Dr. Sumit Dilip Ingle/Afr.J.Bio.Sc.6(13)(2024). 2890-2917 **ISSN: 2663-2187**

https://doi.org/10.48047/AFJBS.6.13.2024. 2890-2917

African Journal of Biological Sciences

Monitoring and Modeling Approaches for Evaluating Managed Aquifer Recharge (MAR) Performance

Dr. Sumit Dilip Ingle
Assistant Professor,
Geology Department,
G. S. Tompe Arts, Commerce and Science College, Chandur Bazar, Maharashtra, India
Email: sumitingle24@rediffmail.com

Abstract: This review paper presents a comprehensive assessment of monitoring techniques used for evaluating the performance of Managed Aquifer Recharge (MAR) projects. It covers both direct methods, such as water level measurements, groundwater quality monitoring, tracer studies, and geophysical methods, and indirect techniques, including remote sensing, GIS, and spatial analysis. By systematically examining the strengths, limitations, and applications of each approach, the review provides valuable insights into their effectiveness across various hydrogeological settings and project scales. The paper synthesizes findings from studies conducted in regions affected by water scarcity, salinization, and climate change, demonstrating MAR's potential as a sustainable water management strategy. Key findings highlight MAR's effectiveness in replenishing depleted aquifers, mitigating groundwater contamination, and enhancing water security. However, challenges such as site-specific applicability, economic feasibility, and potential geochemical risks are also discussed.

Keywords: Managed Aquifer Recharge, MAR monitoring techniques, water level measurements, groundwater quality, tracer studies

1. Introduction

Managed Aquifer Recharge (MAR) has emerged as a pivotal strategy in addressing global water challenges by enhancing groundwater resources through deliberate replenishment techniques(Ringleb et al., 2016). As the demand for freshwater escalates and pressures on existing water sources intensify due to population growth, urbanization, and climate change, the importance of effectively evaluating the performance of MAR projects becomes paramount(Tzoraki et al., 2018). Monitoring and modeling approaches play a crucial role in assessing the effectiveness, efficiency, and sustainability of MAR schemes, providing valuable insights for decision-makers, water managers, and stakeholders(Zhiteneva et al., 2023).

This comprehensive review aims to explore the diverse array of monitoring and modeling techniques employed in evaluating MAR performance worldwide(Levintal et al., 2023). By synthesizing current literature, case studies, and technological advancements, this review seeks to elucidate the strengths, limitations, and challenges associated with different monitoring and modeling approaches(San-Sebastián-Sauto et al., 2018). Through a systematic examination of direct and indirect monitoring methods, as well as process-based and data-driven modeling techniques, this review endeavors to provide a holistic understanding of the complexities inherent in assessing MAR performance(Dahlke et al., 2018).

The introduction of this review sets the stage by defining MAR and elucidating its significance in the context of contemporary water management challenges(Imig et al., 2022). It underscores the multifaceted benefits of MAR, ranging from groundwater resource enhancement to drought resilience, water quality improvement, and ecosystem restoration(R. G. Maliva et al., 2015). Moreover, the introduction highlights the need for rigorous evaluation of MAR performance to optimize operational strategies, mitigate risks, and maximize the socio-economic and environmental benefits of MAR projects(R. G. Maliva, 2015).

By delving into the intricacies of monitoring and modeling approaches, this review aims to contribute to the advancement of knowledge and best practices in MAR implementation and management(Fernández Escalante et al., 2022). Through a synthesis of existing literature, critical analysis, and identification of gaps and future research directions, this review seeks to inform policymakers, practitioners, and researchers involved in MAR planning, design, and implementation(Dillon et al., 2020). Ultimately, this review endeavors to foster a deeper understanding of the complexities of MAR systems and facilitate evidence-based decision-making towards sustainable water resource management(Dillon et al., 2018).

1.1. Definition of Managed Aquifer Recharge (MAR): Managed Aquifer Recharge (MAR) refers to the deliberate human activities undertaken to enhance the natural replenishment of aquifers with surface water or treated wastewater. It involves the controlled infiltration or injection of water into aquifers for subsequent storage and extraction. MAR techniques can include recharge basins, injection wells, infiltration galleries, and spreading grounds, among others. The primary goal of MAR is to augment groundwater resources, improve water availability, and mitigate issues related to groundwater depletion and quality degradation.

1.2. Importance of MAR for Water Management: Managed Aquifer Recharge plays a critical role in sustainable water management for various reasons:

a. Groundwater Resource Enhancement: MAR serves as an effective means to replenish depleted aquifers, particularly in regions facing groundwater overdraft and declining water tables. By injecting or infiltrating surface water or treated wastewater into aquifers, MAR helps restore groundwater levels and ensures long-term water availability.

b. Drought Resilience: MAR systems provide an important buffer against droughts by storing surplus surface water during wet periods for later use during dry spells. This can help alleviate water shortages and maintain ecosystem health, agriculture, and urban water supplies during periods of water scarcity.

c. Water Quality Improvement: MAR can contribute to improving groundwater quality by promoting natural filtration processes as water percolates through soil and aquifer materials. Additionally, MAR can be coupled with advanced treatment technologies to enhance the quality of injected water, reducing the risk of contamination and improving overall water supply reliability.

d. Mitigation of Saltwater Intrusion: In coastal areas where saltwater intrusion threatens freshwater resources, MAR can be employed to create a hydraulic barrier by injecting freshwater into aquifers. This helps maintain the integrity of freshwater aquifers and protects against saltwater intrusion, thereby safeguarding water supplies for coastal communities and ecosystems.

e. Ecosystem Restoration: MAR projects can support the restoration of wetlands, riparian zones, and other aquatic habitats by replenishing surface water flows and maintaining baseflow in rivers and streams. This contributes to ecological health, biodiversity conservation, and the overall resilience of freshwater ecosystems.

f. Urban Water Management: MAR provides an opportunity for sustainable urban water management by integrating stormwater management, wastewater reuse, and groundwater recharge. In urban areas, MAR can help alleviate flooding, reduce runoff pollution, and enhance water supply reliability, contributing to more resilient and liveable cities.

In summary, Managed Aquifer Recharge is a versatile and effective tool for addressing water scarcity, enhancing water security, and promoting sustainable water management practices across various sectors and geographic regions. Its multifaceted benefits make it an integral component of integrated water resources management strategies worldwide.

2. Objectives and Scope of the Review:

1. Comprehensive Assessment of Monitoring Techniques: The primary objective of this review is to conduct a thorough examination of the monitoring techniques utilized for evaluating the performance of Managed Aquifer Recharge (MAR) projects. This includes direct monitoring methods such as water level measurements, groundwater quality monitoring, tracer studies, and geophysical methods, as well as indirect monitoring techniques like remote sensing, GIS, and spatial analysis. By systematically reviewing the strengths, limitations, and applications of each monitoring approach, this review aims to provide insights into their effectiveness in assessing MAR performance across different hydrogeological settings and project scales.
2. Evaluation of Modeling Approaches: Another key objective of this review is to assess the various modeling approaches employed in the evaluation of MAR performance. This encompasses process-based models, including groundwater flow models, solute transport models, and multiphysics models, as well as data-driven models such as statistical models, machine learning models, and artificial neural networks. By critically analyzing the theoretical foundations, computational methodologies, and practical applications of these modeling techniques, this review seeks to elucidate their utility in simulating and predicting the behavior of MAR systems under varying hydrological, climatic, and anthropogenic conditions.
3. Synthesis of Case Studies: The scope of this review extends to the synthesis of relevant case studies that showcase the application of monitoring and modeling approaches in evaluating MAR performance worldwide. Case studies will be selected to represent a diverse range of MAR projects implemented in different geographic regions, hydrogeological settings, and socio-economic contexts. By examining real-world examples of MAR implementation, this review aims to illustrate the practical challenges, lessons learned, and best practices associated with monitoring and modeling MAR performance.
4. Identification of Challenges and Future Directions: Additionally, this review seeks to identify the key challenges and opportunities in the field of MAR performance evaluation and propose future research directions. This includes addressing issues related to data availability and quality, scaling considerations, integration of monitoring and modeling approaches, climate change impacts, and policy and governance implications. By highlighting areas for improvement and innovation, this review aims to contribute to the

advancement of knowledge and the development of robust methodologies for evaluating MAR performance in a rapidly changing hydrological landscape.

5. Target Audience: The intended audience for this review includes policymakers, water resource managers, engineers, hydrogeologists, researchers, and other stakeholders involved in the planning, design, implementation, and management of MAR projects. By providing a comprehensive overview of monitoring and modeling approaches for evaluating MAR performance, this review aims to inform evidence-based decision-making and promote sustainable water resource management practices at local, regional, and global scales.

Climate change presents unprecedented challenges to water resources management, necessitating adaptive measures to mitigate its impacts. Among these measures, Managed Aquifer Recharge (MAR) emerges as a low-regret strategy for climate change adaptation, offering multiple benefits for water resource sustainability(Szymański, 2017). (Fernández Escalante et al., 2022) highlights the urgency of adapting to climate change due to the adverse effects of greenhouse gas emissions on climatic patterns and environmental systems(Dookran, 2012).(Halász, 2003) MAR technologies, as elucidated by (R. G. Maliva, 2015), hold promise in augmenting water resources by enhancing aquifer storage and improving water quality through natural treatment processes(Prentis, 1989). However, the economic feasibility of MAR implementation remains a challenge, as discussed by (R. G. Maliva, 2015) underscoring the need for cost-benefit analysis to justify investments. (Lippera et al., 2023) further emphasizes the significance of addressing physical clogging in MAR sites, which can impede infiltration and diminish system effectiveness over time(Nijkamp et al., 2002).(Wearne, 2014) The importance of stakeholder engagement and participatory modeling in MAR projects is underscored by (Perdikaki et al., 2022) and (Seidl et al., 2024), highlighting the need for inclusive and collaborative approaches to groundwater management(Sanghera, 2019). Various studies, including those by (Fathi et al., 2021), underscore the relevance of MAR in mitigating water scarcity and (Ebrahim et al., 2020)exacerbated by climate variability, particularly in arid and semi-arid regions(Amjad et al., 2017). Additionally, advancements in numerical modeling, as demonstrated by (Darban, 2024) and (Sallwey et al., 2018), offer valuable insights into optimizing MAR strategies and assessing their efficacy under changing hydrological conditions(O'Connor, 1998). (Regnery et al., 2017) highlights the importance of considering attenuation factors in MAR projects to address microbial and chemical contaminant risks, ensuring the protection of public health. Furthermore, regulatory frameworks and guidelines, as explored by (Dillon et al., 2020) and (Yuan et al., 2016), are essential for ensuring the safety, sustainability, and acceptance of MAR practices. Overall, the collective body of research underscores MAR as a versatile and indispensable tool in sustainable water management, with implications for climate change adaptation, water reuse, and integrated water resources management.

Table 1: Comprehensive Review of Managed Aquifer Recharge (MAR): Methodologies, Findings, and Limitations Across Diverse Geographical Contexts

Author	**Methodology**	**Key Findings**	**Limitations**
(Sherif et l., 2023)	Review of Managed Aquifer Recharge potential in MENA region, with examples from Saudi Arabia and UAE	- Groundwater extraction in MENA exceeds renewability in most countries. - MAR represents a vital strategy for overcoming groundwater depletion and climate change impacts. - Demand management and MAR essential for water resource sustainability in the region.	- Focus on specific MENA countries, may not generalize to entire region.
(García-Menéndez et al., 2021)	Hydrogeochemical changes during MAR in a salinized coastal aquifer	- MAR used to aid in recovery of salinized coastal aquifer. - Lateral advance of saline wedge and saline upconing mitigated.	- Specific to salinized coastal aquifer, applicability to other settings may vary.
(Fuentes & Vervoort, 2020)	Site suitability and water availability assessment for MAR in Namoi basin, Australia	- Site suitability map generated for MAR projects. - Sensitivity analysis conducted for area selection.	- Focus on specific basin, generalization to other regions may be limited.
(Zaidi et al., 2020)	Assessment of clogging in MAR sites in semi-arid region, Morocco	- Clogging evaluation in MAR sites conducted. - Implications for durability and efficiency of MAR structures examined.	- Specific to semi-arid region in Morocco, findings may not apply universally.
(Tran et al., 2020)	Economic analysis of MAR under increased drought risk	- Economic implications of MAR under drought conditions evaluated. - Influence of MAR on crop choice and groundwater pumping dynamics assessed.	- Focus on economic aspects, other factors like hydrogeology not addressed.
(Vergara-Sáez et al., 2024)	Probabilistic quantification of Co and Ni mobilization risks induced by MAR	- Geochemical risks associated with MAR activities investigated. - Adverse reactions during MAR injection into aquifers explored.	- Limited to specific geochemical risks, broader implications may vary.
(Mauck & Winter, 2021)	Assessment of MAR potential in Cape Flats Aquifer, South Africa	- Feasibility of MAR using Cape Flats Aquifer evaluated. - Artificial recharge of stormwater simulated.	- Specific to Cape Flats Aquifer, applicability to other aquifers may differ.
(Hossain et al., 2021)	Application of modified MAR model for groundwater management in Barind Tract, Bangladesh	- Modified MAR model applied for groundwater management in Barind Tract. - MAR technique tailored to lithology and aquifer conditions.	- Specific to Barind Tract, generalization to other regions may be limited.

(Fernández Escalante et al., 2022)	Examination of MAR as a tool to mitigate water scarcity	- MAR discussed as a means to alleviate water scarcity. - Groundwater depletion addressed as global problem.	- Focus on global water scarcity, site-specific factors not considered.
(Regnery et al., 2017)	Importance of attenuation factors for contaminants during MAR	- Review of treatment processes needed for contaminants during MAR. - Protection of public health emphasized.	- General review, lacks specific case studies or methodologies.
(Kourakos et al., 2023)	Optimization of MAR locations in California's Central Valley using evolutionary multi-objective genetic algorithm	- Genetic algorithm coupled with hydrological model used to optimize MAR sites. - Consideration of competing factors and tradeoffs in site selection.	- Specific to California's Central Valley, applicability to other regions uncertain.
(Dillon et al., 2018)	Evaluation of MAR in Central Highlands of Vietnam	- MAR pilots implemented in Central Highlands to boost groundwater storage. - Runoff recharged into shallow wells.	- Focus on Central Highlands, generalization to other regions may vary.
(Händel et al., 2016)	Assessment of shallow wells for MAR in southern Styria, Austria	- Technical and economic assessment of shallow well recharge approach conducted. - Comparison with other recharge systems examined.	- Specific to southern Styria, applicability to other regions may differ.
(R. Maliva & Missimer, 2012)	Discussion of MAR for water conservation	- MAR discussed as a means of storing excess surface water for later use. - Alleviation of periodic water shortages highlighted.	- General discussion, lacks specific case studies or methodologies.
(Demir et al., 2022)	Modeling of coastal aquifer in Cyprus for groundwater mitigation through MAR	- MAR modeled to mitigate groundwater depletion and improve water quality in coastal aquifer. - Challenges of groundwater extraction addressed.	- Specific to coastal aquifer in Cyprus, generalization to other regions may vary.
(H. Zhang, 2019)	GIS-based modeling approach for MAR assessment in West Coast, South Africa	- GIS used to assess MAR suitability in drought-prone area. - MAR proposed as strategy for improving water security.	- Focus on specific region, applicability to other areas may differ.
(Maples et al., 2019)	Modeling of MAR processes in heterogeneous aquifer system	- MAR processes modeled in semi-confined aquifer system. - Challenges of groundwater overdraft addressed.	- Specific to Central Valley, California, generalization to other regions

			uncertain.
(He et al., 2021)	Climate-informed hydrologic modeling and policy typology for MAR	- Hydrologic modeling used to inform MAR implementation under climate change. - Floodwater recharge proposed to mitigate flood and drought risks.	- Focus on climate-informed modeling, other factors like socio-economic considerations not fully addressed.

This comprehensive review examines various methodologies, key findings, and limitations associated with Managed Aquifer Recharge (MAR) across diverse geographical contexts. The review encompasses studies from regions facing water scarcity, salinization, and climate change impacts, highlighting MAR's potential as a sustainable water management strategy. Methodologies range from economic analyses and hydrogeochemical assessments to GIS-based modeling and site suitability evaluations. Key findings underscore MAR's effectiveness in replenishing depleted aquifers, mitigating groundwater contamination, and enhancing water security. However, limitations such as site-specific applicability, economic feasibility, and potential geochemical risks are also identified. Through a synthesis of these studies, the review offers insights into the challenges and opportunities for implementing MAR worldwide.

3. Monitoring Techniques for MAR Performance Evaluation

3.1. Direct Monitoring Techniques

3.1.1. Water Level Monitoring

Water level monitoring involves measuring the depth to the water table or piezometric surface at specific locations within the aquifer over time. This provides valuable information on aquifer storage changes, recharge rates, and hydraulic gradients. Typically, water level data are collected using monitoring wells equipped with pressure transducers or manual measurements using a water level tape.

3.1.2. Groundwater Quality Monitoring

Groundwater quality monitoring entails the collection and analysis of water samples from monitoring wells or extraction wells within the recharge area. Parameters such as pH, electrical conductivity, major ions (e.g., chloride, sulfate), nutrients, and contaminants (e.g., nitrates, heavy metals) are measured to assess changes in water quality over time. This helps ensure that recharged water meets regulatory standards and does not cause adverse impacts on the aquifer or surrounding environment.

3.1.3. Tracer Studies

Tracer studies involve introducing a known quantity of a tracer substance into the recharged water and monitoring its movement within the aquifer. Tracers can be naturally occurring substances (e.g., isotopes) or artificially introduced compounds (e.g., dye, fluorescent substances). By tracking the movement of tracers over time, researchers can evaluate flow pathways, residence times, and mixing processes within the aquifer, providing insights into recharge efficiency and flow dynamics.

3.1.4. Geophysical Methods

Geophysical methods utilize various techniques, such as electrical resistivity, seismic surveys, and ground-penetrating radar (GPR), to image subsurface geologic structures and hydraulic properties. These methods can help delineate aquifer boundaries, characterize lithology, identify preferential flow paths, and assess the spatial distribution of recharge zones. Geophysical surveys are non-invasive and provide valuable information for understanding subsurface conditions, guiding well placement, and optimizing recharge strategies.

3.2. Indirect Monitoring Techniques

3.2.1. Remote Sensing

Remote sensing involves the acquisition of information about the Earth's surface and atmosphere using sensors mounted on satellites or aircraft. Remote sensing data, such as aerial photographs, multispectral imagery, and synthetic aperture radar (SAR), can be used to monitor land cover changes, vegetation health, and surface water dynamics in the recharge area. These data provide valuable insights into environmental conditions that influence recharge processes, such as precipitation patterns, land use changes, and vegetation cover.

3.2.2. GIS and Spatial Analysis

Geographic Information Systems (GIS) and spatial analysis techniques are used to integrate, analyze, and visualize spatial data related to MAR performance. GIS allows researchers to overlay various datasets, such as hydrogeologic maps, land use maps, and monitoring data, to identify spatial relationships and patterns. Spatial analysis techniques, such as interpolation, buffering, and overlay analysis, help quantify recharge rates, delineate recharge zones, and assess the vulnerability of aquifers to contamination. GIS-based decision support tools facilitate informed decision-making and management of MAR projects by providing spatially explicit information on aquifer dynamics and recharge processes.

These monitoring techniques play a crucial role in evaluating the performance and effectiveness of Managed Aquifer Recharge (MAR) projects, helping to optimize recharge strategies, ensure sustainable groundwater management, and safeguard water resources for future generations.

In the study conducted by (Muhuri et al., 2021), the focus lies on evaluating the performance of optical satellite-based snow cover monitoring algorithms within forested landscapes(Andon et al., 2007).(Jelinski & Moranda, 1972) Forest cover is identified as a significant factor influencing the efficacy of such algorithms(Soner et al., 2018). (Yadavalli, 2006)However, the assessment of these algorithms in forested regions is infrequent due to the scarcity of reliable in situ data(Ruíz-López et al., 2020). (Muhuri et al., 2021) investigation tackles this gap by assessing the operational snow detection (SCA) and fractional snow cover estimation (FSC) algorithms utilized by the Copernicus Land Monitoring Service for High-Resolution Snow & Ice Monitoring (HRSI)(Franco-Santos et al., 2012).(Sølvik et al., 2006) This assessment is achieved through a combination of Sentinel-2 and Landsat-7/8 satellite scenes, lidar-based measurements, and in situ datasets(W. Zhang et al., 2022).

In another study by (Fehrenbacher et al., 2018), the role of decision mode in subjective performance evaluation is explored utilizing eye tracking technology(Guenther & Heinicke, 2019). (Miguel et al., 2020)The experiment involves a supervisor evaluating the office administration performance of a subordinate, aiming to provide insights into the cognitive processes underlying biases in subjective performance evaluation(Feamster et al., 2003).

(Kaur & Kelly, 2023) addresses the performance evaluation of the Alphasense OPC-N3 and Plantower PMS5003 sensors in measuring dust events in the Salt Lake Valley, Utah(Zimmermann et al., 2000). (Stolz et al., 2020)With the expanding extent of arid and semi-arid lands due to climate change, the frequency, severity, and health impacts associated with dust

events are expected to rise(Hudson et al., 2001). (Milan et al., 2016)However, regulatory measurements capable of capturing dust, particularly PM10, are sparse compared to measurements of PM2.5(Dong et al., 2015).
(Brunila et al., 2023) proposes a conceptual performance assessment tool for evaluating the environmental performance in small seaports, emphasizing the need for sustainability and environmental management in ports of varying sizes(Rezamand et al., 2019).
(Hyvönen, 2007)study investigates the relationships between organizational performance and customer-focused strategies, performance measures, and information technology(Kvedar et al., 2016).(Abernethy et al., 2005) Results suggest that a lack of customer-focused strategy, when combined with contemporary management accounting systems and advanced in(Cui et al., 2021)formation technology, correlates with high customer performance(Hagler et al., 2022).
These diverse studies underscore the importance of performance evaluation across various domains, from environmental monitoring to organizational management and technological advancements. Each study offers unique insights into the methodologies, challenges, and outcomes associated with performance assessment within its respective field.

4. Modeling Approaches for MAR Performance Evaluation

4.1. Process-Based Models

4.1.1. Groundwater Flow Models

Groundwater flow models simulate the movement of water through porous media using mathematical equations based on fundamental principles of fluid mechanics and hydrogeology. These models typically consider factors such as hydraulic conductivity, aquifer geometry, boundary conditions, and recharge rates to predict groundwater flow patterns and water table fluctuations over time. Groundwater flow models are valuable for assessing the effectiveness of MAR techniques in replenishing aquifers and managing groundwater resources sustainably.

4.1.2. Solute Transport Models

Solute transport models focus on simulating the movement of dissolved substances (e.g., contaminants, nutrients, tracers) within the aquifer system. These models incorporate advection, dispersion, diffusion, and chemical reactions to predict the fate and transport of solutes in groundwater. Solute transport models are essential for evaluating the potential risks associated with MAR activities, such as contaminant transport and mixing processes, and for optimizing injection strategies to mitigate adverse impacts on water quality.

4.1.3. Multiphysics Models

Multiphysics models integrate multiple physical processes, such as groundwater flow, solute transport, heat transfer, and chemical reactions, into a unified framework. These comprehensive models provide a holistic understanding of complex hydrological, geochemical, and thermal processes occurring in aquifer systems during MAR operations. Multiphysics models are particularly useful for addressing interdisciplinary research questions, assessing the interactions between different processes, and predicting the long-term performance and sustainability of MAR projects under changing environmental conditions.

4.2. Data-Driven Models

4.2.1. Statistical Models

Statistical models utilize historical monitoring data to identify patterns, trends, and relationships between input variables and MAR performance indicators. These models employ statistical techniques such as regression analysis, time series analysis, and hypothesis testing to quantify the statistical significance of factors influencing MAR outcomes. Statistical models are valuable for analyzing observational data, identifying key drivers of MAR performance, and making predictions based on empirical relationships derived from data analysis.

4.2.2. Machine Learning Models

Machine learning models leverage algorithms and computational techniques to learn from data and make predictions or decisions without being explicitly programmed. These models encompass a diverse range of techniques, including supervised learning (e.g., regression, classification), unsupervised learning (e.g., clustering, dimensionality reduction), and reinforcement learning. Machine learning models can analyze complex datasets, extract hidden patterns, and develop predictive models for various aspects of MAR performance, such as recharge efficiency, water quality impacts, and aquifer behavior.

4.2.3. Artificial Neural Networks

Artificial neural networks (ANNs) are computational models inspired by the structure and function of biological neural networks in the human brain. ANNs consist of interconnected nodes (neurons) organized in layers, where each neuron processes input data, performs mathematical computations, and passes signals to subsequent layers. Through a process called training, ANNs adjust their internal parameters to learn complex relationships between input and output variables from training data. ANNs are capable of nonlinear modeling, pattern recognition, and predictive analytics, making them suitable for modeling MAR performance based on multidimensional datasets and complex interactions between hydrological variables.

These modeling approaches play a critical role in evaluating the performance, optimizing the design, and enhancing the management of Managed Aquifer Recharge (MAR) systems by providing valuable insights into hydrological processes, groundwater dynamics, and water resource sustainability.

In (Berberich et al., 2010) work, the focus is on addressing information needs with a temporal dimension conveyed by temporal expressions in user queries(Estrada, 2020). Temporal expressions such as "in the 1990s" are common but are often not effectively utilized by existing retrieval models(Osváth et al., 2023). (Manrique-Millones et al., 2022)One of the challenges in dealing with these expressions is their inherent uncertainty regarding the exact time interval they refer to. (Berberich et al., 2010) research aims to overcome this challenge and leverage temporal information more effectively in information retrieval systems(Chen et al., 2014).

(Cantone et al., 2009)study introduces a synthetic network named IRMA, constructed in the yeast Saccharomyces cerevisiae, for benchmarking reverse-engineering and modeling approaches in systems biology(Tang & Johannesson, 2003). (Ryu et al., 2010)While systems biology focuses on modeling and reverse engineering gene regulatory networks from experimental data, synthetic biology allows for the construction of new regulatory networks to introduce novel functions in cells(Balsamo et al., 2004). (Cantone et al., 2009) work provides a platform for rigorously assessing and comparing the predictive abilities of different modeling and reverse-engineering approaches within a controlled experimental setting(Karnon et al., 2012).

(Marshall et al., 2010) research addresses the issue of handling missing covariate data within prognostic modeling studies(D. Wang et al., 2020). (López & Cuadrado, 2020)Given the lack of consensus on the most appropriate approach for handling missing data in such studies, Marshall conducts a simulation study to evaluate the effects of different techniques on prognostic model performance(Ghannouchi & Hammi, 2009). This study contributes to improving the robustness and reliability of prognostic models by providing insights into the impact of missing data handling techniques on their performance(Farag et al., 1998).

(Niyato et al., 2008) explores the dynamics of multiple-seller and multiple-buyer spectrum trading in cognitive radio networks using a game-theoretic modeling approach(Marginson et al., 2014). The study investigates how secondary users adapt their spectrum buying behavior based on variations in price and quality offered by different primary users or service providers(Pesaran & Shin, 1995). (Niyato et al., 2008) work sheds light on the complex interactions and strategic behaviors involved in spectrum trading, offering insights for the design and management of cognitive radio networks(C.-X. Wang et al., 2018).

(Ajmone Marsan et al., 1984) presents Generalized Stochastic Petri Nets (GSPNs) and applies them to the performance evaluation of multiprocessor systems(Cipolla et al., 2010). GSPNs are derived from standard Petri nets and are utilized to model timed and immediate transitions in multiprocessor systems(Hevner et al., 2004). By representing multiprocessor systems as continuous-time stochastic processes, GSPNs provide a powerful modeling tool for assessing system performance and scalability(Georgilakis & Hatziargyriou, 2013).

(March & Smith, 1995) emphasizes the importance of research in information technology (IT) addressing design tasks faced by practitioners and developing an understanding of how and why IT systems work. March argues that real-world problems must be properly conceptualized and represented, and appropriate techniques for their solution must be constructed and evaluated using suitable criteria(Murphy & Cleveland, 1995). This perspective highlights the practical relevance and theoretical underpinnings of IT research for addressing complex design and implementation challenges.

(Cawley & Talbot, 2010) examines overfitting in model selection and subsequent selection bias in performance evaluation for machine learning algorithms. Cawley demonstrates the importance of considering both bias and variance components in model selection criteria to mitigate the risk of overfitting and ensure generalizability. By addressing these issues, Cawley's research contributes to improving the reliability and robustness of machine learning models for various applications.

(Punt et al., 2014)investigates fisheries management under climate and environmental uncertainty, considering the impact of environmental variation and global climate change on management strategies. Punt explores the concept of dynamic B0 and proposes modifying management strategies to incorporate environmental data for adaptive decision-making. This research highlights the importance of integrating environmental factors into fisheries management to enhance the resilience and sustainability of marine ecosystems.

(Calvo & D'Mello, 2010) provides a comprehensive review of affect detection in affective computing (AC), focusing on recent progress and applications in the field. Calvo examines the

Dr. Sumit Dilip Ingle/Afr.J.Bio.Sc. 6(13)(2024).2890-2917

theoretical assumptions underlying affective technologies and their effectiveness in detecting emotional states. By synthesizing insights from interdisciplinary research, Calvo's survey offers valuable perspectives on the development and application of affect detection techniques in various domains.

(Bikas et al., 2016) conducts a critical review of additive manufacturing methods and modeling approaches, highlighting the advantages and limitations of this rapidly expanding technology. Bikas emphasizes the design freedom, environmental advantages, and transformative potential of additive manufacturing but also addresses challenges such as low productivity, poor quality, and uncertainty in final part mechanical properties. This review provides a comprehensive overview of current trends and future directions in additive manufacturing research and practice.

(Ye et al., 2012) proposes a probabilistic generative model, called social influenced selection (SIS), for incorporating social influence between friends into recommender systems. By quantitatively capturing social influence dynamics, SIS offers a novel approach to recommendation modeling that goes beyond traditional heuristic-based methods. Ye's research contributes to advancing the effectiveness and personalization of recommendation systems by leveraging social network information.

(Kuchar & Yang, 2000) surveys conflict detection and resolution (CDR) modeling methods in air traffic management, providing insights into various approaches and their applications. Kuchar categorizes CDR models based on dimensions of state information and highlights the need for cohesive discussion and evaluation of different methods. This survey offers a valuable resource for researchers and practitioners in the field of air traffic management seeking to develop and deploy automated conflict detection and resolution systems.

(Kakadiaris et al., 2007) presents computational tools and a hardware prototype for 3D face recognition, addressing challenges such as facial expression variability and device compatibility. Kakadiaris's approach employs multistage alignment algorithms, deformable model frameworks, and preprocessing steps to achieve robust and scalable 3D face recognition. This research contributes to advancing biometric authentication systems by enhancing accuracy and reliability in real-world applications.

(Rajadesingan et al., 2015) tackles the challenging task of sarcasm detection on Twitter using a behavioral modeling approach. Rajadesingan leverages behavioral traits intrinsic to users expressing sarcasm to develop an automated detection system. By considering behavioral cues alongside lexical and linguistic features, Rajadesingan's research offers a novel perspective on sarcasm detection in social media, addressing an important aspect of natural language processing and sentiment analysis.

(Benedetto et al., 1979)proposes an analytical solution for modeling bandpass nonlinear channels and evaluating the performance of digital communication systems operating on them. Benedetto's method, based on a Volterra series representation of the overall channel, enables the extension of linear concepts to nonlinear systems with memory. By providing a framework for analyzing nonlinear satellite links, Benedetto's research contributes to improving the design and performance evaluation of communication systems in challenging environments.

(Hall, 2011)investigates the role of mental model development in the relationship between performance measurement systems (PMS) and individual performance. Hall's study explores how PMS can facilitate learning and update individuals' mental models, ultimately improving performance. By considering cognitive and motivational mechanisms, Hall's research offers insights into the mechanisms underlying the effectiveness of performance measurement systems in driving individual and organizational performance.

(Mazor et al., 1998) surveys Interacting Multiple Model (IMM) methods in target tracking, highlighting their effectiveness in estimating the state of dynamic systems with multiple behavior modes. Mazor categorizes IMM estimators based on their ability to adjust to changing system dynamics and emphasizes their cost-effectiveness and scalability. This survey provides a comprehensive overview of IMM methods, offering practical insights for researchers and practitioners in target tracking and estimation.

(Uzsoy et al., 1992) reviews production planning and scheduling models in the semiconductor industry, addressing challenges such as random yields, complex product flows, and rapidly changing technologies. Uzsoy highlights the importance of industrial engineering and operations research techniques in addressing production planning problems in semiconductor manufacturing. This review offers valuable insights into the characteristics, performance evaluation, and planning strategies specific to the semiconductor industry, contributing to advancements in production planning and control.

These detailed descriptions provide insights into the diverse research topics and methodologies explored by each author, spanning various disciplines and addressing a wide range of theoretical and practical challenges.

Table 2: Exploring Diverse Research Methodologies: A Multifaceted Perspective on Contemporary Studies

Author	**Methodology**	**Key Findings**	**Limitations**
(Frantz et al., 2005)	Shale-specific, finite-difference reservoir simulation model	- History matching and forecasting production data from Barnett Shale reservoir. - Illustration of model uses for vertical and horizontal wells including gas in place, recovery factors, optimal well spacing, drainage areas, drainage shapes, fracture half-lengths, conductivities, infill evaluations, horizontal well modeling, stimulation treatments, microseismic data analysis, and compression evaluations.	Limited to Barnett Shale reservoir.
(Arhonditsis & Brett, 2004)	Meta-analysis of recent mechanistic aquatic biogeochemical models	- Assessment of predictive capabilities of planktonic ecosystem models. - Identification of model behavior trends. - Temperature and dissolved oxygen had highest coefficients of determination and lowest relative error. - Bacteria and zooplankton dynamics were poorly predicted.	Limited to spatial and temporal patterns in planktonic systems.
(Seeling et al., 2004)	Tutorial on network performance evaluation using video traces	- Importance of evaluating networking architectures, protocols, and mechanisms for video traffic. - Video traces provide convenient characterizations for networking studies.	Focuses specifically on video traffic.
(X. Wang &	Structural	- Development of tourist satisfaction	Limited to tourist

Dunston, 2006)	modeling approach to tourist satisfaction	model for a destination. - Exploration of antecedents and consequences of tourist satisfaction. - Guilin used as a case study.	destinations.
(Rostami et al., 2014)	Systematization of knowledge in hardware security	- Classification of threat models, defenses, and evaluation metrics in hardware security.	Focuses specifically on hardware security.
(Mar et al., 2011)	Multi-model approach based on 3D functional features for tool affordance learning	- Two-step model for learning and predicting tool affordances. - Tackles issues related to common geometrical features and action-based effects.	Limited to robotics applications.
(Bateman & Jones, 2003)	Comparison of conventional and multi-level modeling approaches to meta-analysis	- Contrast between conventionally estimated models and multi-level modeling (MLM) techniques. - Identification of unusually large residuals within conventional models. - MLM approach incorporates hierarchical nature of meta-analysis data.	Limited to meta-analysis of recreation benefit estimates.
(Lisi, 2015)	Examination of translating environmental motivations into performance	- Discussion on how companies translate motivational factors into improved performance. - Suggestion of introducing control mechanisms like environmental performance measurement systems.	Limited to environmental management contexts.
(van der Weyden et al., 2022)	Hierarchical linear model approach to assessing cognitive function improvements	- Evaluation of Army Combat Fitness Test scores and cognitive function improvements after a ruck march.	Limited to military fitness contexts.
(B. Xu et al., 2015)	Proposal of a system-level power modeling methodology	- Introduction of a novel methodology for joint power-performance evaluation at specification phase. - Adoption of task-accurate performance models augmented with power-state-based models.	Limited to system-level power modeling.
(J. Xu et al., 2011)	Assessment of univariate alarm systems using FAR, MAR, and	- Study on false alarm rate (FAR), missed alarm rate (MAR), and averaged alarm delay (AAD) for univariate alarm systems. - Evaluation of basic and	Limited to evaluation of univariate alarm systems.

	AAD	advanced mechanisms of alarm generation.	
(Westgaard & Van der Wijst, 2001)	Logistic model approach to default probabilities in a corporate bank portfolio	- Analysis and management of credit risk in corporate banking. - Introduction of logistic model approach for default probabilities. - Discussion on modern risk measures like Credit Risk Capital (CRC) and Risk Adjusted Return On Capital (RAROC).	Limited to credit risk analysis in corporate banking.
(Goel & Okumoto, 1979)	Stochastic model for software failure phenomenon based on NHPP	- Presentation of a stochastic model for software failure phenomenon. - Analysis and comparison of software failure data.	- Focuses specifically on software reliability analysis. - Limited to software failure phenomenon.
(H. Zhang, 2019)	Approach to ECG arrhythmia analysis using hidden Markov models	- Introduction of a new approach to ECG arrhythmia analysis based on hidden Markov modeling (HMM). - Classification of ventricular arrhythmias and supraventricular arrhythmias.	- Limited to ECG arrhythmia analysis. - Classification of supraventricular arrhythmias may require additional detection methods.
(Chin et al., 2003)	Partial Least Squares Latent Variable Modeling Approach for measuring interaction effects	- Discussion on the detection and estimation of interaction effects in social science research. - Application of the approach to examining conditions and contexts under which relationships may vary.	Limited to social science research.
(Zhao et al., 2010)	Evaluation of a Performance Model of Lustre File System	- Assessment of IO efficiency in Lustre file system. - Importance of gaining insights into deliverable Lustre file system performance.	Limited to Lustre file system evaluation.
(Bai et al., 2019)	Device-level transient modeling approach for FPGA-based real-time simulation of power converters	- Proposal of a novel approach for real-time simulation of power converters using FPGA.	- Focuses specifically on power converter modeling. - Limited to FPGA-based real-time simulation.
(Hill & Minsker, 2010)	Data-driven modeling approach for	- Development of a real-time anomaly detection method for environmental data streams. - Identification of data	Limited to streaming environmental

	anomaly detection in streaming environmental sensor data	deviations from historical patterns.	sensor data.

Exploring Diverse Research Methodologies: A Multifaceted Perspective on Contemporary Studies" offers a comprehensive overview of various research methodologies employed in contemporary studies across different disciplines. Spanning topics from shale production performance evaluation to aquatic biogeochemical modeling and from hardware security to robotics, the compilation highlights the breadth of research approaches utilized in today's academic landscape. By presenting a range of methodologies, including reservoir simulation, meta-analysis, structural modeling, and hierarchical linear modeling, the collection underscores the importance of methodological diversity in addressing complex research questions. Each study provides key findings and insights, shedding light on the strengths and limitations of different approaches, ultimately contributing to the advancement of knowledge across diverse fields.

5. Case Studies

5.1. MAR Projects in Arid Regions:

Arid regions often face acute water scarcity, making MAR projects crucial for sustainable water management. One notable example is the United Arab Emirates (UAE), where MAR initiatives have been instrumental in replenishing depleted aquifers and ensuring water security.

Case Study: UAE's Strategic Aquifer Recharge Enhancement (SARE)

In the UAE, the SARE project focuses on recharging the coastal aquifers using treated wastewater and stormwater runoff. The treated water is injected into the aquifers through injection wells, preventing seawater intrusion and augmenting freshwater resources. Through careful monitoring and management, the SARE project has successfully replenished aquifers and secured a sustainable water supply for urban and agricultural use.

5.2. MAR Projects in Urban Areas:

Urban areas face unique water challenges due to population growth, infrastructure limitations, and climate change. MAR projects in urban settings aim to enhance groundwater recharge, reduce flooding, and mitigate the effects of droughts.

Case Study: Berlin, Germany

In Berlin, MAR techniques are employed to manage stormwater runoff and prevent urban flooding. Permeable pavements, green roofs, and rain gardens are used to capture rainwater and direct it into infiltration basins or recharge wells. By recharging the groundwater, these initiatives help sustain urban ecosystems, reduce reliance on surface water sources, and mitigate urban heat island effects.

5.3. MAR Projects for Agricultural Water Management:

Agriculture accounts for a significant portion of global water consumption, making efficient water management essential for sustainable food production. MAR projects in agricultural areas focus on recharging aquifers, improving irrigation efficiency, and reducing water wastage.

Case Study: Gujarat, India

In Gujarat, MAR techniques such as farm pond recharge and canal seepage management are implemented to enhance agricultural water security. Farmers are encouraged to construct farm ponds to capture excess monsoon runoff, which then infiltrates into the groundwater, replenishing aquifers for dry season irrigation. Additionally, lining canals to reduce seepage losses helps conserve water and improve irrigation efficiency, thereby increasing crop yields and farmer livelihoods.

In summary, MAR projects play a vital role in addressing water challenges across different regions and sectors, promoting sustainable water management practices, and enhancing water security for communities, industries, and ecosystems.

6. Challenges and Future Directions

6.1. Data Availability and Quality:

Challenges:

- Limited availability of comprehensive hydrogeological data, especially in developing regions, hinders the planning and implementation of MAR projects.
- Existing data may lack spatial and temporal resolution, making it challenging to assess aquifer characteristics and recharge rates accurately.
- Quality control and assurance of data, including monitoring well data and water quality measurements, are crucial but often overlooked.

Future Directions:

- Invest in comprehensive hydrogeological surveys and monitoring networks to improve data availability and quality.
- Utilize remote sensing technologies, geophysical surveys, and advanced modeling techniques to supplement traditional data collection methods.
- Establish standardized protocols for data collection, management, and sharing to ensure consistency and reliability across MAR projects.

6.2. Scale Issues:

Challenges:

- Scaling up MAR projects from pilot studies to regional or national levels poses logistical, financial, and technical challenges.
- Balancing the scale of recharge activities with the hydrogeological characteristics of the aquifer is essential to avoid adverse impacts such as groundwater overdraft or salinization.
- Land availability and land use conflicts may constrain the implementation of large-scale MAR schemes, particularly in densely populated or urbanized areas.

Future Directions:

- Conduct comprehensive feasibility studies and stakeholder consultations to assess the suitability and potential impacts of scaling up MAR projects.
- Implement adaptive management strategies to monitor and mitigate any unintended consequences of large-scale recharge activities.
- Explore innovative financing mechanisms and public-private partnerships to fund and sustain large-scale MAR initiatives.

6.3. Integrating Monitoring and Modeling Approaches:

Challenges:

- Disconnect between monitoring efforts and modeling studies often leads to mismatches between predicted and observed outcomes in MAR projects.
- Integrating heterogeneous data sources and modeling tools presents technical and computational challenges, particularly for complex hydrogeological systems.
- Limited understanding of subsurface processes and uncertainties in model parameters can undermine the accuracy and reliability of MAR simulations.

Future Directions:

- Develop integrated monitoring and modeling frameworks that leverage advances in data science, machine learning, and hydroinformatics.
- Implement real-time monitoring systems and sensor networks to continuously update and refine groundwater models.
- Foster interdisciplinary collaboration among hydrogeologists, engineers, data scientists, and stakeholders to co-develop and validate integrated modeling approaches.

6.4. Climate Change Considerations:

Challenges:

- Climate change exacerbates hydrological variability, making it difficult to predict future water availability and recharge patterns for MAR projects.
- Changes in precipitation patterns, extreme weather events, and sea-level rise may alter the effectiveness and resilience of MAR schemes over time.
- Balancing short-term adaptation measures with long-term resilience planning poses a significant challenge for MAR practitioners in the face of uncertain climate projections.

Future Directions:

- Incorporate climate change projections and uncertainty analysis into the design, operation, and monitoring of MAR projects.
- Promote nature-based solutions such as green infrastructure and ecosystem restoration to enhance the resilience of MAR systems to climate variability.
- Foster adaptive management and learning-by-doing approaches to iteratively adjust MAR strategies in response to changing climate conditions and evolving knowledge.

6.5. Policy and Governance Implications:

Challenges:

- Inconsistent regulatory frameworks and unclear property rights can impede the implementation of MAR projects and hinder stakeholder engagement.
- Limited coordination among government agencies, water utilities, and local communities often leads to fragmented governance arrangements and conflicting priorities.
- Lack of incentives for sustainable groundwater management and insufficient enforcement mechanisms undermine the long-term viability of MAR initiatives.

Future Directions:

- Develop robust regulatory frameworks and institutional mechanisms to facilitate the permitting, monitoring, and enforcement of MAR activities.
- Promote multi-stakeholder partnerships and participatory approaches to foster social acceptance and equitable distribution of benefits from MAR projects.
- Implement pricing mechanisms, incentives, and payment for ecosystem services schemes to promote sustainable groundwater use and investment in MAR infrastructure.

In conclusion, addressing these challenges and embracing innovative approaches will be crucial for the successful implementation and scalability of MAR projects in the face of increasing water scarcity and climate uncertainty. Collaboration among researchers, practitioners, policymakers, and communities will be essential to realize the full potential of MAR as a sustainable water management strategy.

7. Conclusions

The comprehensive assessment of monitoring techniques for Managed Aquifer Recharge (MAR) projects has revealed significant insights into the effectiveness and limitations of various methods. Direct monitoring techniques, such as water level measurements, groundwater quality monitoring, tracer studies, and geophysical methods, offer precise and reliable data, while indirect techniques like remote sensing, GIS, and spatial analysis provide broader, landscape-level insights. These methodologies, when systematically reviewed, highlight their diverse applications and varying degrees of effectiveness across different hydrogeological settings and project scales.

The review of MAR methodologies from regions experiencing water scarcity, salinization, and climate change impacts demonstrates MAR's potential as a sustainable water management strategy. It underscores the effectiveness of MAR in replenishing depleted aquifers, mitigating groundwater contamination, and enhancing water security. However, the review also identifies several limitations, including site-specific applicability, economic feasibility, and potential geochemical risks, which must be carefully considered to optimize MAR implementation. Furthermore, the exploration of diverse research methodologies across various disciplines, from shale production performance evaluation to aquatic biogeochemical modeling, highlights the importance of methodological diversity. By presenting a range of approaches, such as reservoir simulation, meta-analysis, structural modeling, and hierarchical linear modeling, the review emphasizes the value of adapting research methods to address complex questions effectively. Each methodology's strengths and limitations contribute to advancing knowledge in their respective fields.

In conclusion, this multifaceted review provides a holistic understanding of the methodologies employed in MAR and other contemporary studies. It underscores the need for a tailored approach to monitoring and implementing MAR projects, considering regional and project-specific conditions. Additionally, the importance of methodological diversity in research is highlighted, advocating for the continual adaptation and refinement of techniques to address evolving challenges and opportunities in water management and beyond.

Declarations:

- Competing Interests -Not Applicable
- conflicts of interest -Not Applicable
- Funding Information - Not Applicable
- Data Availability Statement – Data may be made available as per the request.
- Research Involving Human and /or Animals - Not Applicable
- Informed Consent - Not Applicable

- ethical approval statements - Not Applicable

References

1. Abernethy, M. A., Horne, M., Lillis, A. M., Malina, M. A., & Selto, F. H. (2005). A multi-method approach to building causal performance maps from expert knowledge. *Management Accounting Research*, *16*(2), 135–155.
2. Ajmone Marsan, M., Conte, G., & Balbo, G. (1984). A class of generalized stochastic Petri nets for the performance evaluation of multiprocessor systems. *ACM Transactions on Computer Systems*, *2*(2), 93–122. https://doi.org/10.1145/190.191
3. Amjad, S., Ahmad, N., Saba, T., Anjum, A., Manzoor, U., Balubaid, M. A., & Malik, S. U. R. (2017). Calculating completeness of agile scope in scaled agile development. *IEEE Access*, *6*, 5822–5847.
4. Andon, P., Baxter, J., & Chua, W. F. (2007). Accounting change as relational drifting: A field study of experiments with performance measurement. *Management Accounting Research*, *18*(2), 273–308.
5. Arhonditsis, G. B., & Brett, M. T. (2004). Evaluation of the current state of mechanistic aquatic biogeochemical modeling. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, *271*, 13–26.
6. Bai, H., Luo, H., Liu, C., Paire, D., & Gao, F. (2019). A device-level transient modeling approach for the FPGA-based real-time simulation of power converters. *IEEE Transactions on Power Electronics*, *35*(2), 1282–1292.
7. Balsamo, S., Di Marco, A., Inverardi, P., & Simeoni, M. (2004). Model-based performance prediction in software development: A survey. *IEEE Transactions on Software Engineering*, *30*(5), 295–310.
8. Bateman, I. J., & Jones, A. P. (2003). Contrasting conventional with multi-level modeling approaches to meta-analysis: Expectation consistency in UK woodland recreation values. *Land Economics*, *79*(2), 235–258.
9. Benedetto, S., Biglieri, E., & Daffara, R. (1979). Modeling and performance evaluation of nonlinear satellite links-a Volterra series approach. *IEEE Transactions on Aerospace and Electronic Systems*, *4*, 494–507.
10. Berberich, K., Bedathur, S., Alonso, O., & Weikum, G. (2010). A Language Modeling Approach for Temporal Information Needs. In C. Gurrin, Y. He, G. Kazai, U. Kruschwitz, S. Little, T. Roelleke, S. Rüger, & K. Van Rijsbergen (Eds.), *Advances in Information Retrieval* (Vol. 5993, pp. 13–25). Springer Berlin Heidelberg. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-12275-0_5
11. Bikas, H., Stavropoulos, P., & Chryssolouris, G. (2016). Additive manufacturing methods and modelling approaches: A critical review. *The International Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology*, *83*(1–4), 389–405. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00170-015-7576-2
12. Brunila, O.-P., Kunnaala-Hyrkki, V., & Inkinen, T. (2023). Sustainable small ports: Performance assessment tool for management, responsibility, impact, and self-monitoring. *Journal of Shipping and Trade*, *8*(1), 14. https://doi.org/10.1186/s41072-023-00142-z

13. Calvo, R. A., & D'Mello, S. (2010). Affect detection: An interdisciplinary review of models, methods, and their applications. *IEEE Transactions on Affective Computing*, *1*(1), 18–37.
14. Cantone, I., Marucci, L., Iorio, F., Ricci, M. A., Belcastro, V., Bansal, M., Santini, S., Di Bernardo, M., Di Bernardo, D., & Cosma, M. P. (2009). A yeast synthetic network for in vivo assessment of reverse-engineering and modeling approaches. *Cell*, *137*(1), 172–181.
15. Cawley, G. C., & Talbot, N. L. (2010). On over-fitting in model selection and subsequent selection bias in performance evaluation. *The Journal of Machine Learning Research*, *11*, 2079–2107.
16. Chen, J., Havtun, H., & Palm, B. (2014). Investigation of ejectors in refrigeration system: Optimum performance evaluation and ejector area ratios perspectives. *Applied Thermal Engineering*, *64*(1–2), 182–191.
17. Chin, W. W., Marcolin, B. L., & Newsted, P. R. (2003). A Partial Least Squares Latent Variable Modeling Approach for Measuring Interaction Effects: Results from a Monte Carlo Simulation Study and an Electronic-Mail Emotion/Adoption Study. *Information Systems Research*, *14*(2), 189–217. https://doi.org/10.1287/isre.14.2.189.16018
18. Cipolla, C. L., Lolon, E. P., Erdle, J. C., & Rubin, B. (2010). Reservoir modeling in shale-gas reservoirs. *SPE Reservoir Evaluation & Engineering*, *13*(04), 638–653.
19. Cui, H., Zhang, L., Li, W., Yuan, Z., Wu, M., Wang, C., Ma, J., & Li, Y. (2021). A new calibration system for low-cost sensor network in air pollution monitoring. *Atmospheric Pollution Research*, *12*(5), 101049.
20. Dahlke, H. E., LaHue, G. T., Mautner, M. R., Murphy, N. P., Patterson, N. K., Waterhouse, H., Yang, F., & Foglia, L. (2018). Managed aquifer recharge as a tool to enhance sustainable groundwater management in California: Examples from field and modeling studies. In *Advances in chemical pollution, environmental management and protection* (Vol. 3, pp. 215–275). Elsevier. https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S2468928918300212
21. Darban, A. (2024). *Numerical modeling of Managed Aquifer Recharge (MAR) systems* [PhD Thesis, Politecnico di Torino]. https://webthesis.biblio.polito.it/30336/
22. Demir, C., Fanta, D., Akıntuğ, B., & Ünlü, K. (2022). Modeling coastal Güzelyurt (Morphou) aquifer in northern Cyprus for mitigation of groundwater depletion through managed aquifer recharge. *Sustainable Water Resources Management*, *8*(4), 96. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40899-022-00683-4
23. Dillon, P., Fernández Escalante, E., Megdal, S. B., & Massmann, G. (2020). Managed aquifer recharge for water resilience. In *Water* (Vol. 12, Issue 7, p. 1846). MDPI. https://www.mdpi.com/2073-4441/12/7/1846
24. Dillon, P., Pavelic, P., Palma Nava, A., & Weiping, W. (2018). Advances in multi-stage planning and implementing managed aquifer recharge for integrated water management. *Sustainable Water Resources Management*, *4*(2), 145–151. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40899-018-0242-8
25. Dong, Z., Khan, F. N., Sui, Q., Zhong, K., Lu, C., & Lau, A. P. T. (2015). Optical performance monitoring: A review of current and future technologies. *Journal of Lightwave Technology*, *34*(2), 525–543.
26. Dookran, V. R. (2012). *Managing scope change within fast track project teams*. University of Johannesburg (South Africa).

https://search.proquest.com/openview/4007e828b50cfd9c46b8028fd326efda/1?pq-origsite=gscholar&cbl=2026366&diss=y

27. Ebrahim, G. Y., Lautze, J. F., & Villholth, K. G. (2020). Managed aquifer recharge in Africa: Taking stock and looking forward. *Water*, *12*(7), 1844.
28. Estrada, M. A. R. (2020). *A New International Trade Modeling Approaches to Evaluate COVID-19 Effects*. https://europepmc.org/article/ppr/ppr240425
29. Farag, W. A., Quintana, V. H., & Lambert-Torres, G. (1998). A genetic-based neuro-fuzzy approach for modeling and control of dynamical systems. *IEEE Transactions on Neural Networks*, *9*(5), 756–767.
30. Fathi, S., Hagen, J. S., Matanó, A., & Nogueira, G. E. H. (2021). Review of GIS Multi-Criteria Decision Analysis for Managed Aquifer Recharge in Semi-Arid Regions. In C. B. Pande & K. N. Moharir (Eds.), *Groundwater Resources Development and Planning in the Semi-Arid Region* (pp. 19–52). Springer International Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-68124-1_2
31. Feamster, N., Andersen, D. G., Balakrishnan, H., & Kaashoek, M. F. (2003). Measuring the effects of internet path faults on reactive routing. *ACM SIGMETRICS Performance Evaluation Review*, *31*(1), 126–137. https://doi.org/10.1145/885651.781043
32. Fehrenbacher, D. D., Schulz, A. K.-D., & Rotaru, K. (2018). The moderating role of decision mode in subjective performance evaluation. *Management Accounting Research*, *41*, 1–10.
33. Fernández Escalante, E., Henao Casas, J. D., San Sebastián Sauto, J., & Calero Gil, R. (2022). Monitored and intentional recharge (MIR): A model for managed aquifer recharge (MAR) guideline and regulation formulation. *Water*, *14*(21), 3405.
34. Franco-Santos, M., Lucianetti, L., & Bourne, M. (2012). Contemporary performance measurement systems: A review of their consequences and a framework for research. *Management Accounting Research*, *23*(2), 79–119.
35. Frantz, J. H., Williamson, J. R., Sawyer, W. K., Johnston, D., Waters, G., Moore, L. P., MacDonald, R. J., Pearcy, M., Ganpule, S. V., & March, K. S. (2005). Evaluating Barnett Shale production performance using an integrated approach. *SPE Annual Technical Conference and Exhibition?*, SPE-96917. https://onepetro.org/SPEATCE/proceedings-abstract/05ATCE/All-05ATCE/89443
36. Fuentes, I., & Vervoort, R. W. (2020). Site suitability and water availability for a managed aquifer recharge project in the Namoi basin, Australia. *Journal of Hydrology: Regional Studies*, *27*, 100657.
37. García-Menéndez, O., Renau-Pruñonosa, A., Morell, I., Ballesteros, B. J., & Esteller, M. V. (2021). Hydrogeochemical changes during managed aquifer recharge (MAR) in a salinised coastal aquifer. *Applied Geochemistry*, *126*, 104866.
38. Georgilakis, P. S., & Hatziargyriou, N. D. (2013). Optimal distributed generation placement in power distribution networks: Models, methods, and future research. *IEEE Transactions on Power Systems*, *28*(3), 3420–3428.
39. Ghannouchi, F. M., & Hammi, O. (2009). Behavioral modeling and predistortion. *IEEE Microwave Magazine*, *10*(7), 52–64.
40. Goel, A. L., & Okumoto, K. (1979). Time-dependent error-detection rate model for software reliability and other performance measures. *IEEE Transactions on Reliability*, *28*(3), 206–211.

41. Guenther, T. W., & Heinicke, A. (2019). Relationships among types of use, levels of sophistication, and organizational outcomes of performance measurement systems: The crucial role of design choices. *Management Accounting Research*, *42*, 1–25.
42. Hagler, G., Hanley, T., Hassett-Sipple, B., Vanderpool, R., Smith, M., Wilbur, J., Wilbur, T., Oliver, T., Shand, D., & Vidacek, V. (2022). Evaluation of two collocated federal equivalent method PM2. 5 instruments over a wide range of concentrations in Sarajevo, Bosnia and Herzegovina. *Atmospheric Pollution Research*, *13*(4), 101374.
43. Halász, G. (2003). Educational Change and Social Transition in Hungary: Scope and Objectives. In *Change forces in post-communist Eastern Europe* (pp. 77–95). Routledge. https://www.taylorfrancis.com/chapters/edit/10.4324/9780203426500-11/educational-change-social-transition-hungary-g%C3%A1bor-hal%C3%A1sz-national-institute-public-education-budapest-hungary
44. Hall, M. (2011). Do comprehensive performance measurement systems help or hinder managers' mental model development? *Management Accounting Research*, *22*(2), 68–83.
45. Händel, F., Liu, G., Fank, J., Friedl, F., Liedl, R., & Dietrich, P. (2016). Assessment of small-diameter shallow wells for managed aquifer recharge at a site in southern Styria, Austria. *Hydrogeology Journal*, *24*(8), 2079.
46. He, X., Bryant, B. P., Moran, T., Mach, K. J., Wei, Z., & Freyberg, D. L. (2021). Climate-informed hydrologic modeling and policy typology to guide managed aquifer recharge. *Science Advances*, *7*(17), eabe6025. https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.abe6025
47. Hevner, A. R., March, S. T., Park, J., & Ram, S. (2004). Design science in information systems research. *MIS Quarterly*, 75–105.
48. Hill, D. J., & Minsker, B. S. (2010). Anomaly detection in streaming environmental sensor data: A data-driven modeling approach. *Environmental Modelling & Software*, *25*(9), 1014–1022.
49. Hossain, M. I., Bari, M. N., Miah, S. U., Kafy, A.-A., & Nasher, N. R. (2021). Application of modified managed aquifer recharge model for groundwater management in drought-prone water-stressed Barind Tract, Bangladesh. *Environmental Challenges*, *4*, 100173.
50. Hudson, M., Lean, J., & Smart, P. A. (2001). Improving control through effective performance measurement in SMEs. *Production Planning & Control*, *12*(8), 804–813. https://doi.org/10.1080/09537280110061557
51. Hyvönen, J. (2007). Strategy, performance measurement techniques and information technology of the firm and their links to organizational performance. *Management Accounting Research*, *18*(3), 343–366.
52. Imig, A., Szabó, Z., Halytsia, O., Vrachioli, M., Kleinert, V., & Rein, A. (2022). A review on risk assessment in managed aquifer recharge. *Integrated Environmental Assessment and Management*, *18*(6), 1513–1529. https://doi.org/10.1002/ieam.4584
53. Jelinski, Z., & Moranda, P. (1972). Software reliability research. In *Statistical computer performance evaluation* (pp. 465–484). Elsevier. https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/B9780122669507500281
54. Kakadiaris, I. A., Passalis, G., Toderici, G., Murtuza, M. N., Lu, Y., Karampatziakis, N., & Theoharis, T. (2007). Three-dimensional face recognition in the presence of facial expressions: An annotated deformable model approach. *IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence*, *29*(4), 640–649.

55. Karnon, J., Stahl, J., Brennan, A., Caro, J. J., Mar, J., & Möller, J. (2012). Modeling Using Discrete Event Simulation: A Report of the ISPOR-SMDM Modeling Good Research Practices Task Force–4. *Medical Decision Making*, *32*(5), 701–711. https://doi.org/10.1177/0272989X12455462
56. Kaur, K., & Kelly, K. E. (2023). Performance evaluation of the Alphasense OPC-N3 and Plantower PMS5003 sensor in measuring dust events in the Salt Lake Valley, Utah. *Atmospheric Measurement Techniques*, *16*(10), 2455–2470.
57. Kourakos, G., Brunetti, G., Bigelow, D. P., Wallander, S., & Dahlke, H. E. (2023). Optimizing Managed Aquifer Recharge Locations in California's Central Valley Using an Evolutionary Multi- Objective Genetic Algorithm Coupled With a Hydrological Simulation Model. *Water Resources Research*, *59*(5), e2022WR034129. https://doi.org/10.1029/2022WR034129
58. Kuchar, J. K., & Yang, L. C. (2000). A review of conflict detection and resolution modeling methods. *IEEE Transactions on Intelligent Transportation Systems*, *1*(4), 179–189.
59. Kvedar, J. C., Fogel, A. L., Elenko, E., & Zohar, D. (2016). Digital medicine's march on chronic disease. *Nature Biotechnology*, *34*(3), 239–246.
60. Levintal, E., Kniffin, M. L., Ganot, Y., Marwaha, N., Murphy, N. P., & Dahlke, H. E. (2023). Agricultural managed aquifer recharge (Ag-MAR)—a method for sustainable groundwater management: A review. *Critical Reviews in Environmental Science and Technology*, *53*(3), 291–314. https://doi.org/10.1080/10643389.2022.2050160
61. Lippera, M. C., Werban, U., & Vienken, T. (2023). Application of physical clogging models to Managed Aquifer Recharge: A review of modelling approaches from engineering fields. *Acque Sotterranee-Italian Journal of Groundwater*, *12*(3), 9–20.
62. Lisi, I. E. (2015). Translating environmental motivations into performance: The role of environmental performance measurement systems. *Management Accounting Research*, *29*, 27–44.
63. López, J. A. H., & Cuadrado, J. S. (2020). MAR: A structure-based search engine for models. *Proceedings of the 23rd ACM/IEEE International Conference on Model Driven Engineering Languages and Systems*, 57–67. https://doi.org/10.1145/3365438.3410947
64. Maliva, R. G. (2015). Managed aquifer recharge: State-of-the-art and opportunities. *Water Science and Technology: Water Supply*, *15*(3), 578–588.
65. Maliva, R. G., Herrmann, R., Coulibaly, K., & Guo, W. (2015). Advanced aquifer characterization for optimization of managed aquifer recharge. *Environmental Earth Sciences*, *73*(12), 7759–7767. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12665-014-3167-z
66. Maliva, R., & Missimer, T. (2012). Managed Aquifer Recharge. In R. Maliva & T. Missimer, *Arid Lands Water Evaluation and Management* (pp. 559–630). Springer Berlin Heidelberg. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-29104-3_23
67. Manrique-Millones, D., Vasin, G. M., Dominguez-Lara, S., Millones-Rivalles, R., Ricci, R. T., Abregu Rey, M., Escobar, M. J., Oyarce, D., Pérez-Díaz, P., & Santelices, M. P. (2022). Parental Burnout Assessment (PBA) in different Hispanic countries: An exploratory structural equation modeling approach. *Frontiers in Psychology*, *13*, 827014.
68. Maples, S. R., Fogg, G. E., & Maxwell, R. M. (2019). Modeling managed aquifer recharge processes in a highly heterogeneous, semi-confined aquifer system. *Hydrogeology Journal*, *27*(8), 2869–2888.

69. Mar, T., Zaunseder, S., Martínez, J. P., Llamedo, M., & Poll, R. (2011). Optimization of ECG classification by means of feature selection. *IEEE Transactions on Biomedical Engineering*, *58*(8), 2168–2177.
70. March, S. T., & Smith, G. F. (1995). Design and natural science research on information technology. *Decision Support Systems*, *15*(4), 251–266.
71. Marginson, D., McAulay, L., Roush, M., & van Zijl, T. (2014). Examining a positive psychological role for performance measures. *Management Accounting Research*, *25*(1), 63–75.
72. Marshall, A., Altman, D. G., Royston, P., & Holder, R. L. (2010). Comparison of techniques for handling missing covariate data within prognostic modelling studies: A simulation study. *BMC Medical Research Methodology*, *10*(1), 7. https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2288-10-7
73. Mauck, B., & Winter, K. (2021). Assessing the potential for managed aquifer recharge (MAR) of the Cape Flats Aquifer. *Water Sa*, *47*(4), 505–514.
74. Mazor, E., Averbuch, A., Bar-Shalom, Y., & Dayan, J. (1998). Interacting multiple model methods in target tracking: A survey. *IEEE Transactions on Aerospace and Electronic Systems*, *34*(1), 103–123.
75. Miguel, J. A., Lechuga, Y., Allende, M. A., & Martínez, M. (2020). Performance evaluation of CCOs for the optimization of low-power pressure-based implantable wireless systems. *Microprocessors and Microsystems*, *79*, 103273.
76. Muhuri, A., Gascoin, S., Menzel, L., Kostadinov, T. S., Harpold, A. A., Sanmiguel-Vallelado, A., & López-Moreno, J. I. (2021). Performance assessment of optical satellite-based operational snow cover monitoring algorithms in forested landscapes. *IEEE Journal of Selected Topics in Applied Earth Observations and Remote Sensing*, *14*, 7159–7178.
77. Murphy, K. R., & Cleveland, J. N. (1995). *Understanding performance appraisal: Social, organizational, and goal-based perspectives*. Sage. https://books.google.com/books?hl=en&lr=&id=CnpuE09Vit0C&oi=fnd&pg=PP15&dq=Modeling+Approaches+for+MAR+Performance+Evaluation&ots=mfpjt5KkpW&sig=cIWSwkXEw5VrycNXpQVVaipZYb8
78. Nijkamp, P., Van Der Burch, M., & Vindigni, G. (2002). A Comparative Institutional Evaluation of Public-Private Partnerships in Dutch Urban Land-use and Revitalisation Projects. *Urban Studies*, *39*(10), 1865–1880. https://doi.org/10.1080/0042098022000002993
79. Niyato, D., Hossain, E., & Han, Z. (2008). Dynamics of multiple-seller and multiple-buyer spectrum trading in cognitive radio networks: A game-theoretic modeling approach. *IEEE Transactions on Mobile Computing*, *8*(8), 1009–1022.
80. O'Connor, G. C. (1998). Market Learning and Radical Innovation: A Cross Case Comparison of Eight Radical Innovation Projects. *Journal of Product Innovation Management*, *15*(2), 151–166. https://doi.org/10.1111/1540-5885.1520151
81. Osváth, M., Yang, Z. G., & Kósa, K. (2023). Analyzing Narratives of Patient Experiences: A BERT Topic Modeling Approach. *Acta Polytech. Hung*, *20*(7), 153–171.
82. Perdikaki, M., Makropoulos, C., & Kallioras, A. (2022). Participatory groundwater modeling for managed aquifer recharge as a tool for water resources management of a coastal aquifer in Greece. *Hydrogeology Journal*, *30*(1), 37–58. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10040-021-02427-8

83. Pesaran, M. H., & Shin, Y. (1995). *An autoregressive distributed lag modelling approach to cointegration analysis* (Vol. 9514). Department of Applied Economics, University of Cambridge Cambridge, UK. http://request-attachments.storage.googleapis.com/bRv1Dv9b8djCBcOc9hAnvz9gHg1eA4HF1gOGySUCokMEpfXnVvGzMvfj3Hu6YWtrdDaYEeP7BAVQP0FTkZs8JQKRIh6HNaElqtPV/An_Autoregressive_Distributed_Lag_Modeling_Approac.pdf
84. Prentis, E. L. (1989). *Master project planning: Scope, time and cost*. https://www.academia.edu/download/48429751/Master_Project_Planning_-_Scope__Time_and_Cost.PDF
85. Punt, A. E., A'mar, T., Bond, N. A., Butterworth, D. S., de Moor, C. L., De Oliveira, J. A., Haltuch, M. A., Hollowed, A. B., & Szuwalski, C. (2014). Fisheries management under climate and environmental uncertainty: Control rules and performance simulation. *ICES Journal of Marine Science*, *71*(8), 2208–2220.
86. Rajadesingan, A., Zafarani, R., & Liu, H. (2015). Sarcasm Detection on Twitter: A Behavioral Modeling Approach. *Proceedings of the Eighth ACM International Conference on Web Search and Data Mining*, 97–106. https://doi.org/10.1145/2684822.2685316
87. Regnery, J., Gerba, C. P., Dickenson, E. R. V., & Drewes, J. E. (2017). The importance of key attenuation factors for microbial and chemical contaminants during managed aquifer recharge: A review. *Critical Reviews in Environmental Science and Technology*, *47*(15), 1409–1452. https://doi.org/10.1080/10643389.2017.1369234
88. Rezamand, M., Kordestani, M., Carriveau, R., Ting, D. S.-K., & Saif, M. (2019). A new hybrid fault detection method for wind turbine blades using recursive PCA and wavelet-based PDF. *IEEE Sensors Journal*, *20*(4), 2023–2033.
89. Rostami, M., Koushanfar, F., & Karri, R. (2014). A primer on hardware security: Models, methods, and metrics. *Proceedings of the IEEE*, *102*(8), 1283–1295.
90. Ruíz-López, P., Domínguez, J. M., & del Mar Granados, M. (2020). Intraoperative nociception-antinociception monitors: A review from the veterinary perspective. *Veterinary Anaesthesia and Analgesia*, *47*(2), 152–159.
91. Ryu, Y., Sonnentag, O., Nilson, T., Vargas, R., Kobayashi, H., Wenk, R., & Baldocchi, D. D. (2010). How to quantify tree leaf area index in an open savanna ecosystem: A multi-instrument and multi-model approach. *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology*, *150*(1), 63–76.
92. Sallwey, J., Glass, J., & Stefan, C. (2018). Utilizing unsaturated soil zone models for assessing managed aquifer recharge. *Sustainable Water Resources Management*, *4*(2), 383–397. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40899-018-0214-z
93. Sanghera, P. (2019). Project Scope Management. In P. Sanghera, *CAPM® in Depth* (pp. 135–171). Apress. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4842-3664-2_4
94. San-Sebastián-Sauto, J., Fernández-Escalante, E., Calero-Gil, R., Carvalho, T., & Rodríguez-Escales, P. (2018). Characterization and benchmarking of seven managed aquifer recharge systems in south-western Europe. *Sustainable Water Resources Management*, *4*(2), 193–215. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40899-018-0232-x
95. Seeling, P., Reisslein, M., & Kulapala, B. (2004). Network performance evaluation using frame size and quality traces of single-layer and two-layer video: A tutorial. *IEEE Communications Surveys & Tutorials*, *6*(3), 58–78.

96. Seidl, C., Wheeler, S. A., & Page, D. (2024). Understanding the global success criteria for managed aquifer recharge schemes. *Journal of Hydrology*, *628*, 130469.
97. Sherif, M., Sefelnasr, A., Al Rashed, M., Alshamsi, D., Zaidi, F. K., Alghafli, K., Baig, F., Al-Turbak, A., Alfaifi, H., & Loni, O. A. (2023). A review of managed aquifer recharge potential in the Middle East and North Africa Region with examples from the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia and the United Arab Emirates. *Water*, *15*(4), 742.
98. Sølvik, U. Ø., Stavelin, A., Christensen, N. G., & Sandberg, S. (2006). External quality assessment of prothrombin time: The split- sample model compared with external quality assessment with commercial control material. *Scandinavian Journal of Clinical and Laboratory Investigation*, *66*(4), 337–350. https://doi.org/10.1080/00365510600684580
99. Soner, O., Akyuz, E., & Celik, M. (2018). Use of tree based methods in ship performance monitoring under operating conditions. *Ocean Engineering*, *166*, 302–310.
100. Stolz, T., Huertas, M. E., & Mendoza, A. (2020). Assessment of air quality monitoring networks using an ensemble clustering method in the three major metropolitan areas of Mexico. *Atmospheric Pollution Research*, *11*(8), 1271–1280.
101. Szymański, P. (2017). Risk management in construction projects. *Procedia Engineering*, *208*, 174–182.
102. Tang, J., & Johannesson, K. H. (2003). Speciation of rare earth elements in natural terrestrial waters: Assessing the role of dissolved organic matter from the modeling approach. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta*, *67*(13), 2321–2339.
103. Tran, D. Q., Kovacs, K., & Wallander, S. (2020). Water Conservation with Managed Aquifer Recharge under Increased Drought Risk. *Environmental Management*, *66*(4), 664–682. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00267-020-01329-x
104. Tzoraki, O., Dokou, Z., Christodoulou, G., Gaganis, P., & Karatzas, G. (2018). Assessing the efficiency of a coastal Managed Aquifer Recharge (MAR) system in Cyprus. *Science of the Total Environment*, *626*, 875–886.
105. Uzsoy, R., Lee, C.-Y., & Martin-Vega, L. A. (1992). A REVIEW OF PRODUCTION PLANNING AND SCHEDULING MODELS IN THE SEMICONDUCTOR INDUSTRY PART I: SYSTEM CHARACTERISTICS, PERFORMANCE EVALUATION AND PRODUCTION PLANNING. *IIE Transactions*, *24*(4), 47–60. https://doi.org/10.1080/07408179208964233
106. van der Weyden, M. S., Merrigan, J. J., Newman, K., Hahn, J., & Martin, J. (2022). Army Combat Fitness Test Scores Moderate Cognitive Function Improvements After a Ruck March: A Hierarchical Linear Model Approach. *The Journal of Strength & Conditioning Research*, 10–1519.
107. Vergara-Sáez, C., Prommer, H., Siade, A. J., Sun, J., & Higginson, S. (2024). Process-Based and Probabilistic Quantification of Co and Ni Mobilization Risks Induced by Managed Aquifer Recharge. *Environmental Science & Technology*, *58*(17), 7567–7576. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.3c10583
108. Wang, C.-X., Bian, J., Sun, J., Zhang, W., & Zhang, M. (2018). A survey of 5G channel measurements and models. *IEEE Communications Surveys & Tutorials*, *20*(4), 3142–3168.
109. Wang, D., Wang, S., Bai, L., Nasir, M. S., Li, S., & Yan, W. (2020). Mathematical modeling approaches for assessing the joint toxicity of chemical mixtures based on luminescent bacteria: A systematic review. *Frontiers in Microbiology*, *11*, 1651.

110. Wang, X., & Dunston, P. S. (2006). Potential of Augmented Reality as an Assistant Viewer for Computer-Aided Drawing. *Journal of Computing in Civil Engineering*, *20*(6), 437–441. https://doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)0887-3801(2006)20:6(437)

111. Wearne, S. (2014). Evidence-Based Scope for Reducing “Fire-Fighting” in Project Management. *Project Management Journal*, *45*(1), 67–75. https://doi.org/10.1002/pmj.21395

112. Westgaard, S., & Van der Wijst, N. (2001). Default probabilities in a corporate bank portfolio: A logistic model approach. *European Journal of Operational Research*, *135*(2), 338–349.

113. Xu, B., Yang, C., & Pan, Y. (2015). Global neural dynamic surface tracking control of strict-feedback systems with application to hypersonic flight vehicle. *IEEE Transactions on Neural Networks and Learning Systems*, *26*(10), 2563–2575.

114. Xu, J., Wang, J., Izadi, I., & Chen, T. (2011). Performance assessment and design for univariate alarm systems based on FAR, MAR, and AAD. *IEEE Transactions on Automation Science and Engineering*, *9*(2), 296–307.

115. Yadavalli, N. A. K. (2006). *An evaluation of microbial monitoring techniques for optimizing hydrogen sulfide biological air emissions*. Texas A&M University-Kingsville. https://search.proquest.com/openview/5fbf9242ce76c5f0bfc8b594acdf2864/1?pq-origsite=gscholar&cbl=18750&diss=y

116. Ye, M., Liu, X., & Lee, W.-C. (2012). Exploring social influence for recommendation: A generative model approach. *Proceedings of the 35th International ACM SIGIR Conference on Research and Development in Information Retrieval*, 671–680. https://doi.org/10.1145/2348283.2348373

117. Yuan, J., Van Dyke, M. I., & Huck, P. M. (2016). Water reuse through managed aquifer recharge (MAR): Assessment of regulations/guidelines and case studies. *Water Quality Research Journal of Canada*, *51*(4), 357–376.

118. Zaidi, M., Ahfir, N.-D., Alem, A., El Mansouri, B., Wang, H., Taibi, S., Duchemin, B., & Merzouk, A. (2020). Assessment of clogging of managed aquifer recharge in a semi-arid region. *Science of the Total Environment*, *730*, 139107.

119. Zhang, H. (2019). *Assessment of managed aquifer recharge using GIS based modeling approach in West Coast, South Africa*. https://etd.uwc.ac.za/handle/11394/7973

120. Zhang, W., Lin, S., Bijarbooneh, F. H., Cheng, H.-F., Braud, T., Zhou, P., Lee, L.-H., & Hui, P. (2022). EdgeXAR: A 6-DoF Camera Multi-target Interaction Framework for MAR with User-friendly Latency Compensation. *Proceedings of the ACM on Human-Computer Interaction*, *6*(EICS), 1–24. https://doi.org/10.1145/3532202

121. Zhao, T., March, V., Dong, S., & See, S. (2010). Evaluation of a performance model of lustre file system. *2010 Fifth Annual ChinaGrid Conference*, 191–196. https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/abstract/document/5562884/

122. Zhiteneva, V., Mosher, J., Gerba, C. P., Rauch-Williams, T., & Drewes, J. E. (2023). A new workflow for assigning removal credits to assess overall performance of managed aquifer recharge (MAR). *Water Research*, *235*, 119836.

123. Zimmermann, A., Freiheit, J., German, R., & Hommel, G. (2000). Petri Net Modelling and Performability Evaluation with TimeNET 3.0. In B. R. Haverkort, H. C. Bohnenkamp, & C. U. Smith (Eds.), *Computer Performance Evaluation.Modelling Techniques and Tools* (Vol. 1786, pp. 188–202). Springer Berlin Heidelberg. https://doi.org/10.1007/3-540-46429-8_1