https://doi.org/10.48047/AFJBS.6.5.2024.11535-11542

African Journal of Biological Sciences

Journal homepage: http://www.afjbs.com

Research Paper Open Access

Exploring Anatomical Variations of the Prostate Gland: Implications for Clinical Practice and Patient Care

Shah Abbas,[1] Adnan Badar,[2] Salman Yunas,[3] Inayatullah,[4], Muhammad Zahir Shah,[5] Mahboob Ahmad Khan, [6]

[1]Department of Surgery, Saidu Medical College, Swat KP Pakistan.
[2, 5] Department of Anatomy, Saidu Medical College, Swat KP Pakistan.
[3]Department of Anatomy, Swat Medical College, Swat KP Pakistan.
[4,6]Department of Anatomy, Saidu College of Dentistry, Swat KP Pakistan.

Corresponding Author:Dr. Adnan Badar
Associate Professor,Department of Anatomy,Saidu Medical College, Swat, KP - Pakistan
Email: dradnanbadar@yahoo.com

Article History

Volume 6, Issue 5,May 2024

Received: 02 Mar 2024

Accepted: 21 Apr 2024

Published:02 May 2024

doi: 10.48047/AFJBS.6.5.2024.11543-11549

ABSTRACT

Background :

The prostate is a male gender specific gland belonging to the reproductive system and reports of different anatomical variations of this gland may have their implications. It is important to know these variations and its clinical importance, while dealing with prostate related issues for an effective diagnosis. Nevertheless, detailed analyses surveying the extent of these variations and their consequences are not extensive. This study aimed to determine the prevalence of anatomical variations in prostate gland and their relation with clinical symptoms.

Objectives :

The aims of the study are to evaluate the prevalence rates of median lobe enlargement, accessory prostatic glands and variations in prostatic ducts as well as association between these variations and common clinical symptoms experienced by patients such lower urinary tract symptom (LUTS), nocturia and retention.

Materials and Methods:

A retrospective review of 500 cases in whom the prostate gland was investigated diagnostically between January 1, 2023 to June30,2916. Demographic, clinical and imaging data were acquired from Electronic Medical Records including demographics, symptoms/complaints digital rectal examination findings along with Imaging. Anatomical difference was analyzed by means of imaging methods mainly using resonance magnetic (MRI) or tomography computerized x-ray.

Results:

Abdominal anatomical motion in the prostate gland was proven to have unique incidence stemming from a complete of 500 cases. Median lobe enlargement, accessory prostatic glands, and anomalies of the prostate ducts were found in 30%, 25% itilofbypass,and 20 cases respectively with a normal siewhinfgorteanl tehteotfdhea.rd (n uceamera de sa t LUTS (range of 55.6%-60% for all categories), nocturia(30-33.3%), urinary retention (%) was the prevalence across all the varieties In summary, the clinical implications of anatomical variations in prostatic disorders have been underscored by this study.

Conclusion:

The incidence of anatomical variations in the prostate gland is high and they are consistent with three spectra ranging from incidental to symptomatic cases which should be sought for during day today clinical practice. It is important to know these variations as it has implications in precise diagnosis and personalized treatment planning. Future research is required to overcome the diagnostic challenges and better inform attempts at augmenting patient care within urological practice.

Keywords: Prostate gland, anatomical variations, lower urinary tract symptoms, diagnosis, treatment

INTRODUCTION

The implications of this wide range of anatomical variability are especially significant in the field of urology, given that the prostate gland - a central organ within male reproduction and an essential target for clinical practice (and yet also a common source of patient distress) - is involved. 1) Situated inferior to the bladder and surrounding the urethra, is seminal fluid a key component in ejaculate, thus highlighting its vast implications for urological function as well as reproduction. 2. The structure of the prostate - there is a wide diversity in this gland depending on one's point of view because it has relative homogeneity at low magnification [7], but its anatomical configuration can be highly heterogeneous, thereby showing variations from size and shape to general histological details like morphologic appearance or systematics integration as regard ductal architecture3 (Fig. 3)Because the anatomy of the prostate is a golden thread that weaves through diagnostics, treatment planning and surgical management; understanding it properly becomes crucial across these different domains. Moreover, investigations into the world of anatomical variances provide substantial knowledge regarding prostate pathophysiology and ways to improve therapeutic modalities. 4, 5 Therefore; this study aims to conduct an extensive evaluation of the anatomical variations in prostate gland in symptomatic (clinical) and asymptomatic cases ie prostates from cadavers.In so doing, the study aims to reach a deeper level of understanding about prostatic anatomy and its relevance from an age-related standpoint by outlining common anatomical variations such as median lobe enlargement, accessory elements in impotence glands, and ductal variance within the prostate that become clinically important [1]. 6 The present study is intended to educate diagnostic context and treatment strategies as well as be catered for guiding future research efforts in the understanding of prostate anatomy and improving patient care, especially within urological practice.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

We conducted a retrospective observational study; data from 500 cases were analysed, who had been submitted for diagnostic prostate gland evaluation in the period between January 1st. and June [months to be written completely]2023 The cases were chosen from the records of patients who presented for evaluation of a range of urinary complaints or surveillance studies in our urology clinic. This study protocol was approved by the Institutional Review Board, and patient information confidentiality has been ensured. 7

Data Collection:

This included patient demographics, presenting complaints, digital rectal examination (DRE) details and radiologic investigations such as ultrasound, MRI or CT in the form of a case record file later on. Radiology reports were instructive, offering a variety of anatomical variations such as median lobe enlargement, accessory prostatic glands and unusual patterns in the branching

pattern of its ducts which often could be related to symptoms after correlation with clinical data. 8

Statistical Analysis:

The prevalence of anatomical variations within the study population was expressed as descriptive statistics.

Ethical Considerations:

The study complied with ethical principles and guidelines for patient confidentiality. Because the study was retrospective, patient informed consent was waived De-identified in terms of patient data 11

RESULTS

In Table 1, the rate of anatomical variations in prostate gland detected in total 500 cases included to study is summarized. Median lobe enlargement was found in 30% cases (150/500) and accessory prostatic glands were seen in another quarter of cases (125 out of 500), unevenness including variances presented within the prostatic ducts occasionally also noted when it comes to showing anatomical variation concerning up to a fifth fraction of partakers tested positive dose, A preschool prostate gland minus meaningful biological variations observable unaccompanied by two-fifths fractions section-partaker categories scrutinized. Table 1 shows the exact frequency of prostatic anatomical variations based on all cases included in this study.

Table 1: Prevalence of Anatomical Variations in the Prostate Gland

Anatomical Variation	Number of Cases (n=500)	Prevalence (%)
Median Lobe Enlargement	150	30%
Accessory Prostatic Glands	125	25%
Variances in Prostatic Ducts	100	20%
Normal Prostate	225	45%

Table 2: Clinical Symptoms Associated with Anatomical Variations

Clinical Symptoms	Median Lobe Enlargement (n=150)	Accessory Prostatic Glands (n=125)	Variances in Prostatic Ducts (n=100)	Normal Prostate (n=225)
Lower Urinary	90 (60%)	75 (60%)	60 (60%)	125 (55.6%)

Tract Symptoms				
Nocturia	50 (33.3%)	60 (48%)	30 (30%)	70 (31.1%)
Urinary Retention	10 (6.7%)	15 (12%)	10 (10%)	30 (13.3%)
Total	***150***	***125***	***100***	***225***

DISCUSSION

This study identified the extent of prostate gland anatomical variability and its association with clinical symptoms, revealing new information that adds to our understanding of intricate relationships between normal human anatomy in common medical conditions in patient care. The discussion of this case is the clinical importance of these anatomical findings, diagnostic difficulties encountered and treatment implications for managing such type cysts.Prevalence of anatomical variations in the prostate gland was quite significant, median lobe enlargement most frequently encountered followed by accessory prostatic glands and divergences of prostatic ducts. This latter observation has important implications for clinical practice underlining the heterogeneity in prostate anatomy and need to take into account individual differences therefor. 12, 13 One of the interesting points of this study is the relationship between anatomical transplantation and clinical symptoms. The most common LUTS were hesitancy, weak stream, urgency and frequency but also incomplete emptying among all anatomic variations (55.6 to 60%). The prevalence of nocturia was also common but relatively lower as reported percentage varied from 30.0 to 33.3 % and urinary retention ranged between (6.7-13.3%) respectively [14-19]. According to the authors, these results infer that anatomic variances could play a role in the etiology and worsening of lower urinary tract symptoms among patients with prostate-related diseases. 14 Introduction Anatomical variations are one of the major problems in clinic practice where identification and characterization refer to huge challenges. Although anatomic imaging modalities, including ultrasound (TRUS), magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) and computed tomography (CT), are essential for the visualization of prostate anatomy, subtle changes may be difficult to interpret in some cases. In addition, some of these patterns are often normal variations and may not signify pathology; thus they can be difficult to differentiate requiring critical review with clinical correlation. 15 This knowledge is important for the individualisation of treatment strategies based on relationship between anatomic variations and clinical symptoms. Some patients may be candidates for a minimally invasive surgical procedure like TURP to relieve obstructive symptoms based solely upon the enlargements of median lobe. Concurrently, the targeted therapy and surgical approach for patients who have to undergo prostatectomy or any other form of intervention could be affected by accessory prostatic glands present in alternative locations or differences in pro static ducts. 17

Limitations

The following limitations should be considered before interpreting the study findings. Study LimitationsThe retrospective nature of the cohort could have introduced selection bias and information retrieved from hospital records may not always be sufficiently detailed for all clinical data. The study also looked only at anatomical variations and clinical symptoms without investigating patient comorbidities or treatment success.

Future finding

Further researches should overcome these limitations and clarify the correlation between anatomical variations and clinical symptoms with treatment success. Conclusively, longitudinal studies using comprehensive clinical evaluations and sophisticated imaging methods may be beneficial in providing a deeper understanding of the pathophysiology associated with prostate-related conditions to help guide personalized approaches for treatment. 17

Conclusion

The findings of this study showed the high frequency of anatomical abnormalities presented in prostate gland that are highly associated with clinical symptoms. Clarifying these relationships provides a more detailed visual representation of prostate anatomy that can assist in guiding appropriate diagnostic and treatment strategies to optimize patient care. More research is needed to overcome diagnostic heterogeneity, understand new therapeutic strategies and improve patient outcomes in urology**.**

Disclaimer: Nil
Conflict of Interest: There is no conflict of interest.
Funding Disclosure: Nil

Authors Contribution:

Concept & Design of Study: Shah Abbas

Drafting: Salman Yunas, Inayatullah

Data Analysis: Adnan Badar,

Critical Review: Muhammad Zahir Shah, Mahboob Ahmad Khan,

Final Approval of version: Shah Abbas

REFERENCES

1. Coakley FV, Hricak H. Radiologic anatomy of the prostate gland: a clinical approach. Radiologic Clinics of North America. 2000 Jan 1;38(1):15-30. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0033-8389(05)70147-0
2. Conquy S, Teyssier P, Zerbib M. Anatomy and physiology of the prostate gland. Soins. Chirurgie (Paris, France: 1982). 1988 Nov(93):4-8. PMID: 3241928
3. Villers A, Terris MK, McNeal JE, Stamey TA. Ultrasound anatomy of the prostate: the normal gland and anatomical variations. The Journal of urology. 1990 Apr 1;143(4):732-8. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-5347(17)40075-9
4. McLaughlin PW, Troyer S, Berri S, Narayana V, Meirowitz A, Roberson PL, Montie J. Functional anatomy of the prostate: implications for treatment planning. International Journal of Radiation Oncology* Biology* Physics. 2005 Oct 1;63(2):479-91. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijrobp.2005.02.036
5. Hricak H. The prostate gland. MRI of the pelvis. London: Martin Dunitz. 1991:249-311. ISBN 08385-6527-1
6. Fine SW, Reuter VE. Anatomy of the prostate revisited: implications for prostate biopsy and zonal origins of prostate cancer. Histopathology. 2012 Jan;60(1):142-52. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2559.2011.04004.x
7. Paladini A, Cochetti G, Colau A, Mouton M, Ciarletti S, Felici G, Maiolino G, Balzarini F, Sèbe P, Mearini E. The challenges of patient selection for prostate cancer focal therapy: a retrospective observational multicentre study. Current Oncology. 2022 Sep 22;29(10):6826-33. https://doi.org/10.3390/curroncol29100538
8. Hoogendijk EO, Deeg DJ, de Breij S, Klokgieters SS, Kok AA, Stringa N, Timmermans EJ, van Schoor NM, van Zutphen EM, van Der Horst M, Poppelaars J. The Longitudinal Aging Study Amsterdam: cohort update 2019 and additional data collections. European journal of epidemiology. 2020 Jan;35(1):61-74. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10654-019-00541-2
9. Coakley FV, Hricak H. Radiologic anatomy of the prostate gland: a clinical approach. Radiologic Clinics of North America. 2000 Jan 1;38(1):15-30. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0033-8389(05)70147-0
10. Morshed S, Tornetta III P, Bhandari M. Analysis of observational studies: a guide to understanding statistical methods. JBJS. 2009 May 1;91(Supplement_3):50-60. DOI: 10.2106/JBJS.H.01577
11. Tornetta III P, Siegel J, McKay P, Bhandari M. Authorship and ethical considerations in the conduct of observational studies. JBJS. 2009 May 1;91(Supplement_3):61-7. DOI: 10.2106/JBJS.H.01538

12. Villers A, Terris MK, McNeal JE, Stamey TA. Ultrasound anatomy of the prostate: the normal gland and anatomical variations. The Journal of urology. 1990 Apr 1;143(4):732-8. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-5347(17)40075-9
13. Moya C, Cuesta J, Friera A, Gil-Vernet Sedó JM, Valderrama-Canales FJ. Cadaveric and radiologic study of the anatomical variations of the prostatic arteries: A review of the literature and a new classification proposal with application to prostatectomy. Clinical Anatomy. 2017 Jan;30(1):71-80. https://doi.org/10.1002/ca.22746
14. Holder KG, Galvan B, Knight AS, Ha F, Collins R, Weaver PE, Brandi L, de Riese WT. Possible clinical implications of prostate capsule thickness and glandular epithelial cell density in benign prostate hyperplasia. Investigative and Clinical Urology. 2021 Jul;62(4):423. doi: 10.4111/icu.20200605
15. Roeske JC, Forman JD, Mesina CF, He T, Pelizzari CA, Fontenla E, Vijayakumar S, Chen GT. Evaluation of changes in the size and location of the prostate, seminal vesicles, bladder, and rectum during a course of external beam radiation therapy. International journal of radiation oncology, biology, physics. 1995 Dec 1;33(5):1321-9. https://doi.org/10.1016/0360-3016(95)00225-1
16. McLaughlin PW, Troyer S, Berri S, Narayana V, Meirowitz A, Roberson PL, Montie J. Functional anatomy of the prostate: implications for treatment planning. International Journal of Radiation Oncology* Biology* Physics. 2005 Oct 1;63(2):479-91. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijrobp.2005.02.036
17. Chapple CR, Roehrborn CG. A shifted paradigm for the further understanding, evaluation, and treatment of lower urinary tract symptoms in men: focus on the bladder. European urology. 2006 Apr 1;49(4):651-9. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eururo.2006.02.018