq 0 \sim$  implies that  $B = \varphi^{-1}(D) \neq 0 \sim$ . Now  $C \cup D = 1 \sim$ .  $\Rightarrow \varphi^{-1}(C \cup D) = \varphi^{-1}(1 \sim)$ .  $\Rightarrow \varphi^{-1}(C) \cup \varphi^{-1}(D) = 1 \sim$  implies  $A \cup B = 1 \sim$  and  $C \cap D = 0 \sim \Rightarrow \varphi^{-1}(C \cap D) = \varphi^{-1}(0 \sim)$   $\Rightarrow \varphi^{-1}(C) \cap \varphi^{-1}(D) = 0 \sim$  implies  $A \cap B = 0 \sim$ . This clearly contradicts our hypothesis.

Hence G<sub>2</sub> is a Fermatean fuzzy connected space.

**Definition-3.13:** A Fermatean fuzzy topology space  $(G, \tau)$  is said to be a Fermatean fuzzy strongly connected, if there exist no non-zero Fermatean fuzzy closed sets A and B in G such that  $\delta_A^3 + \delta_B^3 \le 1$  and  $\lambda_A^3 + \lambda_B^3 \ge 1$ .

The following fact follows immediately from above definition.

**Propositon-3.14:** G is a Fermatean fuzzy strongly connected if and only if there exist Fermatean fuzzy open sets A and B in G such that  $A \neq 1 \sim \neq B$  and  $\delta_A^3 + \delta_B^3 \ge 1$ ,  $\lambda_A^3 + \lambda_B^3 \le 1$ .

We now formulate the following theorem.

**Theorem-3.15:** Let  $\tau_1$  and  $\tau_2$  be Fermatean fuzzy topologies on BCC-algebras  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  respectively and let  $\varphi: (G_1, \tau_1) \rightarrow (G_2, \tau_2)$  be a Fermatean fuzzy continuous and surjective mapping. If  $G_1$  is a Fermatean fuzzy strongly connected, then so is  $G_2$ .

Proof: Suppose that  $G_2$  is not a Fermatean fuzzy strongly connected. Then there exist Fermatean fuzzy open sets C and D in  $G_2$  with  $C \neq 0 \sim$  and  $D \neq 0 \sim$  so that  $\delta_C^3 + \delta_D^3 \leq 1$ and  $\lambda_C^2 + \lambda_D^2 \geq 1$ . Since  $\varphi$  is a Fermatean fuzzy continuous function,  $\varphi^{-1}(C)$  and  $\varphi^{-1}(D)$  are (3, 3)-fuzzy closed sets in  $G_1$ . Now we can deduce the following equalities;

$$\begin{split} \delta^{3}_{\varphi^{-1}(C)} + \delta^{3}_{\varphi^{-1}(D)} &= \varphi^{-1}(\delta^{3}_{C}) + \varphi^{-1}(\delta^{3}_{D}) \\ &= \delta^{3}_{C} \circ \varphi + \delta^{3}_{D} \circ \varphi \leq 1 \text{ (Since } \delta^{3}_{C} + \delta^{3}_{D} \leq 1), \\ \lambda^{3}_{\varphi^{-1}(C)} + \lambda^{3}_{\varphi^{-1}(D)} &= \varphi^{-1}(\lambda^{3}_{C}) + \varphi^{-1}(\lambda^{3}_{D}) \\ &= \lambda^{3}_{C} \circ \varphi + \lambda^{3}_{D} \circ \varphi \geq 1 \text{ (Since } \lambda^{3}_{C} + \lambda^{3}_{D} \geq 1). \end{split}$$

 $\varphi^{-1}(C) \neq 0 \sim$  and  $\varphi^{-1}(D) \neq 0 \sim$ . This contradicts our hypothesis. Hence  $G_2$  is a Fermatean fuzzy strongly connected space.

**Definition-3.16:** Let  $\tau$  be a Fermatean fuzzy topology on a BCC-algebra G and A be a Fermatean fuzzy BCC-algebra with Fermatean fuzzy topology  $\tau_A$ . Then A is called a Fermatean fuzzy topological BCC-sub algebra if the self-mapping  $\gamma_a$ :  $(A, \tau_A) \rightarrow (A, \tau_A)$  defined by  $\gamma_a(x) = x * a$  for all  $a \in G$ , is a Relatively Fermatean fuzzy continuous function.

**Theorem-3.17:** Let  $\varphi: G_1 \to G_2$  be a homomorphism of BCC-algebras and let  $\tau$  and  $\tau^*$  be Fermatean fuzzy topologies on  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  respectively such that  $\tau = \varphi^{-1}(\tau^*)$ . If B is a Fermatean fuzzy topological BCC-sub algebra in  $G_2$ , then  $\varphi^{-1}(B)$  is a Fermatean fuzzy topological BCC-sub algebra in  $G_1$ .

**Theorem-3.18:** Let  $\varphi: G_1 \to G_2$  be an isomorphism of BCC-algebras. Let  $\tau$  and  $\tau^*$  be the respectively Fermatean fuzzy topologies on the spaces  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  such that  $\tau = \varphi^{-1}(\tau^*)$ . If A is a Fermatean fuzzy topological BCC-sub algebra in  $G_1$ , then  $\varphi^{-1}(A)$  is a Fermatean fuzzy topological BCC-sub algebra in  $G_2$ .

## 4. Fermatean fuzzy topological BCC-ideals

**Definition-4.1:** Fermatean fuzzy set A = { $(\delta_A, \lambda_A)$ } in a BCK-algebra G is called a Fermatean fuzzy BCK-ideal of G if the following conditions are satisfied;

- (i)  $\delta_A^3(0) \ge \delta_A^3(x)$  and  $\lambda_A^3(0) \le \lambda_A^3(x)$ ,
- (ii)  $\delta_A^3(x) \ge \min\{\delta_A^3(x * y), \delta_A^3(y)\}$
- (iii)  $\lambda_A^3(x) \le \max\{\lambda_A^3(x * y), \lambda_A^3(y)\}$  for all  $x, y \in G$ .

**Definition-4.2:** An (3, 3)-fuzzy set  $A = \langle \delta_A, \lambda_A \rangle$  in G is called a Fermatean fuzzy BCC-ideal of G if it satisfies the following conditions;

$$\begin{aligned} (3,3) \ F_1: \delta_A^3(0) &\geq \delta_A^3(x) \text{ and } \lambda_A^3(0) \leq \lambda_A^3(x) \\ (3,3) \ F_2: \delta_A^3(x*z) &\geq \min\{\delta_A^3((x*y)*z), \delta_A^3(y)\} \\ (3,3) \ F_3: \lambda_A^3(x*z) &\leq \max\{\lambda_A^3((x*y)*z), \lambda_A^3(y)\} \text{ for all } x, y, z \in G. \end{aligned}$$

Putting z = 0 in Fermatean  $F_2$  and Fermatean  $F_3$ , then we can easily see that a Fermatean fuzzy BCC-ideal is a Fermatean fuzzy BCK-ideal. However, the converse does not hold.

+	0	1	2	3	4	5
0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1	1	0	0	0	0	1
2	2	2	0	0	1	1
3	3	2	1	0	1	1
4	4	4	4	4	0	1
5	5	5	5	5	5	0

Let A =  $\langle \delta_A, \lambda_A \rangle$  be a Fermatean fuzzy set in G defined by  $\delta_A^3(5) = 0.02, \delta_A^3(x) = 0.4$ ,

G<sub>1</sub>.

 $\lambda_A^3(5) = 0.2$  and  $\lambda_A^3(x) = 0.04$  for all  $x \neq 5$ . Then A is a Fermatean fuzzy BCC-ideal of a BCC-algebra G.

**Theorem-4.4:** Let  $\varphi$  be a homomorphism of a BCC-algebra  $G_1$  into a BCC-algebra  $G_2$  and B be a Fermatean fuzzy BCC-ideal of  $G_2$ . Then  $\varphi^{-1}(B)$  is a Fermatean fuzzy BCC-ideal of  $G_1$ . Proof: It can be easily seen that

$$\begin{split} \delta^{3}_{\varphi^{-1}(B)}(0) &\geq \delta^{3}_{\varphi^{-1}(B)}(x) \text{ and } \lambda^{3}_{\varphi^{-1}(B)}(0) \leq \lambda^{3}_{\varphi^{-1}(B)}(x) \text{ , for all } x \in \\ \text{For any } x, y, z \in G_{1}, \text{ we can deduce the following} \\ \delta^{3}_{\varphi^{-1}(B)}(x * z) &= \delta^{3}_{B}(\varphi(x * z)) \\ &\geq \min\left\{\delta^{3}_{B}\left(\varphi((x * y) * z)\right), \delta^{3}_{B}(\varphi(y))\right\} \\ &= \min\left\{\delta^{3}_{B}\left(\left(\varphi(x) * \varphi(y)\right) * \varphi(z)\right), \delta^{3}_{B}(\varphi(y))\right\} \\ &= \min\left\{\delta^{3}_{\varphi^{-1}(B)}((x * y) * z), \delta^{3}_{\varphi^{-1}(B)}(y)\right\}. \end{split}$$

Also

$$\begin{split} \lambda^{3}_{\varphi^{-1}(B)}(\mathbf{x} * \mathbf{z}) &= \lambda^{3}_{B}(\varphi(\mathbf{x} * \mathbf{z})) \\ &\leq \max\left\{\lambda^{3}_{B}\left(\varphi\big((\mathbf{x} * \mathbf{y}) * \mathbf{z}\big)\big), \lambda^{3}_{B}(\varphi(\mathbf{y})\big)\right\} \\ &= \max\left\{\lambda^{3}_{B}\left(\left(\varphi(\mathbf{x}) * \varphi(\mathbf{y})\right) * \varphi(\mathbf{z})\right), \lambda^{3}_{B}(\varphi(\mathbf{y}))\right\} \\ &= \max\left\{\lambda^{3}_{\varphi^{-1}(B)}((\mathbf{x} * \mathbf{y}) * \mathbf{z}), \lambda^{3}_{\varphi^{-1}(B)}(\mathbf{y})\right\} \end{split}$$

Hence  $\varphi^{-1}(B)$  is a Fermatean fuzzy BCC-ideal of  $G_1$ .

**Corollarly-4.5:** Let  $\varphi$  be a homomorphism of a BCC-algebra  $G_1$  into a BCC-algebra  $G_2$  and let B be a Fermatean fuzzy BCK-ideal of  $G_2$ . Then  $\varphi^{-1}(B)$  is a Fermatean fuzzy BCK-ideal of  $G_1$ .

Since an (3, 3)- fuzzy BCC-ideal / BCK-ideal is a Fermatean fuzzy sub algebra, as a consequence of the above results and theorem-3.17, we obtain the following corollary:

**Corollarly-4.6:** Let  $\varphi: (G_1, \tau_1) \to (G_2, \tau_2)$  be a homomorphism of the BCC-algebras. Let  $\tau_1$ and  $\tau_2$  be the Fermatean fuzzy topologies on  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  respectively such that  $\tau_2 = \varphi^{-1}(\tau_1)$ . If B is a Fermatean fuzzy topological BCC-ideal / BCK-ideal of  $G_2$  with the membership function  $\delta_B^3$ , then  $\varphi^{-1}(B)$  is a Fermatean fuzzy topological BCC-ideal / BCK-ideal of  $G_1$  with the membership function  $\delta_{\varphi^{-1}(B)}^3$ .

**Theorem-4.7:** Let  $\varphi$  be a homomorphism of a BCC-algebra  $G_1$  into a BCC-algebra  $G_2$ . If A is a Fermatean fuzzy BCC-ideal of  $G_1$ , then the homomorphic image  $\varphi(A)$  of A is still a Fermatean fuzzy BCC-ideal of  $G_2$ .

Proof: Let A be a Fermatean fuzzy topological BCC-ideal of  $G_1$ . Then, it is trivial that  $\delta^3_{\varphi(A)}(0) \ge \delta^3_{\varphi(A)}(x)$  and  $\lambda^3_{\varphi(A)}(0) \le \lambda^3_{\varphi(A)}(x)$ , for all  $x \in G_2$ . Take x, y, z  $\in G_2$  and let  $x_0 \in \varphi^{-1}(x)$ ,  $y_0 \in \varphi^{-1}(y)$ ,  $z_0 \in \varphi^{-1}(z)$  such that  $\delta^3_A(x_0) = \sup_{t \in \varphi^{-1}(x)} t$ ,  $\delta^3_A(y_0) = \sup_{t \in \varphi^{-1}(y)} t$  and  $\delta^3_A(z_0) = \sup_{t \in \varphi^{-1}(z)} t$ . Then we can deduce the following,

$$\begin{split} \delta^{3}_{\varphi(A)}(x * z) &= \sup_{t \in \varphi^{-1}(x * z)} \left( \delta^{3}_{A}(t) \right) \\ &\geq \delta^{3}_{A}(x_{0} * z_{0}) \\ &\geq \min\{\delta^{3}_{A}((x_{0} * y_{0}) * z_{0}), \delta^{3}_{A}(y_{0})\} \\ &= \min\left\{ \sup_{t \in \varphi^{-1}((x * y) * z)} \left( \delta^{3}_{A}(t) \right), \sup_{t \in \varphi^{-1}(y)} \left( \delta^{3}_{A}(t) \right) \right\} \\ &= \min\{\delta^{3}_{\varphi(A)}((x * y) * z), \delta^{3}_{\varphi(A)}(y)\} \end{split}$$

and 
$$\lambda^{3}_{\varphi(A)}(\mathbf{x} \ast \mathbf{z}) = \inf_{\mathbf{t} \in \varphi^{-1}(\mathbf{x} \ast \mathbf{z})} \left( \lambda^{3}_{A}(\mathbf{t}) \right) \le \lambda^{3}_{A}(\mathbf{x}_{0} \ast \mathbf{z}_{0})$$
$$\le \max \{ \lambda^{3}_{A} \left( (\mathbf{x}_{0} \ast \mathbf{y}_{0}) \ast \mathbf{z}_{0} \right), \lambda^{3}_{A}(\mathbf{y}_{0}) \}$$

$$= \max\left\{\inf_{t \in \varphi^{-1}((x * y) * z)} \left(\lambda_A^3(t)\right), \inf_{t \in \varphi^{-1}(y)} \left(\lambda_A^3(t)\right)\right\}$$
$$= \max\left\{\lambda_{\varphi(A)}^3((x * y) * z), \lambda_{\varphi(A)}^3(y)\right\}$$

Hence  $\varphi(A) = \langle \varphi_{sup}(\delta_A), \varphi_{inf}(\lambda_A) \rangle$  is induced a Fermatean fuzzy BCC-ideal of G<sub>2</sub>. Putting z = 0 in the above theorem, we obtain:

**Corollarly-4.8:** Let  $\varphi$  be a homomorphism of a BCC-algebra  $G_1$  into a BCC-algebra  $G_2$ . If A is a Fermatean fuzzy BCK-ideal of  $G_1$ , then the homomorphic image  $\varphi(A)$  of A is still a Fermatean fuzzy BCK-ideal of  $G_2$ .

Summing up theorem-3.18, theorem-4.7 and corollary-4.8, we conclude the following theorem.

**Theorem-4.9:** Let  $\varphi: G_1 \to G_2$  be an isomorphism of BCC-algebras. Let  $\tau$  and  $\tau^*$  be the respectively Fermatean fuzzy topologies on the spaces  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  such that  $\varphi(\tau) = \tau^*$ . If A is a Fermatean fuzzy topological BCC-ideal / BCK-ideal in  $G_1$ , then  $\varphi(A)$  is also a Fermatean fuzzy topological BCC-ideal in  $G_2$ .

**Conclusion:** Here we studied the concept of Fermatean fuzzy topological properties of such algebras such as connectedness, strong connectedness and compact Haussdorff space. We

also discussed the characteristic of the homomorphic image and inverse image of Fermatean fuzzy topological BCC-ideals (BCK-ideals) of BCC-algebras (BCK-algebras).

#### **References:**

[1] K. T. Atanassov, Intuitionistic fuzzy sets, Fuzzy Sets and Systems 20 (1986), 87-96.

[2] S. Bhunia, G. Ghorai and Q. Xin, On the characterization of Pythagorean fuzzy subgroups, AIMS Mathematics 6 (1) (2020), 962-978. DOI:10.3934/math.2021058.

[3] A. Bryniarska, The n-Pythagorean fuzzy sets, Symmetry 2020, 12,

doi:10.3390/sym12111772.

[4] I. Cristea, B. Davvaz and Atanassov, Intuitionistic fuzzy grade of hypergroups, Inform. Sci. 180 (2010), 1506-1517.

[5] B. Davvaz, W. A. Dudek and Y. B. Jun, Intuitionistic fuzzy Hv-submodules, Inform. Sci. 176 (2006), 285-300.

- [6] Dudik W.A., 1992, "On proper BCC-algebras", Bull. Inst. Math. Acad. sinica, 20, pp:137-150.
- [7] ] Dudik W.A., 1992, "The number of sub algebras and finite BCC-algebras", Bull. Inst. Math. Acad. Sinica, 20, pp:129-136.
- [8] Y. Huang, BCI-algebra, Science Press: Beijing, China 2006.

[9] H. Z. Ibrahim, T. M. Al-shami and O. G. Elbarbary, (3, 3)-fuzzy sets and their applications

to topology and optimal choice, Computational Intelligence and Neuroscience Volume 2021,

Article ID 1272266, 14 pages. https://doi.org/10.1155/2021/1272266.

- [10] Imai. Y and Isiki. K, 1966, "On axiom system of propositional calculus XIV, proc.", Japonica Acad, 42, pp:19-22.
- [11] Isiki. K and Tanaka. S, 1975, "An introduction to the theory of BCK-algebras", Math. Japonica, 23, pp:126-133.

[12] Y. B. Jun and K. H. Kim, Intuitionistic fuzzy ideals in BCK-algebras, Internat. J. Math. Sci. 24 (12) (2000), 839-849.

[13] J. Meng and Y. B. Jun, BCK-algebras, Kyungmoon Sa Co.: Seoul, Korea 1994.

[14] M. Olgun, M. Unver and S. Yardimci, Pythagorean fuzzy topological spaces, Complex & Intelligent Systems 5 (2) (2019), 177-183.

[15] A. Satirad, R. Chinram and A. Iampan, Pythagorean fuzzy sets in UP-algebras and approximations, AIMS Mathematics 6 (6) (2021), 6002-6032, DOI:10.3934/math.2021354.
[16] T. Senapati and R. R. Yager, Fermatean fuzzy sets, Journal of Ambient Intelligence and

Humanized Computing 11 (2020), 663-674.

[17] I. Silambarasan, Fermatean fuzzy subgroups, J. Int. Math. Virtual Inst. 11 (1) (2021), 1-16, DOI: 10.7251/JIMVI2101001S.

[18] S. Yamak, O. Kazanci and B. Davvaz, Divisible and pure intuitionistic fuzzy subgroups and their properties, Int. J. Fuzzy Syst. 10 (2008), 298-307.

[19] R. R. Yager, Pythagorean fuzzy subsets, in Proceedings of the 2013 joint IFSA world congress and NAFIPS annual meeting (IFSA/NAFIPS), pp. 57-61, IEEE, Edmonton, Canada 2013.

[20] R. R. Yager, Pythagorean membership grades in multi-criteria decision making, Technical

Report MII-3301 Machine Intelligence Institute, Iona College, New Rochelle, NY 2013.

[21] R. R. Yager and A. M. Abbasov, Pythagorean membership grades, complex numbers and decision-making, International Journal of Intelligent Systems 28 (2013), 436-452.

[22] L. A. Zadeh, Fuzzy sets, Information and Control 8 (1965, 338-353.