B. Srishylam / Afr.J.Bio.Sc. 6(5) (2024). 9229-9242

ISSN: 2663-2187

https://doi.org/10.48047/AFJBS.6.5.2024.9229-9242



**African Journal of Biological** 

**Sciences** 



# ASSESSMENT OF PHYSICAL – CHEMICAL PARAMETERS AND WATER QUALITY OF SHANIGARAM RESERVOIR OF SIDDIPET DISTRICT TELANGANA STATE

B. Srishylam<sup>\*1</sup>, A.V. Rajashekhar<sup>2</sup> and T. Jagadeeshwara Chari<sup>3</sup>

Aquaculture and Parasitology lab, Department of Zoology, Osmania University,

Telangana State.

shriyadavs18@gmail.com

### **ABSTRACT:**

The current work is designated to investigate the Physical – Chemical parameters and water quality of Shanigaram a fresh water reservoir in Siddipet District of Telangana Sate. To evaluate the quality of water of this reservoir, physical and chemical parameters were studied and assessed over a span of two years, from September 2019 to August 2021. Water samples were gathered from two distinct reservoir stations and examined using conventional laboratory methods and procedures. Various Physical- Chemical parameters such as Temperature, pH, Turbidity, Total Dissolved Solids, Tota Hardness, Alkalinity, Chlorides, Dissolved Oxygen, Biological Oxygen Demand, Chemical Oxygen Demand, Phosphates, Sulphates and Nitrate were studied. These results revealed that the Physical- Chemical parameters of this fresh water reservoir was within the tolerable limits and can be utilized for household, irrigation, and aquaculture purposes.

KEYWORDS: Shanigaram fresh water reservoir, Physical – Chemical parameters, Water Quality.

# **INTRODUCTION:**

Water is one of them most important components for human survival. Water resources are of critical importance to both natural ecosystem and human development. It is essential for agriculture, industry and aquaculture. The freshwater resources are actually very precious for the life on our planet. The abiotic and biotic factors of water influence the quality and quantity of aquatic life surviving there. The physical and chemical properties of freshwater bodies characterized are by the climatic, geochemical, geomorphological and pollution, it is very important to study the physical and chemical parameters influencing the biological productivity in the water bodies (Sahni and Yadav, 2012). Several studies have been conducted to understand the physical - chemical properties of ponds and reservoirs by Jain et al 1996, Srinivasan et al 1997, Srinivasa goud and Kotaiah 2000. Chaturbhuj Moundioditya et al., 2004. In which the study of characteristics of water bodies were taken into consideration with reference to Physical, Chemical and biological diversity related to health of almost

every component of the ecosystem. The interaction of both the Physical and Chemical properties of water play a significant role in the composition, distribution and abundance of aquatic organisms. Generally, the pollution factors of dust, storm, runoff and cause Eutrophication of reservoirs (Kudari et al 2006). The proper balance of Physical – Chemical and Biological properties of water in ponds, reservoirs and reservoirs are essential for successful production of fish and other important aquatic resources. The presence and absence of chemical elements in a water body will affect the life of fresh water resources.

# MATERIALS AND METHODS: STUDY AREA:

Shanigaram Reservoir (SUNIGRAH PROJECT) is positioned in Koheda Mandal, Siddipet District, Telangana State, with geographical coordinates at 18.1861° N, 79.0139° E. Its primary purpose is for irrigation and the cultivation of fish.

#### B. Srishylam / Afr.J.Bio.Sc. 6(5) (2024). 9229-9242



Water samples were systematically collected at monthly intervals over the course of two years, spanning from September 2019 to August 2020, and continuing through September 2020 to August 2021. Two distinct locations were designated for the retrieval of water samples as part of the investigation. The investigation involved the examination of physical parameters such as temperature, pH, and **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION** 

## **Temperature:**

Temperature is a prime important factor since it plays crucial role on the flora and fauna of fresh a water body. During present study Reservoir showed considerable variations with the different seasons. turbidity at designated sites, while chemical parameters were evaluated in the laboratory using established methods outlined by APHA (2017). The primary objective of analyzing the physical-chemical properties of the reservoir is to assess the presence and status of various chemical constituents within the reservoir.

The highest temperatures were documented at both stations in May (during the summer), while the lowest temperatures were observed in January (in the winter)

1	Table 1-A	Variation	in Temper	ature (°C)	at regula	r monthly	intervals f	from Septe	mber -201	9 to Augı	ıst -2020	
Month	Sep-	Oct-	Nov-	Dec-	Jan-	Feb-	Mar-	Apr-	May-	Jun-	Jul-	Aug-
WOIIII	19	19	19	19	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20
Station I	22.7	22.2	21.7	20.3	20	22.2	24.9	25.7	27.1	26.2	24.6	23.4
station II	23.1	22.5	22.1	20.7	20.3	23.2	25	26.1	28.2	27.6	25.6	24.2



7	Table 1-B	Variation	in Temper	ature (°C)	at regular	monthly	intervals f	rom Septe	mber -202	0 to Augi	ıst -2021	
Month	Sep-	Oct-	Nov-	Dec-	Jan-	Feb-	Mar-	Apr-	May-	Jun-	Jul-	Aug-
WOItti	20	20	20	20	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21
Station I	22.9	22	21.9	20.5	20.5	22.5	23.9	25.6	26.4	26.2	24.8	23.7
station II	23.1	22.5	22	20.4	20.1	23	24.6	26.2	27.2	27.6	25.2	24

B. Srishylam / Afr.J.Bio.Sc. 6(5) (2024). 9229-9242



**Turbidity (NTU):** Maximum Turbidity values were recorded in the summer months due to the decreased level of water and presence of suspended particulate

matter and minimum during winter and monsoon seasons

Table	2-A Vari	ation in	Turbidity	(NTU) a	at regula	r monthly	y intervals	s from Se	ptember -	2019 to .	August -	-2020
Month	Sep-19	Oct-9	Nov-19	Dec-19	Jan-20	Feb-20	Mar-20	Apr-20	May-20	Jun-20	Jul-20	Aug-20
Station I	3	3	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	2	3
station II	3	3	3	2	1	1	1	1	1	2	2	2



	Table 2	2-B Variat	ion in Tu	rbidity at	regular m	onthly int	ervals fror	n Septemb	per -2020 t	o August	-2021			
Month	Month   Sep-20   Oct-20   Nov-20   Dec-20   Jan-21   Feb-21   Mar-21   Apr-21   May-21   Jun-21   Jul-21   Aug-21													
Station I	1	1	2	2	2	3	3	2	2	1	1	1		
station II	1	1	2	2	2	3	3	2	2	1	1	1		



**pH:** The reservoir exhibited an alkaline pH, with values ranging from 8.0 to 8.9. The highest pH, 8.9, was noted in May (during the summer), while the

lowest, 8.0, occurred in September (during the monsoon).

	Tab	le 3 -A Va	ariation in	pH at regu	ılar montl	nly interva	als from Se	eptember -	2019 to A	ugust -202	20	
Month	Month   Sep-19   Oct-19   Nov-19   Dec-19   Jan-20   Feb-20   Mar-20   Apr-20   May-20   Jun-20   Jul-20   Aug-20											
Station I	8.1	8.3	8.3	8.4	8.5	8.5	8.6	8.6	8.7	8.8	8.4	8.2
station II	8	8.2	8.2	8	8.1	8.2	8.3	8.5	8.6	8.6	8.3	8.2



	Tab	ole 3 -B V	ariation in	pH at reg	ular mont	hly interva	als from S	eptember ·	-2020 to au	igust -202	21		
	Sep-20   Oct-20   Nov-20   Dec-20   Jan-21   Feb-21   Mar-21   Apr-21   Jun-21   Jul-21   Aug-21												
Station I	8.1	8.2	8.3	8.5	8.6	8.7	8.8	8.8	8.9	8.8	8.7	8.5	
station II	8.2	8.3	8.4	8.5	8.5	8.6	8.7	8.8	8.8	8.8	8.6	8.4	



**Dissolved Oxygen(mg/L):** D.O. reading indicates the oxygen level in the reservoir. D.O. values inversely proportionate to the pollution of the water body. Highest D.O. value was noticed during winter and lowest value in summer. Low D.O. levels in summer because of high temperature and high metabolic rate of fauna in reservoir

bouy. Ing	snest D.	J. value	was nou	uun uun	ing winto										
Table 4	Table 4-A Variation in Dissolved Oxygen(mg/L)) at regular monthly intervals from September -2019 to August -														
	2020														
Month	Sep-19   Oct-19   Nov-19   Dec-19   Jan-20   Feb-20   Mar-20   Apr-20   Jun-20   Jun-20   Jul-20   Aug-20														
Station I	7.9	8.2	8.6	8.9	9.2	8.8	7.8	7.4	7.1	7.3	7.4	7.6			
station II	tation II   7.7   8   8.3   8.6   8.9   8.6   7.5   7.2   6.9   7.2   7.3   7.4														



Table 4	-B Varia	tion in D	issolved (	Oxygen(n	ng/L) at 1	regular n	nonthly in	tervals fr	om Septe	mber -20	20 to Au	ıgust -			
	2021														
Month	Month   Sep-19   Oct-20   Nov-20   Dec-20   Jan-21   Feb-21   Mar-21   Apr-21   Jun-21   Jul-21   Aug-21														
Station I	8	8.4	8.6	9	9.2	8.6	7.8	7.3	7.2	7.3	7.5	7.7			
station II	7.9	8.2	8.5	8.9	9.1	8.7	7.7	7.5	7	7.3	7.5	7.7			



**Biochemical Oxygen Demand (BOD) (mg/L):** BOD is a prominent factor for assessing of water quality since it deals with the amount of Oxygen consumption by aerobic organisms for oxidizing organic compounds.

Table 5-	A Variati	ion in Bi	ochemica	l Oxygen	Demand	l (BOD)(	mg/L) at a	regular m	onthly in	tervals fr	om Sept	ember -			
	2019 to August -2020														
Month	Sep-19	Oct-19	Nov-19	Dec-19	Jan-20	Feb-20	Mar-20	Apr-20	May-20	Jun-20	Jul-20	Aug-20			
Station I	2.8	2.78	2.75	2.6	2.55	2.6	2.76	2.85	3.12	3.15	2.85	2.83			
station II	2.7	2.75	2.72	2.7	2.65	2.58	2.73	2.81	3	3.11	3	2.9			



	Table 5	5-B Varia	tion in Bio	chemical o Ser	oxygen D otember -2	emand (B 2020 to A	OD)(mg/L ugust -202	.) at regula	ar monthly	intervals	from			
Month	Month   Sep-20   Oct-20   Nov-20   Dec-20   Jan-21   Feb-21   Mar-21   Apr-21   Jun-21   Jul-21   Aug-21													
Station I	2.7	2.75	2.73	2.65	2.5	2.55	2.65	2.75	3.05	3.1	2.8	2.78		
station II	2.68	2.7	2.65	2.6	2.55	2.62	2.7	2.78	2.9	3.05	2.7	2.6		



**TOTAL HARDNESS (mg/L):** It is the sum Calcium and Magnesium ions concentrations. Maximum

Total Hardness was recorded In Summer and minimum in monsoon

Table 6-	A Variat	ion in To	otal Hardr	ness (mg/	L)at regu	ılar mont	hly interv	als from	Septembe	er -2019t	o Augus	t -2020	
Month	Month   Sep-20   Oct-19   Nov-19   Dec-19   Jan-20   Feb-20   Mar-20   Apr-20   May-20   Jun-20   Jul-20   Aug-20												
Station I	200	204	208	210	212	214	218	220	225	220	210	206	
station II	195	198	201	200	202	205	208	210	215	210	200	198	



Table 6-	B Variat	ion in To	tal Hardn	ess(mg/L	.) at regu	lar montl	hly interva	als from S	September	r -2020 t	o Augus	t -2021
Month   Sep-20   Oct-20   Nov-20   Dec-20   Jan-21   Feb-21   Mar-21   Apr-21   May-21   Jun-21   Jul-21   Aug-21												
Station I	202	206	210	212	215	218	220	223	226	227	215	210
station II	198	201	206	209	206	210	212	215	218	212	205	202



**TOTAL DISSOLVED SOLIDS (TDS) (mg/L)**: TDS is the assessment of all substances dissolved in the reservoir, encompassing a combination of both inorganic and organic elements. Maximum amount of TDS was evaluated in the September (Monsoon) and lesser amount in Summer.

Table 7-	A Variati	ion in To	tal Dissol	ved Solic	ls at regu	ılar mont	hly interv	als from	Septembe	er -2019 t	o Augus	st -2020
Month	Sep-19	Oct-19	Nov-19	Dec-19	Jan-20	Feb-20	Mar-20	Apr-20	May-20	Jun-20	Jul-20	Aug-20
Station I	328	315	308	305	300	298	295	290	290	300	310	320
station II	330	310	306	315	310	308	305	300	295	305	316	325



Table 7-B Variation in Total Dissolved Solids(mg/L) at regular monthly intervals from September -2020 to August -2021

Month
Sep-20
Oct-20
Jan-21
Feb-21
Mar-21
Jun-21
Jun-

Month	Sep-20	Oct-20	Nov-20	Dec-20	Jan-21	Feb-21	Mar-21	Apr-21	May-21	Jun-21	Jul-21	Aug-21
Station I	325	312	306	302	297	295	290	289	287	296	302	312
station II	325	308	304	312	308	305	300	297	292	300	312	318



**TOTAL ALKALINITY (mg/L):** Total alkalinity is the assess of alkaline substances present in water. In

the present study maximum total alkalinity was recorded in summer and minimum in monsoon.

Table 8-	A Variat	ion in To	tal Alkali	nity (mg/	1) at regu	ılar mont	thly interv	als from	Septembe	er -2019	to Augu	st -2020
Month	Sep-19	Oct-19	Nov-19	Dec-19	Jan-20	Feb-20	Mar-20	Apr-20	May-20	Jun-20	Jul-20	Aug-20
Station I	170	173	180	190	194	197	194	190	186	182	179	174
station II	160	163	165	170	176	170	167	165	162	158	155	152



Table 8-	B Variati	ion in To	tal Alkali	nity (mg/	L)at regi	ılar mont	thly interv	vals from	Septembe	er -2020	to Augu	st -2021
Month	Sep-20	Oct-20	Nov-20	Dec-20	Jan-21	Feb-21	Mar-21	Apr-21	May-21	Jun-21	Jul-21	Aug-21
Station I	173	176	182	189	196	198	199	196	190	188	182	176
station II	164	166	167	172	178	172	170	168	164	160	158	155



**Chlorides(mg/L)**: The range of chlorides indicates the pollution status of reservoir. The recorded

quantity of chlorides range from 88 mg/L to 110mg/L in various seasons

]	Table 9-A	Variation	in Chloric	les(mg/L)	at regular	r monthly	intervals f	rom Septe	mber -201	9 to Augu	ıst -2020	
Month	Month   Sep-19   Oct-19   Nov-19   Dec-19   Jan-20   Feb-20   Mar-20   Apr-20   May-20   Jun-20   Jul-20   Aug-20											
Station I	93	90	88	85	88	90	92	95	98	95	93	90
station II	102	100	98	95	102	105	106	108	109	105	103	101



]	Table 9-B	Variation	in Chloric	les (mg/L)	at regular	monthly	intervals f	rom Septe	mber -202	0 to Augu	ıst -2021	
Month	Sep-20	Oct-20	Nov-20	Dec-20	Jan-21	Feb-21	Mar-21	Apr-21	May-21	Jun-21	Jul-21	Aug-21
Station I	95	93	90	89	91	93	95	97	99	94	95	95
station II	98	100	99	94	100	16	107	109	110	107	105	104



Nitrates(mg/L): Nitrate concentrations are highly	/
variable during reservoir seasonal cycles. Maximu	ım

values of Nitrates were recorded during summer and minimum during monsoon and winter.

r	Table 10-A	A Variatio	n in Nitrat	es(mg/L)	at regular	monthly	intervals f	rom Septe	mber -201	9 to Augu	st -2020		
Month	Month   Sep-19   Oct-19   Nov-19   Dec-19   Jan-20   Feb-20   Mar-20   Apr-20   May-20   Jun-20   Jul-20   Aug-20												
Station I	2	2	2	2	2	2	2.5	3	3.5	4	3.5	2	
station II	2	2.5	2	3	2	2	3	2.5	3	3.5	4	3	



Table	e 10-B Va	ariation i	n Nitrates	(mg/L) a	t regular	monthly	intervals	from Sep	otember -2	2020 to A	August -2	2021
Month	Sep-20	Oct-20	Nov-20	Dec-20	Jan-21	Feb-21	Mar-21	Apr-21	May-21	Jun-21	Jul-21	Aug-21
Station I	2	2	2	2	2	2	2.5	3	3.5	4	3.5	2.5
station II	2	2.5	2	2.5	3	2.5	3	3.5	3	3.5	4	3



**Phosphates (mg/L):** The more value of phosphates increases the growth of algae in reservoir. Maximum

phosphate values were recorded during winter and minimum during monsoon

Τa	able 11-B	Variation	in Phosph	ates(mg/L	) at regula	ar monthl	y intervals	from Sep	tember -20	20 to Aug	gust -202	1
Month   Sep-19   Oct-20   Nov-20   Dec-20   Jan-21   Feb-21   Mar-21   Apr-21   May-21   Jun-21   Jul-21   Aug-21												Aug-21
Station I	0.66	0.7	0.76	0.8	0.82	0.75	0.71	0.69	0.66	0.63	0.6	0.58
station II	0.65	0.68	0.74	0.76	0.78	0.76	0.7	0.68	0.66	0.64	0.61	0.6



Т	able 11-B	Variation	in Phosph	ates(mg/L	.)at regula	ar monthly	v intervals	from Sept	ember -20	20 to Aug	ust -2021	l
Month	Sep-20	Oct-20	Nov-20	Dec-20	Jan-21	Feb-21	Mar-21	Apr-21	May-21	Jun-21	Jul-21	Aug-21
Station I	0.66	0.7	0.76	0.8	0.82	0.75	0.71	0.69	0.66	0.63	0.6	0.58
station II	0.65	0.68	0.74	0.76	0.78	0.76	0.7	0.68	0.66	0.64	0.61	0.6



**Calcium(mg/L):** Calcium is one of the important cations of reservoir which is in the form of  $Ca^{2+}$ .the

concentration of Calcium ions found in a range of 40mg/L to 55mg/L in various seasons

7	Table 12-A	Variatio	n in Calciı	ım(mg/L)	at regular	monthly	intervals f	rom Septe	mber -201	9 to Augu	ıst -2020	
Month	Month   Sep-19   Oct-19   Nov-19   Dec-19   Jan-20   Feb-20   Mar-20   Apr-20   May-20   Jun-20   Jul-20   Aug-20											
Station I	44	46	48	50	53	51	48	47	46	44	42	40
station II	43	45	46	50	55	52	50	48	45	43	41	40



Table 12-B Variation in Calcium(mg/L) at regular monthly intervals from September -2020 to August -2021												
Month	Sep-20	Oct-20	Nov-20	Dec-20	Jan-21	Feb-21	Mar-21	Apr-21	May-21	Jun-21	Jul-21	Aug-21
Station I	46	48	50	52	55	52	50	48	46	43	41	40
station II	44	47	48	53	57	53	51	50	47	45	43	41



## **CONCLUSION:**

Throughout the study duration, the physicalchemical parameters within the reservoir exhibited noticeable fluctuations and seasonal variations. Examination of these parameters indicated that the **REFFERENCES** 

- D. Srinivas and K. Shailaja. 2022. Physico Chemical Parameters and Algal Diversity of Pedda Cheruvu Lake in Rajgopalpet, Siddipet District, Telangana, India. Res. Jr. of Agril. Sci. (Sep-Oct 2022) 13(5): 1621–1627.
- Yogitha, s babu. 2018. A Study on Physical Chemical Parameters of Errerajan Reservoir of Bangalore Rural. International journal of Scientific Research 7(2): 401-402.
- APHA. 2017. Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Waste Water APHA, Washington D.C. 23<sup>rd</sup> edition.
- Patil. P.N, Sawant. D.V, Deshmukh. R.N. 2012. Physicalchemical parameters for testing of water – A review; International Journal of Environmental Sciences, Volume 3; 2012.
- Ajit M. Kalwale, Padmakar A. Savale. 2012. Determination of Physical-Chemical Parameters of DeoliBhorus Dam water; Advances in Applied Science Research
- 6) Basavaraja, Simpi, S. M., Hiremath, K. N. S. Murthy, K. N. Chandra shekarappa, Anil N. Patel, E.T.Puttiah. 2011. Analysis of Water Quality Using Physical-Chemical Parameters Hosahalli Tank in Shimoga District, Karnataka, India, Global Journal of Science Frontier, Research, 1(3), pp 31-34.

physical-chemical characteristics of the freshwater reservoir consistently fell within acceptable limits, making the water suitable for both irrigation and aquaculture purposes.

- 7) Adefemi S. O. and E. E. Awokunmi. 2010, Determination of physical-chemical parameters and heavy metals in water samples from Itaogbolu area of Ondo-State, Nigeria, African Journal of Environmental Science and Technology, 4(3), pp 145-148.
- Brekke, L.D., Kiang, J.E., Olsen, J.R., Pulwarty, R.S., Raff, D.A., Turnipseed, D.P., Webb, R.S., and White, K.D. 2009. Climate change and water resources management— A federal perspective: U.S. Geological Survey Circular 1331.
- Garg, R.K. Saksena, D.N. Rao, R.J. 2009. Water quality and conservation management of Ram sager reservoir, Datia, M.P. Journal of Environmental Biology; 30(5) 909-916.
- 10) Drever JI. **2005**. Surface and Ground Water, Weathering, and Soils: Treatise on Geochemistry (Second Edition, Volume 5). Elsevier Ltd. Oxford, UK.