https://doi.org/10.33472/AFJBS.6.Si2.2024.3529-3562



Journal homepage: http://www.afjbs.com

Research Paper



Open Acces:

ACCIDENTAL PREVENTION IN THE AUTOMATED CAR USING NETWORKS

Iswariya A Department of Computer Science and Engineering St Joseph's Institute of Technology, Chennai,India iswariyabe09@gmail.com

Hema Shree G Department of Computer Science and Engineering St Joseph's Institute of Technology, Chennai,India hemashree2212@gmail.com Mrs.Revathy S Department of Computer Science and Engineering St Joseph's Institute of Technology, Chennai,India revathymurthy440@gmail.com

Abstract- Accidents on the byway is one of the steady problem to get deal with persistently, which may open on to demise and financial effect. Here, The VANET helps in promoting road safety which mainly focus on the existence of every individual. This Abstract peak the accidental avoidance using the Components of the VANET, it spot the implementation of the connectivity between a vehicle and its infrastructure for advance detection of the accident diminish.

Keywords—component, detection, persistently.

I.INTRODUCTION

The term Vehicular Ad hoc Network (VANET) refers to the use of mobile ad hoc networks to facilitate communication between automobiles that function as nodes on the road. It mainly focus on the road safety by working with each nodes that represent each vehicle to get interact with one other and along with the roadside infrastructure.

It ensures the process of safety and provides the helping hand to the driver and passengers, which is the most edge for using this VANET. The main implementation that involved using VANET include Real time Traffic information

Dissemination, and collision avoidance. They mainly look to wireless communication technology so that the data get interchange between the nodes and foundation components. This communication simplicity makes it possible for gadgets to exchange critical information while driving. Real-time, seamless information transfer has become the new standard in the sector. As a result, advancements in communication and information technology readily supported the idea of communication between mobiles.

Vehicular Ad-hoc Networks, are one of these innovations that garnered prominence, creating new avenues for the importance of safety applications. VANET is an acronym for Vehicular Ad Hoc Network, an ad hoc network in which various mobile cars and other connected devices link wirelessly to share relevant information. Simultaneously, a tiny network is established, in which the cars and other equipment act as nodes.

Each node communicates with all other nodes via any information it may have. In a similar manner, each node transmits its own set of data and then receives the data being communicated by other nodes. Nodes collect all of this data, attempt to glean pertinent information from it, and then forward the information to more devices. As device-to-device communication grows, an open network with freely joining and leaving nodes is created.

These days, new cars hitting the market are outfitted with onboard sensors, which facilitates the vehicle's easy integration into the network and permits it to benefit from VANET's advantages. VANET is a Category under MANET (Mobile Ad-hoc Network).

Nodes with networking capability that can link to one another without the aid of a central network make form a MANET. Conversely, VANET has become a more demanding and reliable class or variant of MANET. Different routing techniques are required for VANET than for MANET due to the nodes' flexibility to enter and exit the network.

Through information exchanged between vehicles, the network's overall efficiency is increased as well as traffic efficiency, road condition detection, collision prevention, emergency scenario detection, and collision avoidance. With the use of many hops, VANET also transmits data to remote devices

The following characteristics of VANET:

• Dynamic topology: A high level of dynamic topology is produced by the frequent changes in vehicle direction and speed.

• Intermittent connectivity: When two devices exchange information, their connection can suddenly break. This is an example of how frequently connectivity between devices fluctuates. Frequent disconnection is caused by a highly dynamic topology.

II.LITERATURE REVIEW

A. OVERVIEW

The work of [1] is one of the most recent algorithms for This value shows a node's connection percentage to its surrounding nodes. Equation (1) is used to get a given node i's *TCR* merit. To define the *TCRi*, each node uses Φi to compute inside the vicinity of its two hops ($N \le 2(i)$), the average connectedness. When the value of *TCRi* is negative ($|N(i)| - \Phi i \le 0$), it means that the node's connection proportion is lower than that of its surrounds. Node i for a CH to preserve network connectivity since it has a large number of neighbors, and these neighbors are well connected to a large number of other nodes. It is implied that node I has numerous neighbors by a greater *TCRi* value.

$$TCR_{i} = |N(i)| - \Phi i \tag{1}$$

As a result, it produces a by covering the maximum number of nodes under the maximum hop limitation, the cluster design becomes more robust and fault-tolerant. of k. In fact, in the event of a possible CH failure, this node's neighborhood is highly connected, so the cluster's performance is unaffected by the replacement of the current CH. Residual Energy (*Eratio*): The network nodes residual energy is introduced during the CH procedure by Equation (2). One can calculate a node I's ratio of remaining energy as follows:

B. LITERATURE SURVEY

Many methods, protocols, and The work of [10] algorithms for VANET routing are available in the literature. To choose a vehicle for packet forwarding as a next hop, MDORA assists in utilizing the vehicle's most recent position and direction data as well as the communication lifespan. The process for determining the next hop neighbour vehicle while taking the distance factor (Dist f) into account is described.

The SD line segment that links the source and the destination is where project cars N1 and N2 are dragged. The shortest route between the cars at the source and the destination is represented by DC. Conversely, d and d stand for the corresponding distances between the source and destination and the intermediate vehicles (n1 and n2). The formula that follows will be utilized to ascertain The distances in Equation (1) show how vehicles N1 and N2 are moving from the source vehicle to the destination vehicle.

$$Dist_{f} = Dist^{2}(S,D) + Dist^{2}(S,n) - Dist^{2}(n, D)$$

$$2 \times Dist^{2}(S, D)$$
(1)

As a result, the car that has travelled the furthest (Dist f), next hop will be selected in the direction of the destination.

The work by[4] helps in the transportation industry frequently suffers from a number of problems, including accidents and traffic congestion. Nevertheless, in terms of vehicle cooperation, it has also changed recently.

This trend's main goal is to make roads safer by trying to foresee situations where there might be roads safer by attempting to anticipate potentially dangerous circumstances. In order to create communication prototypes that cars can use in a variety of application scenarios, There have been developments in the areas of vehicle-to-vehicle (V2V), vehicle-to-infrastructure (V2I), and vehicle-to-everything (V2X).

The result is a network of ad hoc mesh, with all mobile devices with wireless modules acting as nodes in addition to automobiles. Information is exchanged between the various connected entities through the use of appropriate communication protocols.

III. EXISTING SYSTEM

It is not at all easy to detect internal adversaries using an insider attacker detection scheme.

- Every sensor keeps an eye on the networking activities of its close neighbours, inspecting various facets of node behaviour.
- Each sensor in a sparse network may also use the chosen data source to refer to the monitoring outcomes of nearby nodes.
- The main challenge stems from the network's lack of

Eiinit (2)

infrastructure and sensors with limited resources, making it **IV. PROPOSED SYSTEM**

An RSU may experience a delay in processing numerous requests from various vehicles at once, making it difficult to respond quickly to emergency vehicles such as police, fire, and ambulances. Demand Vector Routing Algorithm ADHOC Hybrid Approach.

ASSISTANCE

- Spoofing attacks are identified and stopped when they occur.
- Determine any unusual network behaviour.
- Find infractions of policies in WSN.
- Losing a significant occasion is prevented

A. ARCHITECTURAL DESIGN

We go into great detail on the primary VANET components and how they interact in the sections that follow. Vehicletovehicle (V2V) wireless communication between the vehicle's OBU and its Aus. Messages exchanged between automobiles using their OBUs. V2I stands for vehicletoinfrastructure. wireless communications in both directions between Vehicles and RSUs connected to the infrastructure. I2I, or infrastructure-to-infrastructure, Interactions among RSUs make it easier for the network's coverage to grow.V2B, or vehicle-to-broadband cloud, refers to the use of wireless broadband technologies to connect cars to broadband clouds. hard to replicate intrusion detection methods designed for fixed wireless networks.



Figure 1. Architectural Design

B. SYSTEM DESIGN

The system uses various wireless communication techniques to get communicated with various nodes in road side. The V2I The Road Side Unit (RSU) and the road are connected by communication. Within the node, communication takes place via V2V on the road.



Figure 1. System Design

V. METHODOLOGY

• Bypassing the monitoring center, VANET enables direct inter-vehicle communications, which opens the door for malicious data transmission. Creating applications and protocols for this environment presents special security

challenges because of the vehicles' fast and erratic connectivity, the importance of their location in relation to other vehicles, the lack of sufficient or trustworthy methods to ascertain it, and the subtle problem of the privacy vs liability tension, which is crucial for establishing accountability in the event of antisocial or criminal behaviour.

Identifying issues with the conventional MANET routing protocols that VANET uses.

Identifying issues with different VANET routing protocols and techniques that have been proposed.

Evaluating how well the recommended protocols perform in comparison to the conventional MANET routing protocols. This utilizes the most important aspect of the MDORA protocol, the maximum distance on-demand routing algorithm It calculates the maximum distance and optimal path with the fewest number of hops. The next is the routing protocol, which is how routers talk to each other in order to share routing information and decide which path to take.

Finally, there is the NS-2, It has a ton of tools for modelling both wired and wireless network protocols. It provides a very flexible platform that accommodates a wide range of network elements.

A. ALGORITHM

Step 1: Each nodes represent each Vehicle on the Road.

Step 2: A Traffic Analyser used to detect the node collision and help to prevent accidents.

Step 3: The Genetic Algorithm is commonly utilized to generate superior outcomes for problems related to search and optimization.

Step 4: The MDORA Algorithm covers the maximum distance and this established the data transmission.

Step 5: Using MDORA, the path can be identified and it can also helps in tracking the nodes on the road.

Step 6: Using Routing Protocol, the routes between the two nodes can communicate with each other.

Step 7: It contains the NS2, C++ and the Object-oriented Tool Command Language (OTcl). While the OTcl sets up the simulation, the C++ describes the interior workings of the simulation objects. TclCL is used to link the C++ and OTcl.

MDORA is produced by an analysis of the current issues. The two stages of this method are the route establishment and data transmission phase and the ad hoc discovery phase. The following noteworthy contributions are included in this work.

To identify the ideal next hop node, The factors for communication lifetime (CLT f) and distance (Dist, f) have been established. CLT f is the amount of time a node within the forwarder's radio range, and Dist,f is employed to ascertain the node that is nearest to the destination.

To verify and corroborate the simulation findings, The performance evaluation of the MDORA is compared with AODV. According to simulation studies, MDORA outperforms AODV in terms of packet delivery ratio and throughput with a lower delivery delay need .It describes how the scenario was carried out and the findings of the numerical analysis. brings the ideas covered in the article to an end. There are two phases in the MDORA algorithm:

- 1. path identification
- 2. Make a track - The structure of an algorithm is depicted in Fig. 1, where the compounds closest to the destination vehicle but farthest from the source vehicle are shown by the green highlights. This time, there won't be as many hops, thus the delay will be shorter.



Figure 1. Stage of Path Detection At this point

1.As seen in fig. 1, this stage starts when the source vehicle starts to give messages to any close cars. Figure 3 illustrates how a greeting message contains the originating vehicle's Sadd, S-dir, and TTL (direction, address, and time to live).



Figure 2. Broadcast a hello message

After receiving this communication, a comparison is 2. made between the guidance of the approaching vehicle and the message that the originating vehicle has stored. As shown in Fig. 2, the vehicle responds to the vehicle acting as the source by sending a message in the same direction.



Figure 3. Hello Message Information

3. Information regarding (latitude, longitude, neighbor ID, and time to live) is included in the response message. The source car never stops saying hello, even if it is traveling in the opposite way. During this period, sometimes referred to as the (TTL) time to live, the neighbouring car is required to respond with a message. A greeting message will be sent once more in the event that a response message with the value of (TTL) is not received. A neighbour's ID is a table that serves as both an identification for their car and a latitude and longitude for their vehicle.



Figure 4. Forward data packets

4. The vehicle that is closest to the destination and farthest from the car acting as the source is chosen once the distance has been calculated using latitude and longitude in respect to the source vehicle and the nearby vehicles. The shorter the distance, the fewer hops required, and the shorter the packet delivery delay. The following formula can be used to find the distance between cars:

$$Dist_{f} = Dist^{2}(S,D) + Dist^{2}(S,n) - Dist^{2}(n,D)$$

$$2 \times Dist^{2}(S,D)$$
(1)

5. The Path Determination, The source automobile now starts the procedure by transmitting a packet to the car on the closest communication band. As seen in Fig. 4, It needs to face the same way as the vehicle that provided the source. The path discovery procedure continues until the data packets reach the destination vehicle. If the path is not completed, the maintenance phase will be automatically removed by the routing algorithm.

B. ROUTING PROTOCOL

In order to choose routes, On a computer network, a routing protocol describes how routers should be able to communicate with one another between any two nodes. Routing algorithms determine the precise path to take. A router only knows the networks it is directly connected to in advance. After first sharing this data with its nearby neighbours, a routing system disperses it throughout the network. In this approach, routers pick up on the network topology. The distinct methods that routing protocols use to avoid routing loops, select preferable routes based on hop cost data, reach routing convergence in a predetermined length of time, be scalable, and other characteristics are what define them.

Although there are numerous varieties of routing protocols, IP networks typically employ three main types of protocols:

• Type 1 inner gateway protocols; OSPF and IS-IS link-state routing protocols

• Type 2 interior gateway protocols; distance-vector routing protocols, including IGRP, RIPv2, and Routing Information Protocol.

• Exterior gateway protocols: Internet-based routing protocols that are used to send routing data between systems. Exterior Gateway system (EGP), an antiquated routing technology, is not the same as exterior gateway protocols.

C. THE NETWORK SIMULATOR - NS-2

Within various online communities, the value of simulation tools for developing and testing new internet protocols is becoming increasingly apparent. Testing is made more difficult by new services and protocols. For example, largescale, complex environments are needed for multicast delivery and quality of service. When computing resources are either unavailable or prohibitively expensive to replicate an actual lab setup, protocol designers are aware of the benefits of simulation. In examine two distinct simulation scenarios and provide an overview of how to install and configure NS2. In the first scenario, two nodes' SCTP traffic is monitored, and in the second, a six-node network's TCP web traffic and web application behaviour is examined.

Step 1: The Instrument: Second Network Simulator

NS2 for Linux is a simulation program that is available as free software. It is a stealthy event simulator created with an emphasis on networking research. Strong support is offered for replicating IP, multicast, and routing protocols via wired and wireless (local and satellite) networks, including UDP, TCP, RTP, and SRM. Its various advantages, such as supporting many protocols and providing a graphical representation of network traffic, make it a useful tool.

Furthermore, NS2 is built and operated on Windows. Huge scenarios need a lot of RAM, but simple scenarios should run on any decent machine. In addition, the following packages are needed for NS2 to function: releases for Tk (8.3.2), Tcl (8.3.2), TclCL (1.0b11), and OTcl (1.0a7).

Step 2: Installation and Configuration

It takes some time to install NS2, but it's not difficult. Since the "all-in-one" package contains the source code. The comprehensive bundle is available for download on the main NS2 website.



Figure 1. Simulation Window

By utilizing the Linux program, The necessary and optional NS2 components will be configured, compiled, and installed by the install script, which is located in the same directory.

The necessary and optional NS2 components will be configured, compiled, and installed by the install script, which is located in the same directory. The script is fully automated; there is no user interaction during installation. In order to finish the installation of binaries.

ESSENTIAL NOTICES: Pay close attention to all instructions provided in the notices. It can update the variables listed above by directly modifying environment variables or by editing /etc/profile. To make the changes take effect, you must source your new environment (i.e., source /etc/profile) if you updated /etc/profile

The functionality of every protocol will be confirmed by the NS2 validation suite. This will not work if the installation was not finished; validation can be done voluntarily, but it takes twice as long as the installation and compilation processes merged. Proceed to cd./ns-2.1b8./validate. launch the validation suite.NS2 is Used to Track SCTP Traffic

This Tcl script generates a simulation that shows you congestion control and the four-way handshake of SCTP. In this case, node 0 is acting as the FTP client and node 1 is acting as the FTP server. FTP over SCTP communication is involved.

Version 2.1b7a is the hard coded version of the original script. You must change a few lines to fit your particular configuration. Listing 1 indicates that NS2 version 2.1b8 was installed. has been updated. Essentially, the original script was modified to set the paths based on the environment in question. When all tool paths have been updated, you can launch NS2, which simulates an SCTP network.

There will be three windows when it runs. Figure 1 shows a packet traffic graph for the first window. The simulation window as it appears in Figure 2 is displayed in the second window. The network animator (NAM) control window is the third window.

To the right, the retransmission is displayed horizontally, and a dropped packet is indicated by the yellow x. This dropped packet happened as a result of an error loss model being added to the script, which drops the designated packets between the provided nodes. Fast retransmission is supported by SCTP, which handles retransmission similarly as TCP.

Use of fundamental NAM features is demonstrated in the example that follows. The first step is to run./nam to launch an instance of NAM. The editor window will open when you choose New from the file menu. In this instance, our goal is to construct the topology shown in Select the Add node button from the toolbar, then use the right-click menu to position three nodes in the editor window. Click Add link to link nodes.

To create a link, pick a node, then move it to the subsequent node. Next, select the agents from the agent drop-down menu that you wish to use on the network. Click on the relevant node to add an agent. Finally, select which applications (FTP or CBR source) to emulate. Select the selected agent and click to add an application.

To replicate a situation, use Add link and connect several agents if you receive a prompt stating that you need to connect the agents.

The toolbar has delete button. in case if made a mistake. That NAM includes both the editor and the simulation windows; however, in order for NAM to replay the simulation log, NS2 must first interpret the simulation.



Figure 2. Traffic Bandwidth Utility Graphs

It chose to test NS2 for a variety of reasons, including its support for multiple protocols, graphical representations, and SCTP, which is a requirement for us.

In the event that the protocol wish to emulate is not supported, that will need to live with a subpar graphics tool and patch the source code.With the aid of NS2, Some of the mechanisms that are difficult to detect during live testing, such as congestion control, can be better understood when you refer to the protocol definitions.

It provides beneficial documentation and assistance for a range of add-ons.To learn more about how protocols function and interact with various network topologies, It suggest using NS2.

VI. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

The information is viewed as a data point that symbolizes the attribute associated to the vehicle, it is seen as a stream that may be applied to the VANETs clustering. The primary simulation parameter settings are shown in the Figures.



Figure 1. Nodes with appropriate distance

All the nodes which are vehicles are get arranged with some random distance and given a server which indicate the possibility of the collision that may happen between the automated cars.



Figure 2. Collision prevention between nodes

Whenever there is a chance of collision the server will indicate the message using simulator and this prevent the actual accidental that may occur in those automated cars.



Figure 5. Throughput graph

These graphs are the network parameters results which compare the existing and proposed system of the accidental prevention in the automated cars.

VII. CONCLUSION & FUTURE WORK

VANETs are becoming more and more well-liked for a range of uses in intelligent transportation and smart city systems. It is challenging to guarantee consistent and stable VANET connectivity for a number of reasons.

• In order to enable trustworthy VANETs and implement routing protocols, VANET is required. VANET operate in a decentralized manner, which may lead to suboptimality because of the decentralized method's local emphasis and the requirement for additional stages . Moreover, the complex road environment design could result in a confusing clustering choice. This problem is made more complex by the dynamic nature of clusters in 3D VANETs and VANETs in general.

• Our designed methodology showed good outcomes, as evidenced by the average communication head time. We will evaluate the data distribution techniques and this model in a three-dimensional urban scenario in our next effort.Spoofing attacks are identified and stopped when they occur. Determine unusual network activity. Find infractions of policies in WSN. An important event is not lost.

VIII. REFERENCES

[1] Y. Chen, M. Fang, S. Shi et al., "Distributed multihop clustering algorithm Wireless Communications and Networking, vol. 2015, no. 1, pp. 1-12, 2015

[3] O. A. Mahdi, A. W. Abdul Wahab, I. Idris et al., "A comparison study on node clustering techniques used in target tracking WSNs for efficient data.

[4] B. T. Sharef, R. A. Alsaqour, and M. Ismail, "Vehicular [12] S. Malik and P. K. Sahu, "A comparative study on routing protocols for VANETs," Heliyon, vol. 5, no. 8, Aug. 2019, Art. no. e02340.

[13] M. H. Hassan, S. A. Mostafa, A. Budiyono, A. Mustapha, and S. S. Gunasekaran, "A hybrid algorithm for improving the quality of service in MANET," Int. J. Adv. Sci., Eng. Inf. Technol., vol. 8, no. 4, pp. 1218–1225, 2018.

communication ad applications, vol. 40,

[14] M. Mukhtaruzzaman and M. Atiguzzaman,

"Clustering in vehicular ad hoc network: Algorithms and challenges," Comput. Elect. Eng., vol. 88, Dec. 2020, Art. no. 106851.

- [15] A. Katiyar, D. Singh, and R. S. Yadav, "State-of-theart approach to clustering protocols in VANET: A survey," Wireless Netw., vol. 26, no. 7, pp. 5307– 5336, Oct. 2020.
- [16] C. Wen, A. F. Habib, J. Li, C. K. Toth, C. Wang, and H. Fan, "Special issue on 3D sensing in intelligent transportation," IEEE Trans. Intell. Transp. Syst., vol. 22, no.
- 4, pp. 1947–1949, Apr. 2021.
- [17] I. Škrjanc, S. Ozawa, T. Ban, and D. Dovžan, "Largescale cyber attacks monitoring using evolving Cauchy
 pp. 363-396, 2014.

[2] S. A. A. Shah, M. Shiraz, M. K. Nasir et al., "Unicast possibilistic clustering," Appl. Soft Comput., vol. 62, pp. routing protocols for urban vehicular networks: review, 592–601, Jan. 2018. taxonomy, and open research issues," Journal of Zhejiang University SCIENCE C, vol. 15, no. 7, pp. 489-513, 2014.

[5] M. Ramakrishna, "DBR-LS: Distance based routing protocol using location service for VANETs." pp. 1-4.

[6] M. Altayeb, and I. Mahgoub, "A survey of vehicular ad hoc networks, Studies, vol. 3, no. 3, pp. 829-846, 2013

[7] M. R. J. Sattari, R. M. Noor, and S. Ghahremani, "Dynamic congestion Software Engineering and Its Applications, vol. 7, no. 3, pp. 95-108, 2013.

[8] M. Li, Z. Li, and A. V. Vasilakos, "A survey on topology control in wireless Proceedings of the IEEE, vol 101,no. 12,pp. 2538-2557,2013.

 P-C Cheng, J-T Weng, L-C Tung, KC Lee, M Gerla, J Haerri, GeoDTN+Nav: a hybrid geographic and DTN routing assistance in urban vehicular networks.
 MobiQuitous/ISVCS, 2008.

 [10] D. Mohammed, Ahmed Ghanim Wadday, Performance Evaluation of MDORA Protocol in Vehicular Ad-Hoc Networks, Vol 7, No 3 (2018) DOI:
 10.14419/ijet.v7i3.15244.

Iswariya A / Afr.J.Bio.Sc. 6(SI2) (2024)

[11] Y. Rafid Bahar AL –Mayouf, Nor Fadzilah Abdullah, Mahamod Ismail," Efficient Routing Algorithm for

VANETs based on Distance Factor," 2016 International

Conference on Advances in Electrical, Electronic and System Engineering, 14-16 Nov 2016, Putrajaya, Malaysia. [18] A. B. Tambawal, R. M. Noor, R. Salleh, C. Chembe, and M. Oche, "Enhanced weight-based clustering algorithm to provide reliable delivery for VANET safety applications," PLoS ONE, vol. 14, no. 4, Apr. 2019, Art. no. e0214664.

[19] W. Ahsan, M. F. Khan, F. Aadil, M. Maqsood, S. Ashraf,

Y. Nam, and S. Rho, "Optimized node clustering in VANETs by using meta-heuristic algorithms," Electronics, vol. 9, no. 3, p. 394, Feb. 2020.

[20] S. Sulistyo, S. Alam, and R. Adrian, "Coalitional game theoretical approach for VANET clustering to improve

SNR," J. Comput. Netw. Commun., vol. 2019, pp. 1–13, Jul. 2019.