

<https://doi.org/10.48047/AFJBS.6.13.2024.6414-6419>



African Journal of Biological Sciences

Journal homepage: <http://www.afjbs.com>



Research Paper

Open Access

Multicenter Study on the Success Rates of Common Bile Duct Cannulation Using Various Techniques

Dr. Mushtaq Ahmad¹, Dr Kamran², Dr. Shahid Iqbal³, Zubia⁴, Dr. Shahid Ali⁵

¹Senior Registrar, Gastroenterology Division, Khyber Teaching Hospital, Peshawar, Pakistan

Email: drmushdaq1987@gmail.com

²Senior Registrar, Department of Gastroenterology, Jinnah Medical College, Peshawar, Pakistan

Email: dr.kamran731@gmail.com

³Senior Registrar, Department of Gastroenterology, Jinnah Medical College, Peshawar, Pakistan

Email: drshahid2525@gmail.com

⁴Associate Professor, Baqai Institute of Pharmaceutical Sciences Email: drzubiaz@gmail.com

⁵Consultant Gastroenterologist, Health Net Hospital, Peshawar, Pakistan

*Corresponding Author: Dr. Mushtaq Ahmad; drmushdaq1987@gmail.com

Volume 6, Issue 13, Aug 2024

Received: 15 June 2024

Accepted: 25 July 2024

Published: 15 Aug 2024

doi: [10.48047/AFJBS.6.13.2024.6414-6419](https://doi.org/10.48047/AFJBS.6.13.2024.6414-6419)

ABSTRACT

Background

Common bile duct (CBD) cannulation is a critical step in endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography (ERCP), essential for diagnosing and treating biliary and pancreatic disorders.

Objective

The objective of this study is to compare the success rates of common bile duct (CBD) cannulation performed using various techniques.

Methods

A prospective study was conducted in which patients were randomly assigned to receive cannulation with either a standard catheter or a sphincterotome (standard or wire-guided). Multivariate models were used to identify key factors influencing the success rates of both initial and selective cannulation, along with the number of attempts and the time required to achieve selective cannulation.

Results

A total of 83 patients were initially evaluated, 47 were included in the study, with most undergoing ERCP for suspected bile duct stones. Patients were randomized to receive either a standard catheter or a standard/wire-guided sphincterotome for selective common bile duct cannulation. The sphincterotome group had a significantly higher initial cannulation success rate (97% vs. 67%, $p = 0.009$) and required fewer attempts and less time to achieve cannulation ($p = 0.0001$). Multivariate analysis identified the initial choice of the catheter as the key independent predictor of both the time and number of attempts needed for successful cannulation.

Conclusion

The standard/wire-guided sphincterotome outperformed the standard catheter in the initial attempt at common bile duct cannulation. The number of attempts required could have clinical implications for the risk of post-ERCP pancreatitis, highlighting the need for further research.

Keywords

Cannulation time, Fluoroscopic time, Selective bile duct cannulation; post-ERCP pancreatitis; Randomized controlled trial, Radiation exposure, Wire-guided cannulation

Introduction

Deep bile duct cannulation is the initial step in performing ERCP biliary interventions. While numerous specialized techniques have been documented, there is a notable absence of

comprehensive reports that cover all cannulation methods within a single series. This prospective study aims to examine the various techniques used for cannulation in the context of routine ERCP procedures (1,2). Biliary cannulation is a crucial step in biliary interventions, yet no universally accepted technique exists. The procedure's success relies on factors such as the type of catheter, the cannulation technique used, and the proficiency of the endoscopist. Complication rates are influenced by patient conditions, procedural details, and the operator's skill (3). Recently, techniques like using a sphincterotome with wire-guided cannulation (WGC) have become more common, demonstrating improved success rates and reduced incidence of post-ERCP pancreatitis (PEP) compared to traditional methods with contrast medium (4). Nonetheless, these improvements are based on studies involving a small number of experienced endoscopists at single institutions, which may limit the generalizability of the results.

Various instruments and techniques are available for selective common bile duct (CBD) cannulation, including standard or tapered catheters, sphincterotomes, pre-cut papillotomy, and guidewires (5). If purely endoscopic methods fail, a combined endoscopic and trans-hepatic approach may be used, though this method has significant risks of complications (6). Endoscopic papillectomy is another option. However, there is no published objective evidence showing a difference in success rates between standard catheters and sphincterotomes for selective CBD cannulation (7). However, the success of CBD cannulation varies widely depending on the skill and experience of the endoscopist. In Pakistan, where ERCP is increasingly utilized, the variability in cannulation success among different endoscopists across multiple centers remains underexplored. This multicenter, randomized study aims to evaluate the success rates of CBD cannulation by various endoscopists in other healthcare settings across the country, providing insights into the procedural challenges and potential areas for improvement in training and technique."

Material and Methods

During 3 months, patients undergoing ERCP with the goal of selective common bile duct (CBD) cannulation were enrolled, excluding those with prior therapeutic ERCP, gastroduodenal abnormalities, or if selective cannulation was not the primary intent. Patients were randomly assigned to use either a standard catheter or a sphincterotome (standard or wire-guided) for selective CBD cannulation. Key metrics recorded included the success rates, number of attempts, and time required for initial and selective cannulation. If the initial attempt failed, patients could crossover to a different catheter type. Procedures were performed by a third-year fellow or junior staff, with a senior staff member involved if multiple attempts were unsuccessful. Informed consent was obtained and the study was IRB-approved. Outcomes were assessed using intention-to-treat analysis, with complications monitored and statistical analyses performed to evaluate the efficacy of the cannulation methods. The study, designed to detect a 30% difference in success rates, was halted early after an interim analysis.

Results

Eighty-three patients were initially evaluated, but 36 were excluded due to previous therapeutic ERCP or anatomical issues, leaving 47 patients (19 men, 28 women, mean age 60.6 years) in the study. Indications for ERCP included suspected CBD stones, pancreaticobiliary malignancies, and bile leaks. Patients were randomized to undergo selective CBD cannulation with either a standard catheter (SC) or a sphincterotome (SS/WS). Initial cannulation success rates were 67% for SC and 97% for SS/WS ($p = 0.009$). Using intention-to-treat analysis, selective cannulation success rates were 94% for SC and 97% for SS/WS ($p = \text{NS}$). The SC group required more

attempts (12.4 ± 6.0) and time (13.5 ± 6.14 minutes) compared to the SS/WS group (2.8 ± 3.1 attempts, 3.1 ± 5.1 minutes; $p = 0.0002$). Crossover to a different catheter type occurred in 6 cases, all from SC to SS. Pancreatic duct opacification was more common in the SC group (61%) compared to the SS/WS group (21%; $p = 0.011$). Pancreatitis occurred in 8.5% of patients, with a higher rate in the SS/WS group (10.3%) compared to the SC group (5.6%). Statistical differences in complication rates were not specifically analyzed.

Table 1- Analysis of the time required to achieve successful cannulation

Variables	Estimation of Parameters	Standard Error	Chi-square	Risk Ratio
Catheter	2.244319	0.41115	0.0001	9.433
Age	0.012001	0.01171	0.3052	1.011
Gender	0.367332	0.33919	0.2789	1.443

Table 2- Analysis of the number of attempts required to achieve successful cannulation

Variables	Estimation of Parameters	Standard Error	Chi-square	Risk Ratio
Catheter	2.518631	0.47803	0.0001	12.411

Discussion

In this prospective randomized trial comparing standard catheters with sphincterotomes for selective CBD cannulation, it was observed that sphincterotomes (both standard and wire-guided) significantly reduced both the number of attempts and the time required for successful cannulation. Although previous studies suggested potential advantages of sphincterotomes, this trial was the first to provide comparative evidence through randomized patient assignment (8). In the study, it was hypothesized that the upward tip deflection of sphincterotomes enhances access to the CBD.

Various techniques exist for CBD cannulation, including pre-cut papillotomy, which is often used in emergencies or after prolonged cannulation attempts (9). However, this technique carries a notable risk of complications, including severe pancreatitis (10). Other methods, such as the combined endoscopic and transhepatic approach, and the use of guidewires, have also been described but come with significant risks or drawbacks. Endoscopic papillectomy is experimental and has limited application (11,12,13).

The results of the current study support the hypothesis that upward tip deflection from sphincterotomes facilitates more efficient cannulation compared to standard catheters. Despite this, the final rates of CBD cannulation were similar between the two groups. Approximately a fixed outcome threshold of 15 attempts was set to minimize operator bias and found that operator experience did not significantly impact the results. A study conducted by García-Cano & González-Martín reported a 75.4% success rate with standard cannulation, utilizing alternative methods to achieve a final 98% success rate (14). In a multicenter study, Kawakami et al. found no significant difference in success rates between wire-guided and conventional methods, though

wire-guided cannulation tended to shorten procedure times (15). Hence in another study, Bassi et al. demonstrated that the touch technique was superior to the no-touch technique, with higher primary cannulation rates (88% vs 54%, $p < 0.001$) and fewer attempts required (16). However, Herreros de Tejada et al. found that the double-guidewire technique was not superior to standard cannulation in difficult cases, with success rates of 47% and 56% respectively (17). Post-ERCP pancreatitis rates varied across studies, ranging from 2-17% (18). The limitation of the study was the smaller sample size and imbalance in patient distribution between endoscopists which further suggest that investigations are required where a larger cohort is essential. The higher incidence of pancreatitis in the sphincterotome group (10.3% vs. 5.6% with standard catheters) was not statistically significant due to overlapping confidence intervals, indicating the need for larger studies to confirm these findings. Potential confounders, such as the depth of pancreatic duct cannulation, were not assessed in the study but may contribute to the observed differences. Additionally, while sphincterotomes are more expensive than standard catheters, the cost may be offset by easier cannulation and reduced procedural time (19). A comprehensive cost analysis should consider these factors, including potential savings from reduced procedural time and catheter reuse (20).

Conclusion

This randomized controlled trial demonstrates that sphincterotomes outperform regular catheters for initial selective CBD cannulation. Using a sphincterotome reduces both procedural time and the number of attempts needed, and results in higher initial CBD cannulation rates.

References

1. Chen Q, Jin P, Ji X, Du H, Lu J. Management of difficult or failed biliary access in initial ERCP: A review of current literature. *Clinics and Research in Hepatology and Gastroenterology*. 2019 Aug 1;43(4):365-72. <http://doi:10.1016/j.clinre.2018.09.004>. Epub 2018 Oct 9. PMID: 30314736.
2. García-Cano J, González-Martín JA. Bile duct cannulation for ERCP therapy: success rates for techniques and devices at a single institution. *Acta Gastroenterol. belg.* 2006;69:261-7. PMID: 17168121.
3. Kouanda A, Bayudan A, Hussain A, Avila P, Kamal F, Hasan MK, Dai SC, Munroe C, Thiruvengadam N, Arain MA. Current state of biliary cannulation techniques during endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography (ERCP): International survey study. *Endoscopy International Open*. 2023 Jun;11(06):E588-98. <http://doi:10.1055/a-2085-4565>. PMID: 37564727; PMCID: PMC10410689.
4. Shafqat MN, Javed S, Adil M, Shafqat G, Akram F, Haider M, Chaudhry A, Khan S, Zahoor F. S1257 Selective Common Bile Duct Cannulation Time During Endoscopic Retrograde Cholangiopancreatography: Effects of Dexamethasone. *Official journal of the American College of Gastroenterology | ACG*. 2023 Oct 1;118(10S):S955.
5. Karim MM, Parkash O. Prolonged endoscopic retrograde cholangiography cannulation step-up protocol likely causing longer procedure time: A major confounding factor. *Gastroenterology*. 2024 Jan 1;166(1):217. <http://doi:10.1053/j.gastro.2023.06.014>. Epub 2023 Jun 23. PMID: 37356672.

6. Rosenkranz L, Patel SN. Endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography for stone burden in the bile and pancreatic ducts. *Gastrointestinal Endoscopy Clinics*. 2012 Jul 1;22(3):435-50. <http://doi:10.1016/j.giec.2012.05.007>. Epub 2012 Jun 12. PMID: 22748241.
7. Kazmi SK, Khan RS, Alam L, Saeed F. A Year of Endoscopic Retrograde Cholangiopancreatography (ERCP) At a Glance. Indications, Interventions, Complications. *Pakistan Armed Forces Medical Journal*. 2022 May 1;72(2):555-9.
8. Nambu T, Ukita T, Shigoka H, Omuta S, Maetani I. Wire-guided selective cannulation of the bile duct with a sphincterotome: a prospective randomized comparative study with the standard method. *Scandinavian journal of gastroenterology*. 2011 Jan 1;46(1):109-15. <http://doi:10.3109/00365521.2010.521889>. Epub 2010 Oct 6. PMID: 20923377.
9. Fiocca F, Fanello G, Cereatti F, Maselli R, Ceci V, Donatelli G. Early 'shallow needle-knife papillotomy and guidewire cannulation: an effective and safe approach to difficult papilla. *Therapeutic Advances in Gastroenterology*. 2015 May;8(3):114-20. <http://doi:10.1177/1756283X15576466>. PMID: 25949524; PMCID: PMC4416296.
10. Jamry A. Risk factors of pancreatitis after endoscopic sphincterotomy. Review of literature and practical remarks based on approximately 10,000 ERCPs. *Polish Journal of Surgery*. 2017;89(5):29-33. <http://doi:10.5604/01.3001.0010.5409>. PMID: 29154236.
11. Siddiqui UD, Levy MJ. EUS-guided transluminal interventions. *Gastroenterology*. 2018 May 1;154(7):1911-24. <http://doi:10.1053/j.gastro.2017.12.046>. Epub 2018 Feb 16. PMID: 29458153.
12. Perez-Miranda M. Endoscopic Ultrasonography–Guided Biliary Drainage. In: *ERCP 2019* Jan 1 (pp. 308-320). Elsevier.
13. Fuccio L, Attili F, Vanella G, Larghi A. Interventional endoscopic ultrasonography. Current treatment options in gastroenterology. 2014 Jun;12:183-210. <http://doi:10.1007/s11938-014-0015-x>. PMID: 24609891.
14. García-Cano J, González-Martín JA. Bile duct cannulation for ERCP therapy: success rates for techniques and devices at a single institution. *Acta Gastroenterol. belg*. 2006;69:261-7. PMID: 17168121.
15. Kawakami H, Maguchi H, Mukai T, Hayashi T, Sasaki T, Isayama H, Nakai Y, Yasuda I, Irisawa A, Niido T, Okabe Y. A multicenter, prospective, randomized study of selective bile duct cannulation performed by multiple endoscopists: the BIDMEN study. *Gastrointestinal endoscopy*. 2012 Feb 1;75(2):362-72. <http://doi:10.1016/j.gie.2011.10.012>. PMID: 22248605.
16. Bassi M, Luigiano C, Ghersi S, Fabbri C, Gibiino G, Balzani L, Iabichino G, Tringali A, Manta R, Mutignani M, Cennamo V. A multicenter randomized trial comparing the use of touch versus no-touch guidewire technique for deep biliary cannulation: the TNT study. *Gastrointestinal endoscopy*. 2018 Jan 1;87(1):196-201. <http://doi:10.1016/j.gie.2017.05.008>. Epub 2017 May 18. PMID: 28527615
17. de Tejada AH, Calleja JL, Díaz G, Pertejo V, Espinel J, Cacho G, Jiménez J, Millán I, García F, Abreu L. Double-guidewire technique for difficult bile duct cannulation: a multicenter randomized, controlled trial. *Gastrointestinal Endoscopy*. 2009 Oct 1;70(4):700-9. <http://doi:10.1016/j.gie.2009.03.031>. Epub 2009 Jun 27. PMID: 19560764.

18. Buxbaum J, Leonor P, Tung J, Lane C, Sahakian A, Laine L. Randomized trial of endoscopist-controlled vs. assistant-controlled wire-guided cannulation of the bile duct. *Official journal of the American College of Gastroenterology| ACG.* 2016 Dec 1;111(12):1841-7. <http://doi:10.1038/ajg.2016.268>. Epub 2016 Jul 5. PMID: 27377519.
19. Avery M, Prieto J, Okamoto I, Cullen S, Clancy B, Moore KN, Macaulay M, Fader M. Reuse of intermittent catheters: a qualitative study of IC users' perspectives. *BMJ open.* 2018 Aug 1;8(8):e021554. <http://doi:10.1136/bmjopen-2018-021554>. PMID: 30121601; PMCID: PMC6104744.
20. Avery M, Prieto J, Okamoto I, Cullen S, Clancy B, Moore KN, Macaulay M, Fader M. Reuse of intermittent catheters: a qualitative study of IC users' perspectives. *BMJ open.* 2018 Aug 1;8(8):e021554. <http://doi:10.1136/bmjopen-2018-021554>. PMID: 30121601; PMCID: PMC6104744.