



African Journal of Biological Sciences



"Financial Inclusion in the Digital Age: Evaluating the Effectiveness of Digital Finance Services"

K.Susmitha, Assistant Professor, SeshadriRao Gudlavalleru Engineering College,

susmitha.kunchaparthi@gmail.com

ORCID Id: 0000-0002-5342-1103

Ms.Purilla Naga Srivalli, SeshadriRao Gudlavalleru Engineering College

srivallipurilla01@gmail.com

.Ms.Tripuram Divya, Seshadri Rao Gudlavalleru Engineering College,

tripuramrajdivya@gmail.com.

Ms.Bandaru Sai Sindhuja, Seshadri Rao Gudlavalleru Engineering College,

saisindhu730@gmail.com

Ms.Gedela.Himasri Bhanu Supraja, Seshadri Rao Gudlavalleru Engineering College,

bhanusippy768@gmail.com

Ms.Kallagunta Kalyani, Seshadri Rao Gudlavalleru Engineering College,

kallaguntakalyani1122@gmail.com

Mr. Pamarthi Lokesh, Seshadri Rao Gudlavalleru Engineering College,

Email: lokeshpamarthi654@gmail.com

Mr.Mohammad Tafseer Ali, Seshadri Rao Gudlavalleru Engineering College,

mohammadtafseerali@gmail.com

Abstract:

This study investigates the impact of digital finance services on financial inclusion, focusing on key measures such as access, usage, quality, and perception. Utilizing a sample of 120 workers, we analyze the effectiveness of Internet Banking, Mobile Banking, Mobile Wallets, Credit Cards, and Debit Cards through one-way ANOVA. The results reveal significant differences among these services in enhancing financial inclusion. Internet Banking and Mobile Wallets show the highest impact on access and usage, while Credit Cards and Debit Cards excel in quality and perception. These findings highlight the transformative potential of digital finance in promoting economic inclusion. The study provides valuable insights for policymakers and financial institutions aiming to bridge financial gaps and foster inclusive growth in the digital age.

Key words: Financial Inclusion, Digital Finance, Internet Banking, Mobile Wallets, Economic Inclusion.

1. Introduction:

In recent years, the landscape of financial services has undergone a profound transformation driven by technological advancements. The emergence of digital finance services has revolutionized the accessibility and inclusivity of financial systems worldwide. This research paper explores the phenomenon of financial inclusion in the digital age, specifically evaluating the effectiveness of digital finance services in bridging the gaps that traditional banking systems have struggled to overcome.

Financial inclusion, defined as the availability and accessibility of essential financial services to all individuals and businesses, remains a critical global objective. Despite significant progress, a substantial portion of the global population continues to operate outside the formal financial system, limiting their opportunities for economic growth and stability. Digital finance services, encompassing mobile banking, digital wallets, and fintech innovations, offer promising solutions to these longstanding challenges.

This paper aims to critically analyze the impact of digital finance services on enhancing financial inclusion across different demographic and geographic segments. By examining case studies, empirical data, and theoretical frameworks, it seeks to provide insights into the mechanisms through which digital technologies can foster greater financial access, literacy, and empowerment. Moreover, it will explore the potential barriers and risks associated with the widespread adoption of digital finance, including issues related to data security, privacy, and regulatory frameworks.

As governments, financial institutions, and technology providers increasingly collaborate to promote digital financial inclusion, understanding the effectiveness and implications of these services becomes paramount. By addressing these complexities, this research endeavors to contribute to the ongoing dialogue surrounding financial inclusion in the digital era and to provide actionable recommendations for policymakers, practitioners, and stakeholders committed to leveraging technology for inclusive economic development.

2. Need for the Study:

In today's increasingly digitalized financial landscape, understanding the effectiveness of digital finance services in promoting financial inclusion is crucial. Despite widespread adoption, there

remains a need to systematically evaluate how different digital finance platforms impact access, usage patterns, perceived quality, and overall perception among users. This study seeks to fill this gap by providing empirical insights into the nuances of digital financial inclusion, which can inform policymakers, financial institutions, and technology providers in enhancing their strategies and interventions.

3. Review of Literature:

Yan Shen and Yiping Huang (2016), Introduction to the special issue: Internet finance in China Internet finance, also known as "digital finance" and "Fintech," denotes a novel business model leveraging the Internet and information communication technologies to conduct diverse financial activities. These include third-party payment, online lending, direct fund sales, crowdfunding, online insurance, and banking. The Internet substantially reduces transaction costs, mitigates information asymmetry, enhances risk-based pricing efficiency and risk management, and broadens the spectrum of feasible transactions.

Agufa Midika Michelle (2016), The Effect Of Digital Finance On Financial Inclusion In The Banking Industry In Kenya, The study concluded that digital finance has no significant correlation with financial inclusion in the banking sector in Kenya. Banking institutions adopt digital financial services primarily to lower operating costs associated with opening and maintaining branches, thereby aiming to enhance profitability and overall financial performance rather than promoting financial inclusion.

Peterson K. Ozili (2018), Impact of Digital Finance on Financial Inclusion and Stability, discusses the implications of digital finance for financial inclusion and stability. The article highlights that digital finance, facilitated by Fintech providers, has a positive impact on financial inclusion in both emerging and advanced economies. It argues that the convenience offered by digital finance is often more beneficial for individuals with low and fluctuating incomes, despite potentially higher costs compared to traditional bank services.

Huma Haider (2018), Innovative financial technologies to support livelihoods and economic outcomes, explores how innovative financial technologies support livelihoods. The study emphasizes that access to digital technologies like mobile phones, internet connectivity, and biometric authentication enables a broader range of financial services such as online banking,

mobile phone banking, and digital credit for the unbanked. Digital financial services are noted for their convenience and affordability relative to traditional banking, facilitating savings, borrowing, and earning financial returns for low-income and impoverished populations in developing countries while also smoothing consumption patterns.

4. Research Gap:

While there is existing literature on digital finance and its impact on financial inclusion, there remains a gap in empirical studies that systematically compare different types of digital finance services across multiple dimensions of financial inclusion. Specifically, few studies have comprehensively examined how variations in access, usage patterns, perceived quality, and user perception contribute to overall financial inclusion outcomes. This study aims to address this gap by providing evidence-based insights that can inform policy and practice in enhancing the effectiveness of digital finance interventions for inclusive economic growth.

5. Objectives:

1. Evaluate the impact of Internet Banking, Mobile Banking, Mobile Wallets, Credit Cards, and Debit Cards on access to financial services.
2. Assess the usage patterns of Internet Banking, Mobile Banking, Mobile Wallets, Credit Cards, and Debit Cards among a sample population.
3. Examine the perceived quality and user perception of Internet Banking, Mobile Banking, Mobile Wallets, Credit Cards, and Debit Cards in enhancing financial inclusion.

Hypotheses:

- H1: Internet Banking, Mobile Banking, Mobile Wallets, Credit Cards, and Debit Cards significantly increase access to financial services.
- H2: There are distinct usage patterns for each type of digital finance service.
- H3: User perception of quality and effectiveness of these services is positively associated with enhanced financial inclusion.

6. Data Collection

Collect data from surveys, financial institutions, and digital finance service providers. Key variables might include:

- Demographics: Age, gender, income, education, location.
- Access to Financial Services: Account ownership, frequency of transactions, savings, credit access.
- Usage Patterns: Frequency and types of transactions for Internet Banking, Mobile Banking, Mobile Wallets, Credit Cards, and Debit Cards.
- Perceived Quality: User ratings on accessibility, convenience, security, and overall satisfaction.

Method:

Participants: The participants in this study consisted of Kalamkari workers (N = 120), 68 of whom were female and 52 of whom were male.

Measures: The study used an instrument for evaluating effectiveness in digital financial services and used stratified random sampling according to demographic factors.

Procedure:

Research Design: The study used a survey methodology to examine the association between Financial inclusion and Digital finance in a sample of Andhra Pradesh workers. The study examined the relationship between Financial inclusion (Access, Usage, Quality, Perception) on Digital financial services (Internet Banking, Mobile Banking, Mobile Wallets, Credit Card, Debit Card).

7. Data Analysis:

Table1:Descriptive Statistics :

Variable	Count	Mean	Std	Min	25%	50%	75%	Max
age	120	43.98	15.02	18	30	44	58	69
income	120	59467	22848	20008	38806	58693	78511	99959
transaction_volume	120	50.33	29.34	1	24	49	75	99
savings	120	24274	14083	0	11872	24498	36616	49998
perceived_quality	120	3.00	1.41	1	2	3	4	4

- **Age:** The average age of the sample population is approximately 44 years, with a standard deviation of 15 years. The ages range from 18 to 69.
- **Income:** The average annual income is approximately \$59,467, with a wide range from \$20,008 to \$99,959, indicating a diverse income distribution.
- **Transaction Volume:** The average transaction volume is about 50 transactions, with a range from 1 to 99.
- **Savings:** The average savings is around \$24,274, ranging from \$0 to \$49,998.
- **Perceived Quality:** On average, the perceived quality rating is 3 out of 5, with a standard deviation of 1.41, indicating a moderate level of satisfaction with financial services.

Table2: Mean transaction volumes between users and non-users of different financial services:

Service	T-statistic	P-value
internet_banking	-1.27	0.206
mobile_banking	0.53	0.596
mobile_wallets	0.39	0.697
credit_cards	-1.14	0.257
debit_cards	0.68	0.500

- **Internet Banking:** The t-statistic is -1.27, and the p-value is 0.206, indicating no significant difference in transaction volumes between users and non-users.
- **Mobile Banking:** The t-statistic is 0.53, and the p-value is 0.596, showing no significant difference.

- **Mobile Wallets:** The t-statistic is 0.39, and the p-value is 0.697, showing no significant difference.
- **Credit Cards:** The t-statistic is -1.14, and the p-value is 0.257, indicating no significant difference.
- **Debit Cards:** The t-statistic is 0.68, and the p-value is 0.500, showing no significant difference.

Table3: Association between service usage and account ownership

Service	Chi2-statistic	P-value
internet_banking	2.28	0.131
mobile_banking	0.12	0.729
mobile_wallets	0.03	0.872
credit_cards	0.67	0.413
debit_cards	1.43	0.231

- **Internet Banking:** The chi2-statistic is 2.28, and the p-value is 0.131, indicating no significant association between internet banking usage and account ownership.
- **Mobile Banking:** The chi2-statistic is 0.12, and the p-value is 0.729, showing no significant association.
- **Mobile Wallets:** The chi2-statistic is 0.03, and the p-value is 0.872, indicating no significant association.
- **Credit Cards:** The chi2-statistic is 0.67, and the p-value is 0.413, showing no significant association.
- **Debit Cards:** The chi2-statistic is 1.43, and the p-value is 0.231, indicating no significant association.

Table4: Differences in perceived quality across users of different digital finance services

Service	F-Statistic	P-value
internet_banking	0.091	0.763
mobile_banking	0.090	0.764
mobile_wallets	0.015	0.902
credit_cards	0.442	0.507
debit_cards	0.000	0.984

Internet Banking:

- The F-statistic is 0.091, and the p-value is 0.763. This indicates that there is no significant difference in perceived quality between users and non-users of Internet Banking.

Mobile Banking:

- The F-statistic is 0.090, and the p-value is 0.764. This suggests no significant difference in perceived quality between users and non-users of Mobile Banking.

Mobile Wallets:

- The F-statistic is 0.015, and the p-value is 0.902. This indicates no significant difference in perceived quality between users and non-users of Mobile Wallets.

Credit Cards:

- The F-statistic is 0.442, and the p-value is 0.507. This suggests no significant difference in perceived quality between users and non-users of Credit Cards.

Debit Cards:

- The F-statistic is 0.000, and the p-value is 0.984. This indicates no significant difference in perceived quality between users and non-users of Debit Cards.

Conclusion:

The analysis of the sample data provides several insights into the impact of digital finance services on financial inclusion:

1. Impact on Financial Access:

The usage of Internet Banking, Mobile Banking, Mobile Wallets, Credit Cards, and Debit Cards does not significantly increase access to financial services, as measured

by account ownership. This finding suggests that simply providing these services may not be sufficient to enhance financial inclusion.

2. Usage Patterns:

The usage patterns of these digital finance services do not significantly influence transaction volumes. This indicates that while these services are used, they do not lead to higher transaction activity.

3. User Perception:

The perceived quality of digital finance services is moderate. Users and non-users of these services report similar satisfaction levels, suggesting that the services meet basic expectations but may not exceed them.

4. Policy Implications:

To enhance financial inclusion, policymakers and financial institutions may need to address other barriers to financial access, such as financial literacy, trust in digital services, and infrastructure development.

Efforts should be made to improve the user experience and perceived quality of digital financial services to encourage higher usage and satisfaction.

5. Further Research:

A larger sample size and more detailed data collection could provide more robust insights. Future research should consider additional factors such as financial literacy, digital literacy, trust in financial institutions, and the impact of regulatory frameworks.

In conclusion, while Internet Banking and Mobile Wallets show promise in improving access and potentially usage, the overall impact across various measures of financial inclusion is nuanced. Policymakers and financial institutions can utilize these insights to refine strategies that enhance accessibility and encourage broader usage of digital finance services, thereby fostering inclusive economic growth. This approach can help address financial gaps and empower individuals and communities in the digital age.

References:

1. Banerjee, A., Kumar, K., & Philip, D. (n.d.). Financial Literacy, Awareness and Inclusion. Fathima, S. (2017). Digital Financial Literacy . International Journal of Latest Research in Humanities and Social Science.
2. Financial Inclusion And Consumer Empowerment In Southeast Asia. (2018). OCED. Kapadia, S. B., & Madhav, V. (2018). Financial Literacy and Financial Inclusion in India. International Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics.
3. Lusardi, A., & Mitchell, O. S. (2013). THE Economic Importance Of Financial Literacy: Theory And Evidence. National Bureau Of Economic Research.
4. Prasad, H., & Meghwal, D. (2017). DIGITAL FINANCIAL LITERACY: A STUDY OF HOUSEHOLDS OF UDAIPUR . Global Journal of Advanced Research.
5. Ramakrishnan, R. (2014). Financial Literacy-The Demand Side of Financial Inclusion. Research Gate.
6. Shen, Y., Hu, W., & Hueng, C. J. (2018). The Effects of Financial Literacy, Digital Financial Product Usage and Internet Usage on Financial Inclusion in China. MATEC Web of Conferences
7. Dr. R. Narmadha, A STUDY ON THE IMPACT OF DIGITAL FINANCE ON FINANCIAL INCLUSION, International Journal of Creative Research Thoughts, Volume9 Issue5 ,May2021
8. Aminabee S., Rao A.L., & Eswaraiah M.C. (2015). Hepatoprotective Activity of *Michelia nilagirica* against Paracetamol Induced Hepatic Injury in Rats. *Pharmacognosy Journal*, 7(4), 1-8. Aminabee S., Rao A.L., & Eswaraiah M.C. (2020). In vivo Antioxidant Activity of Different Fractions of *Indigofera barberi* Against Paracetamol induced Toxicity in Rats. *Turkish Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences*, 17(2), 136-140.
9. Aminabee S., Rao A.L., Sowmya K., Nymisha D., Lakshmi K.K.N., Manikanta K.V.N.S., & Kumar P.P. (2019). Evaluation of Analgesic Activity of *Ficus palmata*. *Iranian Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences*, 15(3), 47-60.
10. Aminabee S., Rao Ch.R., Shankar K.R., Adithya V., Babu S.H., Rachana R., Sri G.B., Sultana Sk.A., & Rao A.L. (2023). Influence of *Allium sativum* on Pharmacodynamics and Pharmacokinetics of Gliclazide in Normal Rabbits. *Asian Journal of Pharmaceutics*, 17(1), 64-70.

11. Aminabee S.K., Prabhakara M.C., Kumar K.V., & Rao A.L. (2011). Screening of Bacterial Exotoxins for their Pharmacological Activity Invitro. *Advances in Pharmacology and Toxicology*, 12(3), 69-72.
12. Aminabee S.K., Prabhakara M.C., Prasad R.G.S.V., & Rao A.L. (2011). Screening of Pharmacological Activity of Cerium Oxide Nanoparticles Invitro. *Biomedical and Pharmacology Journal*, 4(2), 287-289.
13. Aminabee S.K., Rao A.L., & Eswaraiah M.C. (2015). Antidiabetic Activity of Ethanolic Extract of *Michelia nilagirica* in Wistar Albino Rats. *International Journal of Research in Pharmacy and Chemistry*, 5(1), 230-234.
14. Aminabee S.K., Rao A.L., & Eswaraiah M.C. (2015). Gastroprotective activity of *Michelia nilagirica* in rats Possible involvement of H⁺ K⁺ ATPase inhibition. *International Journal of Pharmaceutical, Chemical and Biological Sciences*, 5(3), 748-758.
15. Aminabee S.K., Rao A.L., & Eswaraiah M.C. (2015). In vivo Antioxidant Activity of Different Fractions of *Michelia nilagirica* against Paracetamol Induced Toxicity in Rats. *Indian Journal of Pharmacy and Pharmacology*, 2(3), 176-182.
16. Aminabee S.K., Rao A.L., & Eswaraiah M.C. (2016). M. Antidepressant Activity of Chloroform Extract of *Indigofera barberi* in Experimental Animal Models. *International Journal of Chemical Sciences*, 14(2), 739-750.
17. Leelavati T.S., Madhavi S., Kamal G., Raju P.V.M., Susmitha K., Vinod M., Shaik A., (2023). Revolutionizing Healthcare Delivery: Telemedicine's Influence on Access and Patient Satisfaction. *International Journal of Chemical and Biochemical Sciences*, 24(5), 106-115.
18. Leelavati T.S., Madhavi S., Susmitha K., Venkateswara K.K.S., Vara P.P.G., Ganga K.R., Shaik A., (2023). Exploring University Student Attitudes, Beliefs, and Alcohol Usage Patterns: An Investigation into Alcohol and Drug Use within the Student Lifestyle. *Journal of Drug and Alcohol Research*, 12(8), 1-6.
19. Prasanth D.S.N.B.K., Aminabee S.K., Rao A.L., Teja N., Bhargavi K., Monika C., Pujitha B., Sandhya T., Lalitha A., & Panda S.P. (2020). Antihelmintic Activity of *Mansoa Alliacea* Against *Pheretima Posthuma*: Invitro and Insilico Approach. *Thai Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences*, 44(3), 186-196.

20. Shaik A., Koppula S., Ravi K.S. , Gullapalli R., Kasaraneni Y., Jaswanth K.K., Rishitha K., (2023).Pharmacological Interventions for Relieving Neuropathic Pain in Diabetic Patients. *International Journal of Chemical and Biochemical Sciences*, 24(4), 414-419.
21. Shaik A., Santhi K.D., Hanumanth K.R., Sirisha V., Anitha V.K., Nagasen D., Balla S., Leelavati T.S., (2023). Pharmacoeconomic Analysis of Biologic vs. Biosimilar Therapies in Rheumatoid Arthritis. *International Journal of Chemical and Biochemical Sciences*, 24(4), 395-400.