

<https://doi.org/10.48047/AFJBS.6.16.2024.4223-4230>



African Journal of Biological Sciences

Journal homepage: <http://www.afjbs.com>



Research Paper

Open Access

A Study of Microbial Biomarkers in Urine: Applications in Diagnostic Medicine

Muhammad Haroon Ghous, Muhammad Farrukh Naveed, Izza Imran, Abdul Rehman, Shahnaz Usman, Immad Ud Din Obaidullah, Farah Naz Tahir

1. Professor of Urology, University College of Medicine and Dentistry, University of Lahore, muhammad.haroon1@ucm.uol.edu.pk
2. Senior Registrar, Department of Urology and Renal Transplantation, Bahawal Victoria Hospital, Quaid-i-Azam Medical College, Bahawalpur, Pakistan, drfarrukh138@hotmail.com
3. FCPS Pediatric Medicine, Woman Medical Officer (Consultant), UCHS Lahore, drizzaimran@hotmail.com
4. MBBS, PhD (Pathology), Department of Pathology, RAK College of Medical Sciences, RAK Medical and Health Sciences University, RAK, UAE, rehman@rakmhsu.ac.ae, dr.rehman.amir@gmail.com
5. PhD in Pharmaceutics (Pharmacy), Professor, shahnazgauhar@gmail.com
6. Postgraduate Resident, Department of Urology and Renal Transplantation, Bahawal Victoria Hospital, Quaid-i-Azam Medical College Bahawalpur, immadobaid013@gmail.com.
7. MBBS, MPhil, PhD, Associate Professor, Biochemistry Department, Central Park Medical College, Lahore, tahirnazfarah@gmail.com

Volume 6, Issue 16, Dec 2024

Received: 15 June 2024

Accepted: 21 Nov 2024

Published: 29 Dec 2024

[doi:10.48047/AFJBS.6.16.2024.4223-4230](https://doi.org/10.48047/AFJBS.6.16.2024.4223-4230)

Abstract

Microbial biomarkers found in urine offer significant promise in the early detection, diagnosis, and monitoring of various infectious and inflammatory conditions. This study investigates the role of microbial biomarkers in urine as diagnostic tools, focusing on their potential applications in clinical practice for diagnosing urinary tract infections (UTIs), kidney diseases, and other systemic infections.

Objective: To explore the diagnostic potential of microbial biomarkers in urine, with emphasis on their sensitivity, specificity, and clinical utility in identifying common infections and diseases affecting the urinary system.

Methods: A cohort of 400 patients (n=200 with UTI, n=200 healthy controls) was analyzed for the presence of microbial biomarkers in urine. Polymerase chain reaction (PCR), mass spectrometry, and enzyme-linked immunosorbent assays (ELISA) were used to detect and quantify biomarkers. Diagnostic performance was evaluated through statistical analyses, with sensitivity, specificity, and positive/negative predictive values calculated for each biomarker.

Results: The study identified several microbial biomarkers with high diagnostic potential. The most significant biomarker was the lipopolysaccharide (LPS) fragment, showing a sensitivity of 85% and specificity of 92% for UTI diagnosis. Other biomarkers, such as bacterial DNA fragments and secretory IgA levels, were also found to be effective but with lower specificity.

Conclusion: Microbial biomarkers in urine have the potential to revolutionize diagnostic medicine, offering a non-invasive, reliable, and rapid method for diagnosing a wide range of infections. This study lays the groundwork for their integration into clinical settings, although further validation is required.

Keywords: Microbial biomarkers, Urine, Diagnostic medicine, Urinary tract infection, PCR, ELISA

Introduction

Urinary tract infections (UTIs) are among the most common bacterial infections, affecting millions of individuals globally each year. Traditionally, the diagnosis of UTIs has relied on urine culture and microscopy, both of which are time-consuming and have limitations in sensitivity and specificity. As the global healthcare community continues to strive for more rapid, precise, and non-invasive diagnostic methods, microbial biomarkers in urine have emerged as a promising tool. These biomarkers, which are specific molecules released by microorganisms or the host during infection, offer a potential solution to the limitations of traditional diagnostic approaches¹.

Biomarkers are crucial in diagnostic medicine, providing clinicians with valuable information that can guide therapeutic decisions and improve patient outcomes. Recent advances in molecular biology, particularly in polymerase chain reaction (PCR) technology, have enhanced the ability to detect microbial genetic material in urine, enabling the identification of specific pathogens even in the absence of clinically evident infection². Furthermore, the development of mass spectrometry-based techniques has allowed for the detection of microbial metabolites and peptides, further expanding the range of potential biomarkers for diagnosing a variety of infections and diseases³.

The microbial community within the urinary tract, composed of various bacteria, fungi, and viruses, plays an essential role in both health and disease. Infections of the urinary system often result in the release of microbial products such as lipopolysaccharides (LPS), peptidoglycan fragments, and bacterial DNA, which can serve as biomarkers for the detection of infection. Additionally, the body's immune response to microbial invasion results in the production of specific antibodies, such as immunoglobulin A (IgA), which may also serve as valuable biomarkers for diagnosing urinary tract infections and other systemic infections⁴.

While microbial biomarkers have shown promise in various studies, their implementation in clinical practice remains limited due to challenges related to diagnostic sensitivity, specificity, and cost-effectiveness. This study aims to evaluate the potential of several microbial biomarkers found in urine for the diagnosis of UTIs, kidney diseases, and other urinary tract conditions. By comparing the diagnostic performance of these biomarkers, this study seeks to determine their clinical utility and pave the way for more effective diagnostic strategies in the future.

Methodology

This study utilized a case-control design conducted University College of Medicine and Dentistry, University of Lahore to evaluate the diagnostic potential of microbial biomarkers in urine. A total of 400 patients were enrolled, comprising 200 individuals diagnosed with urinary tract infections (UTIs) and 200 healthy controls. Patients with confirmed UTIs were diagnosed based on clinical symptoms, urine culture, and microscopic examination of urine samples, while healthy controls were selected based on their absence of infection and any known urinary system disorders.

Urine samples were collected from all participants, and several microbial biomarkers were analyzed for diagnostic purposes. The biomarkers selected for this study included bacterial DNA fragments (specifically 16S rRNA gene sequences), lipopolysaccharide (LPS) fragments, peptidoglycan fragments, and secretory immunoglobulin A (IgA). These biomarkers were chosen due to their relevance in urinary tract infections, their ability to be detected in urine, and their potential diagnostic utility.

Laboratory Techniques:

- **Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR):** PCR was used to amplify specific genetic sequences associated with common pathogens, such as *Escherichia coli*, the most common causative agent of UTIs. PCR amplification of 16S rRNA gene fragments provided a highly sensitive method for detecting bacterial DNA in urine samples.
- **Mass Spectrometry (MS):** Mass spectrometry was employed to detect bacterial metabolites and microbial peptides that can serve as biomarkers of infection. This technique allowed for the identification of microbial products that are directly associated with infection, providing additional diagnostic insight.
- **Enzyme-Linked Immunosorbent Assay (ELISA):** ELISA was used to quantify the levels of secretory IgA and other immune markers present in the urine. Elevated levels of IgA may indicate a response to microbial infection, making it a potential biomarker for detecting UTIs and other related conditions.

Statistical analyses were performed using SPSS version 26.0. Diagnostic performance was evaluated by calculating sensitivity, specificity, and positive and negative predictive values (PPV, NPV) for each biomarker. The diagnostic accuracy of each biomarker was compared using receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curves, and significance was determined using the chi-square test for categorical data and t-tests for continuous variables.

Results

Table 1: Patient Demographics

Characteristic	UTI Group (n=200)	Healthy Controls (n=200)	p-value
Age (Mean ± SD)	45.2 ± 12.3	46.3 ± 11.2	0.762
Male (%)	72 (36%)	75 (37.5%)	0.801
Female (%)	128 (64%)	125 (62.5%)	0.801
Presence of Diabetes (%)	28 (14%)	25 (12.5%)	0.627

Table 2: Diagnostic Performance of Microbial Biomarkers

Biomarker	Sensitivity (%)	Specificity (%)	Positive Predictive Value (%)	Negative Predictive Value (%)	p-value
Bacterial DNA (PCR)	90%	94%	93%	91%	<0.001
Lipopolysaccharide (LPS)	85%	92%	88%	89%	<0.001
Peptidoglycan Fragments	78%	85%	80%	83%	0.005
Secretory IgA (ELISA)	72%	80%	76%	77%	0.01

Table 3: Comparison of Biomarkers in Diagnosing UTIs vs. Healthy Controls

Biomarker	UTI Group (n=200)	Healthy Controls (n=200)	p-value
Bacterial DNA (PCR)	180 (90%)	18 (9%)	<0.001
Lipopolysaccharide (LPS)	170 (85%)	16 (8%)	<0.001

Biomarker	UTI Group (n=200)	Healthy Controls (n=200)	p-value
Peptidoglycan Fragments	156 (78%)	32 (16%)	0.005
Secretory IgA (ELISA)	144 (72%)	40 (20%)	0.01

Discussion

The findings from this study underscore the potential utility of microbial biomarkers in urine for diagnosing urinary tract infections (UTIs) and other urinary system disorders. PCR-based detection of bacterial DNA demonstrated the highest sensitivity and specificity, identifying UTI cases with remarkable accuracy. Bacterial DNA analysis can provide clinicians with a rapid and reliable method to detect the presence of infection, even in patients with subclinical or early-stage infections that might not be detected through traditional urine culture methods⁵⁻⁷.

Lipopolysaccharide (LPS) fragments also exhibited strong diagnostic performance, with high sensitivity and specificity. LPS is a component of the outer membrane of Gram-negative bacteria, such as *Escherichia coli*, the primary pathogen responsible for UTIs. Detection of LPS fragments in urine provides direct evidence of bacterial invasion, further supporting its use as a reliable biomarker⁸⁻⁹.

Peptidoglycan fragments, which are products of bacterial cell wall degradation, were less sensitive but still showed promise as a diagnostic tool. Their lower specificity compared to DNA and LPS may limit their use as standalone biomarkers; however, they can provide additional diagnostic value when used in combination with other biomarkers.

Finally, secretory IgA levels, while not as sensitive as bacterial DNA or LPS, still demonstrated utility in diagnosing UTIs. Elevated IgA levels in urine are indicative of a localized immune response to infection and may be useful in cases where microbial DNA detection is negative but suspicion of infection remains high¹⁰.

These results are consistent with previous studies that have highlighted the value of microbial biomarkers in improving the accuracy of UTI diagnosis. The combination of these biomarkers, especially bacterial DNA and LPS fragments, may lead to more effective diagnostic protocols that can reduce the need for time-consuming urine cultures and improve patient outcomes by enabling earlier treatment initiation.

Conclusion

This study demonstrates the significant potential of microbial biomarkers in urine for the diagnosis of urinary tract infections and other related diseases. The findings support the integration of these biomarkers into clinical practice, offering a non-invasive, rapid, and reliable diagnostic tool. Future research should focus on refining these biomarkers' sensitivity and specificity, as well as investigating their applicability in diagnosing other infectious and inflammatory conditions affecting the urinary tract.

The current study highlights the diagnostic potential of microbial biomarkers in urine, particularly in the context of urinary tract infections (UTIs). The identification of bacterial DNA via PCR, along with other biomarkers like lipopolysaccharide (LPS) fragments, peptidoglycan, and secretory IgA, underscores the promise these tools hold in clinical practice. The high diagnostic accuracy of bacterial DNA fragments and LPS in detecting UTIs in this study is particularly noteworthy. PCR-based detection of bacterial DNA not only improves diagnostic sensitivity but also enables detection of microbial pathogens even in the absence of traditional bacterial culture growth. This is of paramount importance, particularly for patients with atypical presentations or subclinical infections that often evade conventional diagnostic methods. Studies have similarly supported the use of PCR for rapid detection and species identification of urinary pathogens, demonstrating its effectiveness in reducing diagnostic delays and improving patient outcomes^{1,2}. The high sensitivity and specificity of LPS fragments observed in this study are in line with previous findings. LPS, a major component of Gram-negative bacteria, is released during bacterial cell wall disruption and has been recognized as a potent marker for Gram-negative bacterial infections. This study's results are consistent with other research showing LPS as a reliable diagnostic marker for UTIs, especially those caused by *Escherichia coli*³. Elevated levels of LPS in urine directly correlate with bacterial presence, providing clinicians with a valuable tool for diagnosing infection without the need for invasive procedures. However, while the sensitivity of LPS is robust, its specificity could be influenced by other conditions involving Gram-negative bacteria, such as systemic infections or inflammatory disorders, suggesting a need for complementary biomarkers to improve diagnostic precision.

Peptidoglycan fragments, products of bacterial cell wall synthesis, displayed a lower sensitivity compared to DNA and LPS fragments. This is likely due to the fact that peptidoglycan is released in smaller quantities and may not be as consistently present in the urine of infected individuals,

especially during early infection stages. Although the specificity was moderate, the potential for these biomarkers to serve as part of a multi-biomarker diagnostic panel is still valuable. Their role in identifying infections caused by Gram-positive bacteria, which do not contain LPS, suggests that these biomarkers could enhance the ability to detect a broader spectrum of pathogens in patients with UTIs.

Secretory IgA, while demonstrating lower sensitivity in this study, has proven useful in diagnosing immune-mediated responses during infections. Elevated IgA levels in urine indicate an active immune response to microbial invasion, further corroborating the presence of infection, even in cases where microbial DNA is absent or difficult to detect. Secretory IgA's role as an early immunologic marker has been demonstrated in various studies focusing on UTIs⁴. This study's findings support the notion that IgA, in conjunction with microbial DNA or LPS, could serve as a valuable adjunct in the comprehensive diagnosis of UTIs.

A noteworthy aspect of this study is the potential for these microbial biomarkers to facilitate rapid, non-invasive diagnostics. Traditional methods such as urine culture and microscopy can take up to 48-72 hours for results, delaying treatment initiation and contributing to prolonged patient discomfort and potential complications. The biomarkers examined in this study, however, could lead to earlier diagnosis, allowing for timely antibiotic treatment and better patient management. Rapid diagnostics using microbial biomarkers could reduce healthcare costs by minimizing unnecessary imaging and invasive procedures, thereby improving overall healthcare efficiency⁵. Despite the promising results, several limitations must be addressed in future studies. First, although the biomarkers demonstrated high sensitivity and specificity in this cohort, further studies are needed in diverse populations, including those with comorbid conditions, to validate these findings. Variability in results could be observed due to patient demographics, underlying health conditions, or the microbial diversity present in the urinary tract. Additionally, while PCR and ELISA techniques are highly sensitive, their cost and need for specialized laboratory infrastructure may limit widespread adoption, particularly in resource-limited settings. Consequently, future research should focus on optimizing these diagnostic tests for point-of-care use to ensure accessibility in low-resource environments.

Moreover, it is essential to examine the clinical utility of these biomarkers in a variety of urological conditions beyond UTIs, including kidney infections, pyelonephritis, and bladder cancer. The potential for microbial biomarkers to detect non-infectious conditions, such as inflammation or

malignancy, could further expand their utility in clinical practice. Furthermore, investigating the role of these biomarkers in monitoring treatment responses and detecting recurrent infections would significantly contribute to their clinical application.

In conclusion, microbial biomarkers in urine hold considerable promise for enhancing diagnostic capabilities in the detection of UTIs and other urinary tract disorders. The results of this study confirm their value in providing rapid, non-invasive, and accurate diagnostics, which could eventually revolutionize the management of urinary tract infections. Further research aimed at refining these biomarkers, expanding their clinical application, and developing cost-effective testing methods will be crucial in translating these findings into routine clinical practice.

References

1. Skelly AN, Price GR, and Carr EJ. Molecular diagnosis of urinary tract infections: Advances in PCR-based detection. *J Infect Dis.* 2022;225(8):1479-1487.
2. Smithson A, Collier G, and Lee JC. The role of bacterial DNA detection in urinary tract infections. *Clin Infect Dis.* 2023;36(5):722-730.
3. Maier M, Sacks S, and Tison L. Evaluation of lipopolysaccharide fragments as biomarkers for Gram-negative urinary tract infections. *Clin Microbiol Infect.* 2021;27(6):836-843.
4. Marzoli R, Giglio P, and Mariani S. The immune response in urinary tract infections: Implications for biomarkers. *Nephrology Dialysis Transplantation.* 2023;28(2):137-142.
5. Jacobs R, Patel N, and Alvis P. Point-of-care diagnostic biomarkers in urology: Current perspectives. *Urology.* 2024;104(3):78-85.
6. Singhal S, Kumar S, and Yadav P. Non-invasive biomarkers for diagnosing pyelonephritis and their clinical significance. *Indian J Med Microbiol.* 2022;40(4):290-296.
7. Aziz R, Grivna M, and Farooqi H. New horizons in microbiological diagnostic methods for urinary tract infections. *J Clin Microbiol.* 2022;60(12):e00124-22.
8. Kline KA, Finkelstein RA. Urinary tract infections: The importance of diagnostic biomarkers. *Clin Microbiol Rev.* 2021;34(2):e00155-20.
9. May E, Ellis L, and Weisman J. Advancing biomarker technologies in diagnostic microbiology. *Lancet Infect Dis.* 2024;24(2):142-151.
10. Soni V, Choudhury R, and Kaur T. Role of biomarkers in the rapid diagnosis of urinary tract infections. *J Clin Urol.* 2021;14(1):31-37.