



African Journal of Biological Sciences

Journal homepage: <http://www.afjbs.com>



Research Paper

Open Access

Cognitive Mapping For Decision Making: Training People In Group Dynamics in Google's Youtube Customer Service in India

Mehvish Bhat¹, Ankur Agrawal², Michail V. Barmpas^{3*}

¹PhD Scholar, School of Business Studies, Sharda University Orchid ID: <https://orcid.org/0009-0008-6365-0728>

²Associate Professor, School of Business Studies, Sharda University Orchid ID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9087-6852>

^{3*}Professor, School of Business Studies, Sharda University, Delhi NCR, India Orchid ID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8630-5064>

Email: ¹vishmeh1@gmail.com, ²ankur.aggrawal@sharda.ac.in

Corresponding Email: ^{3*}barbasmichael@gmail.com

Article Info

Volume 6, Issue 14, August 2024

Received: 03 June 2024

Accepted: 04 July 2024

Published: 20 August 2024

doi: 10.33472/AFJBS.6.14.2024.5828-5844

ABSTRACT:

This work has showed that cause and effect cognitive mapping can educate employees to improve decisions in the online entertainment industry. The authors first reviewed decision-making and problem-solving techniques such as Strategic Options Development and Analysis and Soft System Methodology, and asserted their role for being the foundations of the Influence Diagram methodology used in this paper. The work argued that the use of Influence Diagrams educated managers in this industry of how to improve problem situations when dealing with causality over time. The writers maintained that influence diagrams employed under conditions of causality have the capacity to turn a problem situation from chaos into order through a real-world example from Google's YouTube in India presently faced with staff turnover. The reader will be able to perceive graphically how the flow of work had gone wrong at Google's YouTube due to limiting worker autonomy. The trainers and the trainees intervened by introducing specific strategies derived of Influence Diagrams, that smoothed the relationships, controlled the problem situation, and improved work flow.

Keywords: Training, Problem-Solving, Decision-Making, Education, Team Building.

© 2024 Mehvish Bhat, This is an open access article under the CC BY license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made

1. Introduction

Cognitive mapping is a practical instrument allowing to comprehend the mental exemplifications of a person or group at a specific instant (Eden, 1988). A cognitive map represents the course of thinking about a problem following a process of mapping (Eden, 2004). The cognitive mapping technique seems to have the ability of diminishing favoritism as it allows enclosing offered decision substitutes in the decision-making process in organizations (Sutrisna and Barrett, 2007). The outcome is that decision makers would reason harder in treating the existing data in forming decisions. Therefore, it is maintained that the cognitive mapping tool can educate decision makers in linking various causes that are related to a problem situation (Yusnaini, Hakiki, and Wahyudi, 2023). The benefits of using cognitive mapping for decision making are notable. First of all, cognitive maps are capable of improving attention and activating memory. In addition, they may assist highpoint urgencies and vital issues and they can produce omitted facts. Most importantly, cognitive maps may also disclose breaks in data or thinking which deserve immediate responses (Fiol and Huff, 1992).

The above-mentioned benefits seem to make cognitive maps proper for educating people of how to improve decision making in organizations. Swan tested the practice of cognitive mapping in taking industrial innovation choices in a number of industries including banking and financial services with positive results (Siau and Tan, 2005b; Boland and Tenkasi, 1995). However, the method is not commonly applied to educate people of how to improve decision making in the online entertainment industry (Siau and Tan, 2005b). With strategy struggling to apprehend executives' strategic choices and consumers' judgements, it appears surprising to realize the shortage of practical applications employing cognitive mapping to reach an understanding of mental exemplifications in training to improve business decisions in the online entertainment industry (Rosalie and Paradas, 2022). The present paper attempts to relate how the method may be applied to train employees how to do so in the online entertainment industry with reference to Google's YouTube customer service department in India.

2. Materials and Methods

The cognitive mapping method was initially introduced in psychology by Tolman (Tolman, 1948). Tolman established an alternate stimulus-response model for individuals (Eden, 1992). As per Tolman, in cognitive mapping it is assumed that a cognitive map is a model of cognition (Tolman, 1948). However, as the product of a cognitive mapping method in the present work is typically stated as a cognitive map that might create a misunderstanding in relation to the term employed in psychology. To escape any such misunderstanding, the researchers will use the term "cognitive maps" to utterly denote the products of cognitive mapping in the subsequent parts of the present study. Past research tested the effect of cognitive mapping to get over perceptive biases produced by pictorially outlining the data embraced by the decision makers. The cognitive mapping method employed in these studies was causal cognitive mapping, - that is the mapping of underlying associations that designate forms of interrelationships among variables that affected decision results (Hodgkinson et al, 1999; Oliveira, 2020). It is also argued that, in Cognitive Mapping the tough work of an individual to reason prior to taking a judgment out of many decision options, can remove any discrimination that may arise in the process of taking the decision (Huff, 1990). To put it simply, by applying the method Huff observed that there was a rise in the quality of the decisions that were taken in these instances as it eliminated bias completely (Huff, 1990). A number of issues that also differentiated this study from other research were the adjustments to the study tools used, which in Huff's work included the use of computer simulation. Training people in cognitive mapping constructed in this way by subsequent researchers have suggested that computer simulations

may help individuals understand the process of decision making (Sweatman, Wake, and Cooper, 2011; Swan, 1997).

However, when it comes to cognitive maps for corporate practice, Eden and Ackermann argue that cognitive mapping must go together with the specific organizational identities (Eden and Ackermann, 1993). For these writers, in addition to offering a source for action, the different organizational identities epitomize a way of opening up opportunities for strategic change and rebirth. Managers need to be trained on how to be awake and to be able to bring alterations in each of the cognitive maps. However, research shows that it is not likely that all of these maps will be possible to change at the same timing as human reasoning is evolving (Baddeley, 1992; Giddens, 1991). This means that cognitive mapping seems to be a dynamic process that develops over time as it involves constant change and continuous training, which is uncertain if it can be depicted in a computer simulation. It is suggested therefore, for cognitive maps to be effective in an organizational setting, managers must find a means through which they can identify and categorize which cognitive maps are fluctuating, and at what rate they are changing (Yusnaini, Hakiki and Maryati, 2023; Huxham and Eden, 1990; Eden, 1987). To make this possible, the authors of the present paper will argue that human intervention and collective reasoning in a group setting may be the solution. Therefore, for the purposes of the present work, the researchers will work with the assumption that this holds to be true and the authors will make use of this observation.

To take this further, cognitive mapping techniques may also be used in the area of conceptual modelling (Schneider and Shiffrin, 1977). Siau and Tan (2005a) researched the application of cognitive mapping methods to heighten the value of conceptual modeling. They have developed a case to show the benefits of employing cognitive maps to complement industry oriented Soft Systems Methodology (SSM) (Siau and Tan, 2005a). The authors show that cognitive maps can work together with rich pictures in SSM to educate individuals in decision making. Rich pictures are employed in SSM to describe a situation, identify problem situations, and suggest solutions to problem situations (Hanafizadeh, Mehrabioun and Mostasharirad, 2021; Checkland, 1981). A rich picture is in fact a graphic caricature of a company and works to illustrate what the problem situation in the organization is all about (Checkland and Scholes, 1990; Avison and Fitzgerald, 1995). In the past rich pictures was extensively used by Peter Checkland in ICI Petrochemicals and they have proved to be useful in successfully identifying hidden operational problems (Checkland and Poulter, 2006). Similar findings resulted of using rich pictures to identify obstacles in taking decisions in the New Zealand dairy industry (Reid et al, 1999) and in the South African sugar industry (Proches and Bodhanya, 2017). In SSM an IT system analyst or a project manager, or anyone in the business, may draw a rich picture by looking at the actions and decisions of diverse people or by interviewing different stakeholders. In addition, as with cognitive maps, rich pictures have been employed to illustrate complex problem situations (Buzan, 1993). These pictographic drawings try to seizure the real situation in excess of a free of limitations, picture of all the opinions stated before now by the members of an SSM project, plan, systems, relations, effects, cause-and-effect, and so on (Checkland and Winter, 2006). Those illustrative sketches seem to exemplify individual matters such as the dispositions of the diverse contributors in a problem-solving situation and their physiognomies, their beliefs and presumptions, their inner self and their social nature (Ebrahimi, 2020). For example, if an editor of a journal who is working for a imaginary business, - in our work let's call it the DF publishing house, - is busy in identifying material to include in his book he or she may need to try to draw rich pictures of his/her perceptions, at least initially, and has to do this from the point of view of the client instead of focusing on his/her own perception of the situation (Checkland and Winter, 2006). As an instance, if a rich picture could be produced by this imaginary editor in our example, would look as follows:




Figure 1: Example of a Rich Picture for DF publishing house

In Figure 1 the individual editor who, presumably, would have constructed this rich picture seems to have identified all of his / her needs by considering the client as well as his / her company's views of the problem situation. In this respect, the rich picture drawn, apparently, takes all views into consideration. Checkland and Holwell (1998) argue that the same may be happening in a group decision making situation in which every one of the members of the team has divergent thoughts and ideas regarding a particular problem situation. In such a setting, ideas can be identified and illustrated and the conceptions of the participants as well as of the clients and other stakeholders can be included in the rich picture (Checkland and Holwell, 1998). As the reader can see in Figure 1 concepts are connected with arrows, which in essence shows causality. However, an almost similar process seems to be followed in drafting cognitive maps. The cognitive maps are a web of nodes and arrows as links (a specific kind of 'focused graph'), in which the course of the arrow indicates a supposed causality, although this causality is apparently meant to capture the situation in a specific moment (Eden, 2004). This issue is discussed more below in this paper as it seems to be a weakness of the model when one considers cognitive maps as described by Eden over time.

To continue with causality, however, the similarity between rich pictures and cognitive maps is obvious (Soesanto, 2021; Fanke, 2013). Therefore, in addition to overcoming some limits of human cognition, cognitive maps, as with rich pictures, seem also capable of cherishing the apprehensions of different parties and share them in a group therefore they are capable of educating people how to work together. The remodeled acceptance systems, as epitomized by cognitive maps, assist to make the diverse perceptions of the participants clear, and thus easier to comprehend (Orlikowski, 2000). What is also important to stress at this point, is that the three most significant parts of a rich picture in SSM are structure, process, and concerns, that are employed to detect two chief characteristics of the human activity system – primary responsibilities and apprehensions – which are also the requirements in cognitive mapping (Monk & Howard, 1998; Diffenbach, 1982).

To compare the two methods, the researchers here have constructed a suggestive cognitive map for the hypothetical DF publishing house. Figure 2 shows how knowledge would have been developed in relation to the editor's need for information depicted in the rich picture in Figure 1. During the construction of the map the assumed editor would have thought what would have been the implications of having full information about client requirements and market trends. For example, by observing the cognitive map in Figure 2 one can see what the editor would think is needed in order to establish better customer/marketing culture opportunities. In this map actions to be taken are described by nodes and each node connects with the next action to

be taken through an arrow. Some of the nodes in the map in Figure 2 describe precisely the action to be taken (nodes 2, 3, 4, 6, 8, 9, 11, 12, 14, 15, 16, 19) whereas some others state the action as well as the consequences of not taking that particular action (nodes 1, 5, 7, 10, 13, 17, 18, 20, 21). In that respect cognitive maps seem to initiate action. Starting from Node 1 and going up to Node 21 the editor would have mapped in a logical fashion the sequence of actions that he or she thinks are needed in order to take advantage of having more information about the market and his or her customers. The cognitive map in Figure 2 it is suggested to have been made by the editor himself. However, the reader needs to figure out that even though cognitive maps can express the knowledge of one participant, it is necessary for more people to intervene and discuss and/or influence actions.

Eden argues that in real-life decision-making cases individual self-regard typically coming of either senior managers or shareholder precedence obstruct the decision taken from




Figure 2: Output of a cognitive map for the DF publishing house.

The broader picture and goes on to argue that a wider participation in taking a decision through cognitive mapping is needed (Eden, 1987). In this sense, it is to be noted, cognitive maps should be completed in a process of discussion, which supports the assertion made by the authors of the present work above that human intervention and collective reasoning is needed. Research shows that in a real situation, decision making occurs in circumstances of intricacy, where the most challenging and difficult job is to express the nature of the problem, instead of its solutions (Rosenhead and Mingers 2001). Handling management decisions in this situation means rendering and responding to the fluidity of interrelated issues and concepts of the real world, and this seemingly needs extensive human participation and interactions in the decision-making process (Checkland, 2001). If this is true, then it seems that there is no single explanation of the problem, but each separate person has his or her own viewpoint in describing and assessing a problem situation. The taking or not taking of an action can of course first be conceived by the person who has drawn the map but afterwards it would be necessary to bring the map to the whole group of those concerned discussing the implications of these actions and whether or not these implications of actions call for a process of revisions (Ferrell, 1985). Usually, the drafting of cognitive maps is done by human interaction assisted by specialized software (Soetanto and Goodier, 2013; Abuabara and Paucar-Caceres, 2021). Such software generated representation of cognitive maps are done widely across the world from agriculture

(Elsawah et al, 2015; Tröger et al, 2018) to airline catering services (Smart and Dudas, 2007), primary schools (Sørensen and Vidal, 2002), wind energy (Upham and Perez, 2015), financial services (Ferreira, Santos, Rodrigues, and Spahr, 2014), and foundry (Swan, 1995). However, in the study for Google's YouTube customer Service Department although the authors make use of cause-and-effect maps, they follow the same philosophy that characterizes the rich picture model, that is, the maps are handmade illustrations. Research in psychology shows that handmade pictorial representations of a problem situation depict more naturally the participant's inner self in describing the problem situation in itself (Huysman, 2002; Kling, 1992; Wenger, 2000).

An additional issue that the authors faced in the present analysis of Google's YouTube Customer Service Department below is that as per Eden's definition of Cognitive Maps discussed above (Eden, 2004), the nodes do not seem to signify variables directing their values in well-organized groups and the arcs do not seem to be causal suppositions (Giordano et al, 2007). The presence of a link amid A and B means that "if A is correct then B is correct". This does not seem to necessarily imply cause and effect relationships (Ge, Planas, and Er, 2010). Consequently, the writers here will argue that causal implication to evaluate effects of strategy choices seems not to be possible using the Cognitive Maps model as demarcated by Eden. Additionally, the uncertainties in system dynamics seem not to be considered in the model (Giordano et al, 2007). In case an arc is meant as a consequence, the cycle "A infers B, and B infers A" just means that if A is correct then B is correct, if A is untrue then B is untrue. Time seems not to be applicable in this context, nor constancy and nor volatility over time (Marchant, 1999). Hence, the writers of the present study will consider that cognitive maps as suggested by Eden (2004) seem not to be capable to back decision making by modelling the effects of likely actions in diverse situations over time and change. Instead, what appears to be the case is that the analysis of cognitive maps as suggested by Eden (2004) certainly supports insightful thinking in problem solving, provided that time restrictions related to the suggestive actions proposed in a cognitive map do not exist and the environment is stable, - which in real situations does not always seem to be the case (Giordano et al, 2007).

To deal with the problem of making sure that there is a cause for every effect, the present study has chosen to work with a variation of Cognitive Mapping, that is, the authors will make use of influence diagrams for cognitive mapping. An influence diagram is a focused graph that signifies the cause-and-effect relationships over time under constant and unpredictable change rooted in members' thinking in a group decision making situation (Nadkarni and Shenoy, 2004). In that respect, influence diagrams make the assertion that specific happenings or activities will take us to probably results which will be valid and true over time under conditions of unpredictability (Seel, 2006). Moreover, it is to be noted, that the components of an influence diagram are three: causal concepts, causal connections and causal values. Each concept signifies a complete unit, a situation, a variable, or a feature of the system (Xirogiannis et al., 2004). A causal linkage portrays a precursor consequential relationship between two concepts. In other words, a causal value in such influence diagrams epitomizes the power of a causal relationship and how it develops over time by always taking change into consideration (Nadkarni and Shenoy, 2004). Nevertheless, the authors of the present study are indebted to Cognitive Maps as developed by Eden (1992) and Rich Pictures as established by Checkland (2001) as these tools have helped the writers here to conceive the limitations with causality over time and volatility and work more to develop the concept of Influence Diagrams in the sense that is employed in the present study, that is by taking into consideration causality over time and unpredictability in the models

In constructing an influence diagram, one is searching for feedback loops ("closed-loop thinking"). Identifying feedback loops is a central action in systems thinking as feedback loops are what is causing a system to alter its behaviour over time (and most of the times in

unanticipated manner) (Al-Diban, 2008). One must distinguish amid two types of feedback loops: degenerative (or adverse) feedback loops and regenerative (or constructive) feedback loops (Hansen, Shi, and Kastrantas, 2022). To explain this more, a causal influence diagram is comprised of arrows that present the causal links amid a system's components. Every causal link is allocated a polarity, which can be either positive (+) or negative (−) to show how the dependent variable alters once the independent variable alters. Positives are regenerative (or constructive) loops. On the other hand, negatives are degenerative or adverse loops. Conversely, a negative link specifies an opposite causal association. This means that when the cause rises the importance of the effect declines or vice versa (Eseryel, Ifenthaler, and Ge, 2013).

Influence diagrams are suitable for:

1. Enabling common knowledge of “how things work”;
2. enhancing communication amid specialists, decision makers and investors;
3. bringing together knowledge from diverse areas in making a decision;
4. inspiring well-organized thinking about cause-and-effect relations;
5. being plain about uncertainty, more specifically, stressing the presence of rival suppositions and enabling learnt discussion about them;
6. determining assessment criteria;
7. determining modeling and data requirements specifically linked to the assessment criteria;
8. constructing successive quantitative modeling (particularly once built with more formal instructions to define inter-related provisional prospects;
9. authenticating the origin for and refining the transparency of skilled decisions. (Hosseinian-Far and Jahankhani, 2015)

Moreover, an additional difficulty with cognitive maps as suggested by Eden (1992) is that, when the decision to be taken comprises risk, to solve the problem the decision maker should attempt to control the risk by getting more information, discovering added options, and waiting or furnishing a responsibility to participate in decision making to other people (Hosseinian-Far and Jahankhani, 2015). Working with influence diagrams then, that cater for all of the above and can clearly be modified and considered over time and change, may be a good solution to the problems arising of risk (Goodwin and Wright, 2005). As the authors of this work have already noted above, the unpredictability of the future is a serious limitation in working with the classical models of Cognitive Maps and Rich Pictures as expressed by Eden (2004) and Checkland (2001). The researchers have therefore chosen to work with the, - apparently, more adaptable to the uncertainty of the future, - influence diagrams.

3. Results and Discussion

To decide which method had to be used in the analysis, the scholars took on a number of in-depth interviews with Customer Service managers and team leaders in Google's YouTube Customer Service department in India, which were followed by focus group workshops. In-depth interviews were selected since they allow the researcher to dig into problems than any other technique since they engage the interviewee in a long discussion through which insight is likely to be gained (Harding, 2013). At the same time, any expected bias from the side of the interviewer is anticipated to be lesser than any alternative interview technique (Alon, Baruch, and Nachmais, 2020, p.161). Accordingly, working in a focus group was chosen since such groups may come to more broadly accepted insights and decision choices if contrasted to decisions reached by sole participants (Manzano, 2022). Throughout the interviews the writers have recognized a number of explicit topics of concern, such as ‘the availability and usage of

existing resources', 'pricing and sales', 'miscommunication issues' and 'any working areas inside the Google's YouTube Customer Service Dept that the interviewees thought to be strong or weak', but they did not follow any set instructions for the dialogue. The purpose was to allow the interviewees respond agreeably and spontaneously. The aim of the in-depth interviews and the succeeding focus group workshop, was to categorise any feeble or robust usage of resources in Google's YouTube in India and also to draw a comparison with what is the situation with similar operations abroad, and in what way resources might, as per the data collected by the contributors, improve communication, problem-solving, and decision making in the department which could win more customers and create a competitive advantage in the future.

The insights of the in-depth interviews and the focus group meetings have assisted the writers to understand that a system is needed to improve communications which could lead to improved cooperation, better decisions, and increase of motivation. Such a system could well be presented in an influence diagram, as such tools have the capacity to connect ideas and concepts and to bring consensus which would then turn ideas into actions (Goodwin and Wright, 2005). Such improvements may well open the way for better communications, better decision, and increase in motivation which in turn might reduce turnover in Google's YouTube in India. The authors' recommendations, based on the study, are given in the end of this paper. The example that the authors of this work will use to test the validity and applicability of the influence diagrams in this study comes from problems with staff turnover and employee absenteeism in a Google's YouTube Customer Service Department in the South of India which however offers customer account services to You Tubers globally. These problems were identified of the in-depth interviews and the focus group workshops. As per the focus group observations, and the insights of the in-depth interviews, employee absenteeism and turnover have seemingly created a series of problems in the specific department over time. Management seems to have responded by applying stronger routinization and control over jobs to reduce turnover and absenteeism. However, given the fact of the unpredictability of the future and the risk and negative implications that the specific business may face from problem situations arising from employee turnover and absenteeism, the present researchers have applied the influence diagram technique in a group setting in order to collectively identify and reason the cause of the problems. Then working in a group setting with the rest of the employees the researchers attempted to show the effects over time in an effort to provide alternative solutions to face the issues under the given condition of uncertainty of the future. The influence diagram in Figure 3 constructed for this problem situation identified nine possible loops and the




Figure 3: The situation as described

Associated linkages depicting the situation as described above. The three more important variables around which the situation turns are (a) loading on staff, (b) backlog, and (c) staff turnover. It seems that top management's policy to enforce stronger routinization and control over jobs, as derived of the focus group insights, has affected negatively the problem situation. This because, as one can see in Figure 3 above, routinization and control over jobs are responsible for the first positive degenerative feedback loop. This is illustrated in the left top side of the diagram with the loop leading from downsizing to more loading, and to the reduction in autonomy and less risk taking by employees; which in its turn increases staff turnover and affects the other loops negatively. This degenerative collapse loop in fact links with backlog, as expressed by the participants of the focus group earlier, (as loops and variables are interdependent), and causes more delays and mistakes (the loop in the center) which have an impact on delivery and quality (delays and mistakes can be associated with delivery and quality problems) and more conflict between customers and staff, which in turn affect negatively morale. The third important loop is again a consequence of the other two main loops and is also degenerative. It is the loop in the bottom right side of the diagram. Greater staff turnover increases the need for new staff acquisition (and also escalates the associated overheads and creates a new degenerative feedback loop) and causes more mistakes, delays and difficulties, all of which flood backlog again. Here the researchers have extended the diagram by drawing a fourth loop which begins from technical difficulties and affects capacity and production negatively, and which again depicts degenerative collapse. As the reader can see, this is the loop at the bottom in Figure 3 which turns counter-clockwise starting from technical difficulties and leading to under-capacity, mistakes and delays, and production problems, as expressed previously in the in-depth interviews and in the focus group workshops.

There could be two signals which may result from the above situation and these are portrayed by the delivery and quality loops occupying the central and left side of the diagram in Figure 3, going around backlog (as the authors said before delays and mistakes cause delivery and quality problems which affect the situation negatively and should be illustrated in the model by drawing the relative loops and linkages). Both these loops describe the bad quality and delivery service as a result of the backlog and signal a decrease in sales turnover, while of course they affect the loading on staff. In fact, the situation as it is described above does not give the scholar the chance to deal with the problem situation effectively at the present and degenerative collapse seems to prevail. Degenerative collapse can be further shown by drawing three other loops and the associated linkages which derive from the reduction in risk taking and autonomy and can lead to absenteeism, strikes, and the consideration of alternative employers, all of which encourage more staff turnover (the three loops in the top right side).

Finally, it is interesting to mark the transient dynamics which exist in the short-term decisions of the company management as it is presented to the reader. For example, the company decides to recruit new staff, as per the insights expressed in the focus group session, but the time taken to recruit the staff and train them increase loading to existing staff in the short run. On the other hand, more loading is unnecessary and out of hand as, as one can see above in the degenerative loops, it increases backlog which is the YouTube department's main operating problem (which as a consequence decreases morale in the short-term and causes more staff to leave bringing in more newcomers). Transient responses here also lead to recruitment of more inexperienced staff and of course additional backlog which the company, ironically, hoped to avoid. Transient dynamics have frequently presented a danger in delaying an anticipated outcome in problem situations to groups decision makers (Smith, Holtzman and Matheson, 1993). The problem here might be that the company has not seemingly predicted the degree of uncertainty and inconstancy in the relationships among the variables affected by the management decisions, which as it seems is high in the short-run (although in the long-run uncertainty and inconstancy may reduce as the new staff is recruited and trained). As a result of all these slip-ups the

company is driven to degenerative collapse. It is interesting to see these relations in the diagram in Figure 3. The positive (+) signs at the edge of the arrows indicate positive effects on variables while the negative (-) signs show the negative effects. As one can see, most of the signs in the diagram are negative which is the characteristic of degenerative collapse loops (Cabanis et al, 2017).

After identifying the problems created of staff turnover and absenteeism in the group, and how these problems were getting worse through management's decision to apply stronger routinization and control over jobs, the researchers assisted by participating staff in a workgroup setting during the focus group session, suggested some possible solutions over time and uncertainty to the problem situation. These solutions are depicted in Figure 4 below. Here the updated influence diagram introduces again nine loops and the associated linkages. Once more, the most important variables are (a) leading on staff, (b) backlog and (c) staff turnover, but several factors have changed. As one can see in the loop in the top even though downsizing has a causal relation with loading, the policy to give more autonomy to employees strengthens staff morale and encourages risk taking. The signal for pressure on morale and policy for autonomy have acted as a controlling mechanism to restore the falling morale. A morale feedback control loop has resulted from this intervention with a chain of positive consequences for the rest of the model.




Figure 4: The situation as emerged

The first positive result can be seen immediately from the smaller loop at the top right side of the diagram in Figure 4, starting from staff morale, - as bringing more satisfaction from work reduces staff turnover and increases co-operation -, and as a further consequence strengthens morale more. In fact, the big morale feedback control loop in the top of the diagram links with the smaller positive regenerative feedback loop which forms a small "virtuous cycle"- which prolongs the regenerative growth of the specific Google's YouTube Customer Service department in the south of India.

But the benefits resulting from the previous decision can be seen more in the second main loop in the centre - the backlog regenerative feedback loop, - and the three other loops around this loop. Here the increase in staff morale previously has stopped staff turnover and has also reduced loading. This backlog regenerative feedback loop tells one that as loading on staff is controlled there are now less backlog and less delays/mistakes which in its turn improves quality and reduces conflict between staff and customers and finally again strengthens morale. The positive (+) signs characterize this loop and there is an interdependence between the variables - as already discussed in previous studies (see: Cabanas et al., 2017). What is interesting here is that improved quality (depicted in the loop at the bottom left side of the diagram) may bring more orders and as a consequence more sales. Of course, this is another positive regenerative feedback loop. Yet, on the other hand more orders increase loading on staff which in its turn causes pressure on quality (the loop in the left which leads from quality of service to reputation for quality, to firm orders, to loading, and then to pressure on quality, and which again ends at improved quality). The authors have intervened here with a policy for quality to control the situation - actually this is the second negative feedback control loop. The third control loop is the delivery-firm orders feedback loop in the centre and bottom of the diagram. Here reduced backlog improves deliveries which in return brings more orders but also increases loading (presented by the negative (-) sign in the diagram). Nevertheless, this apparently results in pressure for delivery and sets the situation under management's control. The pressure on delivery and the policy for less backlog are central in the model as they act as a controlling mechanism to condition backlog and maintain it low.

Further, as a consequence of reduced staff turnover fewer new staff is acquired, delays/mistakes and technical difficulties are reduced, backlog is again reduced and all this finally maintains staff turnover at low levels. It can be seen by the positive regenerative feedback loop at the right side of the model. The diagram is completed by the capacity-production feedback control loop which starts with reduction in technical difficulties and goes counter-clockwise. Here as capacity increases again and there may be some delays reflected in less production, there is a pressure on production. The policy to overcome technical difficulties secures the regular flow of production and keeps backlog low. What the authors have done in the diagram in Figure 4 with the contribution of the focus group participants, is to present the various causal and policy relationships diagrammatically. Finally, in the diagram reducing the number of new staff decreases overheads which can be seen at the right side of the model. To find a way to reduce costs, diminish backlog and to increase morale and autonomy have been this paper's goals from the beginning. In fact, applying the Influence Diagram technique in Action, all these loops have helped the writers to describe the improved situation from a systems' perspective and the associated linkages have enabled them to identify the causal and policy links among the variables.

4. Conclusions

As the reader has seen above, by applying the influence diagram technique in a group setting the authors have trained Google YouTube personnel to deal positively with the problem situation which Google's YouTube Customer Service department in the South of India faced due to forcing employees to work beyond their capacity and the decision to lessen worker autonomy. The technique has educated the team how to work systemically (under the assumption that *the whole is greater than the sum of its parts* (see: Checkland, 2001; Aristotle, Metaphysics, 980a) - with the problem situation by jointly identifying the pressures on morale and the problems with on-time delivery, under-production, absenteeism, turnover, and drop in quality issues, each individual member being a part of the whole, and to take corrective action suggested by the resulted Influence Diagrams in a group decision making situation (the whole).

The in-depth interviews and the focus group workshops have also facilitated the process since the problems were thoroughly identified and the members of the team proposed possible solutions. To return to the influence diagrams methodology in the sense that is used in this study, both the initial and the final influence diagrams have trained the workforce to present the problem situation hurriedly and purely, which of course is the outcome desired by management, as per discussions in the focus groups sessions, in order to take quick action and control the negative implications caused by ‘unfortunate’ past decisions. For the most part, doing this exercise and learning how to work with influence diagrams, has enabled the participants of the specific group to practice and understand the worth of the social systems method to resolve difficult problem situations in their organization. Bringing together all the variables in the influence diagrams sketches has also helped the team, and subsequently management, to improve decision making and recover relationships affected negatively prior to introducing the technique. Also, the problems with causality over time and the risk rising of the unpredictability of the future seemed to be significantly reduced in the firm as the exercise allowed to prepare for the future by suggesting alternate actions/strategies, - they did not try in any way to forecast the future, as the future is unpredictable and even the best model can prove faulty in the event of sudden changes. This work therefore considers that working with Influence Diagrams for cognitive mapping in a group setting at Google’s YouTube Customer Service in the south of India to re-construct and solve the specific problem situations discussed above, seems to be a valid and applicable method to educate people in decision making in situations of causality over time and volatility with reference to the online entertainment industry and it may be used as a starting point by future researchers to deal with similar training situations in the online entertainment industry and in other industries too.

Acknowledgements

The authors of this study would like to thank Google’s YouTube Customer Service team in Hyderabad, India for supporting this research with valuable information and for participating in the team workshops and interviews. The results of this work could be beneficial to academia, Google, and other organizations for exploring the possibilities of enhancements in implementing strategy.

5. References

1. Abuabara, A, and Paucar-Caceres, A., (2021) “Surveying applications of Strategic Options Development and Analysis (SODA) from 1989 to 2018”. *European Journal of Operational Research*, Vol.292, Issue 3, pp.1051-1065. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejor.2020.11.032>.
2. Al-Diban, S., (2008). “Progress in the diagnosis of mental models”. In D. Ifenthaler, P. Pirnay-Dummer, and J. M. Spector (Eds.), *Understanding models for learning and instruction: Essays in honor of Norbert M. Seel*, New York: Springer, (pp. 81–102). DOI: https://doi.org/10.1007/978-0-387-76898-4_5.
3. Alon, L, Baruch, A.F, and Nachmais R, (2020), “Towards a Typology of Personal Information Management Behavior: Exploring and Defining People’s Interactions with Personal Information”, *Aslib Journal of Information Management*, 72(6): 156-172. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1145/2556288.2557023>.
4. Avison, D. E., & Fitzgerald, G. (1995). *Information Systems Development: Methodologies, Techniques and Tools* (Second ed.). Glasgow, Great Britain: McGraw-Hill International. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1007/978-0-387-35080-6_17.
5. Baddeley, A. D. (1992). “Working memory”. *Science*, Vol.255, pp. 556 - 559. DOI: <https://www.science.org/doi/10.1126/science.1736359>.

6. Boland, R. J., and R. V. Tenkasi, (1995) "Perspective Making and Perspective Taking in Communities of Knowing," *Organization Science*, Vol.6, Issue 4: pp.350 - 372. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1287/orsc.6.4.350>.
7. Buzan, T. (1993) *The Mind Map Book*. London: BBC Books. Available at: <https://www.scribd.com/doc/309517471/The-Mind-Map-Book>.
8. Cabanas, R., Antonucci, A., Cano, A, Gomez-Olmedo, M (2017) "Evaluating Interval-valued influence diagrams", *International Journal of Approximate Reasoning*, Vol.80, pp.393-411. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijar.2016.05.004>.
9. Checkland, P. (1981) *Systems Thinking, Systems Practice*, Chichester: John Wiley & Sons. DOI: [https://doi.org/10.1002/1099-1743\(200011\)17:1+<::AID-SRES384>3.0.CO;2-N](https://doi.org/10.1002/1099-1743(200011)17:1+<::AID-SRES384>3.0.CO;2-N).
10. Checkland, P. (2001). "Soft System Methodology". In Rosenhead, J., Mingers J. (eds). *Rational Analysis for a Problematic World*. Chichester: John Wiley and Sons. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4614-1972-3_14.
11. Checkland, P., & Poulter, J. (2006). *Learning for action: a short definitive account of soft systems methodology and its use, for practitioners, teachers and students*. London: John Wiley and Sons Ltd. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4614-1972-3_14.
12. Checkland, P., & Winter, M. (2006). "Process and content: Two ways of using SSM". *Journal of the Operational Research Society*, 57, 1435–1441. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1057/palgrave.jors.2602118>.
13. Checkland, P., and Scholes, J. (1990) *Soft Systems Methodology in Action*, Chichester: John Wiley & Sons. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.2307/254201>.
14. Checkland, P., and Holwell, S. (1998). "Action research: Its nature and validity". *Systemic Practice and Action Research*, Volume 11 (Issue 1), pp. 9–21. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1022908820784>.
15. Diffenbach, J., (1982) "Influence Diagrams for Complex Strategic Issues", in *Strategic Management Journal*, Apr. - Jun., 1982, Vol. 3, No. 2, pp. 133-146. Available at: <https://www.jstor.org/stable/2486154>.
16. Eden, C. (1987) "Problem solving or problem finishing?", in Jackson, M. and Keys, P. (eds), *New Direction in Management Sciences*, Gower, Aldershot. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01062248>.
17. Eden, C. (1992). "On The Nature of Cognitive Maps". *Journal of Management Studies*, pp. 261-265. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-6486.1992.tb00664.x>.
18. Eden, C., (2004) "Analyzing cognitive maps to help structure issues or problems", *European Journal of Operational Research*, Vol.159, Issue 3, pp.673-686. DOI: [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0377-2217\(03\)00431-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0377-2217(03)00431-4).
19. Eden, C., and Ackermann, F. (1993) "Evaluating Strategy - its role within the context of strategic control", *Journal of the Operational Research Society*, Vol.44: pp. 853–865. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.2307/2584179>.
20. Eden, C., (1988) "Cognitive Mapping", *European Journal of Operational Research*, Vol.36, pp.1-13. DOI: [https://doi.org/10.1016/0377-2217\(88\)90002-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/0377-2217(88)90002-1).
21. Elsayah, S., Guillaume, J.H., Filatova, T., Rook, J., and Jakeman, A.J., (2025) "A methodology for eliciting, representing, and analyzing stakeholder knowledge for decision making on complex socio-ecological systems: From cognitive maps to agent-based models", *Journal of Environmental Management*, Vol.151, pp. 500-516. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2014.11.028>.
22. Emrahimi, M., (2020) "An Introduction to Soft Systems Methodology", In book: *Applications of Soft Systems Methodology for Organizational Change*, Publisher: IGI. Pp.78-123. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.4018/978-1-7998-4504-1.ch001>.

23. Eseryel, D., Ifenthaler, D., & Ge, X. (2013) "Validation study of a method for assessing complex ill-structured problem solving by using causal representations", *Educational Technology Research & Development*, Vol.61 (Issue 3): pp.443-463. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11423-013-9297-2>.
24. Ferreira, F.A., Santos, S.P., Rodrigues, P.M., and Spahr, R.W., (2014) "How to create indices for bank branch financial performance measurement using MCDA techniques: an illustrative example", *Journal of Business Economics and Management*, Vol.15 (Issue 4): pp. 708-728. DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.3846/16111699.2012.701230>.
25. Ferrell, W. R. (1985) "Combining Individual Judgments", in G. Wright (ed.) *Behavioral Decision Making*, Plenum Press, New York, pp. 111–145. DOI: [https://doi.org/10.1016/0169-2070\(93\)90001-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/0169-2070(93)90001-4).
26. Fiol, C. M., & Huff, A. S. (1992). "Maps for managers: where are we? where do we go from here?", *Journal of Management Studies*, Vol.29, Issue 3: pp.267-285. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-6486.1992.tb00665.x>.
27. Funke, J., (2012). "Complex problem solving". In N. M. Seel (Ed.), *The encyclopedia of the sciences of learning* (Vol. 3, pp. 682–685). New York: Springer. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2017.01153>.
28. Ge, X., Planas, L. G., & Er, N. (2010). "A cognitive support system to scaffold students' problem-based learning in a Web-based learning environment.", *Interdisciplinary Journal of Problem-based Learning*, Vol.4 Issue (1): pp.30–56. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.7771/1541-5015.1093>.
29. Giddens A (1991) *Modernity and Self-Identity*. Stanford University Press, Stanford, CA. <https://www.sup.org/books/title/?id=2660>.
30. Giordano, R. Passarella, G., Uricchio, V.F. and Vurro, M. (2007). "Integrating conflict analysis and consensus reaching in a decision support system for water resource management". *Journal of Environmental Management*: Vol.84, pp.213-228. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2006.05.006>.
31. Goodwin, P., and Wright, G. (2005) *Decision Analysis for Management Judgement*, 2nd ed., Chichester: John Wiley & Sons. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.2307/2584371>
32. Hanafizadeh, P., Mehrabioun M., and Mostasharirad A., (2021) "The Necessary and Sufficient Conditions for the Solution of Soft Systems Methodology", in *Philosophy of Management*, Vol.20: pp.135–166. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40926-020-00149-7>.
33. Hansen, E.A., Shi, J., and Kastrantas, J., (2022) "Strategy Graphs for Influence Diagrams", *Journal of Artificial Intelligence Research*, Vol.75: pp.1177-1221. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1613/jair.1.13865>.
34. Harding, J. (2018). *Qualitative Data Analysis* (2nd ed.). London: SAGE Publications. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.4135/9781526441867>
35. Hodgkinson, G. P., Bown, N. J., Maule, A. J., Glaister, K. W., Pearman, A. D. (1999). "Breaking the Frame: An Analysis of Strategic Cognition and Decision Making Under Uncertainty". *Strategic Management Journal*. Vol.20, Issue 1, pp.977-985. DOI: [https://doi.org/10.1002/\(SICI\)1097-0266\(199910\)20:10<977::AID-SMJ58>3.0.CO;2-X](https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1097-0266(199910)20:10<977::AID-SMJ58>3.0.CO;2-X).
36. Hosseinian-Far, A., and Jahankhani, H., (2015) "Quantitative and Systemic Methods for Modeling Sustainability", in Chapter 5 in *Green Information Technology*, pp.83-92. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-801379-3.00005-X>.
37. Huff, A. S. (Edited). (1990). *Mapping Strategic Thought*. Chichester: John Wiley and Sons, Inc. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.2307/258654>.
38. Huxham, C. and Eden, C. (1990) *Tackling Strategic Problems*, Sage Publications. Available at: <https://strathprints.strath.ac.uk/43598/>.

39. Huysman, M., (2002) "Organizational Learning and Communities of Practice; A Social Constructivist Perspective", Proceedings of the third European Conference on Organizational Knowledge, Learning and Capabilities, Athens. Available at: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/228593202_Organizational_Learning_and_Communities_of_Practice_A_Social_Constructivist_Perspective.
40. Kling R., (1992) "Audiences, narratives, and human values in social studies of technology", Science, Technology, and Human Values. Vol.17, Issue 3: pp.349–365. Available at: DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1177/016224399201700305>.
41. Manzano, A., (2022) "Conducting focus groups in realist evaluation", in Evaluation, Vol. 28(4): 406-425. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1177/135638902211246>
42. Marchant, T. (1999). "Cognitive maps and fuzzy implications". European Journal of Operational Research: Vol.114, pp.626-637. DOI: [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0377-2217\(98\)00133-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0377-2217(98)00133-7).
43. Monk, A., & Howard, S. (1998). "The Rich Picture: A tool for reasoning about work context". Interactions, Vol.5, Issue 2: pp.21-30. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1145/274430.274434>.
44. Nadkarni, S. and Shenoy, P.P. (2004). "A causal mapping approach to constructing Bayesian networks". Decision Support System: Vol.38, pp.259-281. DOI: [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0167-9236\(03\)00095-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0167-9236(03)00095-2).
45. Oliveira. F.S., (2020) "A Causal Map Analysis of Supply Chain Decentralization", Journal of Computer Information Systems, Vol.62, Issue 2: pp.1-11. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1080/08874417.2020.1768606>.
46. Orlikowski, W. J. (2000). "Using Technology and Constituting Structures: A Practice Lens for Studying Technology in Organizations". Organizational Science, Vol. 11, Issue 4: pp.174-207. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1287/orsc.11.4.404.14600>.
47. Proches, C.G., and Bodhanya, A., (2015) "An Application of Soft Systems Methodology in the Sugar Industry", The International Journal of Qualitative Methods, Vol.14: pp.318-333. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1177/1609406915014001>.
48. Reid J. I., Gray D. I., Kelly T. C., Kemp E. A. (1999). "An application of SSM in the on-farm labour situation in the New Zealand dairy industry". Systems Research and Behavioural Science, Vol.16, pp.341–350. DOI: [https://doi.org/10.1002/\(SICI\)1099-1743\(199907/08\)16:4<341::AID-SRES249>3.0.CO;2-P](https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1099-1743(199907/08)16:4<341::AID-SRES249>3.0.CO;2-P).
49. Rosalie, D., and Paradas, A., (2022) "Implementation of CSR Practices by SME Owner Managers: A Cognitive Mapping Approach", Revue Internationale de Psychosociologie et de Gestion des Comportements Organisationnels, Vol. XXVIII, Issue 74, pp:27-47. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.3917/rips1.074.0027>.
50. Rosenhead, J., and Mingers, J. (2001). "A New Paradigm for Analysis". In Rosenhead, J., Mingers J. (eds). 2001. Rational Analysis for a Problematic World. Chichester: John Wiley and sons. Available at: https://www.academia.edu/14558111/Rational_analysis_for_a_problematic_world_revisited_problem_structuring_methods_for_complexity_uncertainty_and_conflict_2nd_edition.
51. Schneider, W., & Shiffrin, R. M. (1977). "Controlled and automatic human information processing: I. Detection, search, and attention". Psychological Review, Vol.84, pp.1-66. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1037/0033-295X.84.1.1>.
52. Seel. M., N., (2006) "Mental Models in Learning Situations", Advances in Psychology, Vol.138 (Issue 1): pp.85-107. DOI: [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0166-4115\(06\)80028-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0166-4115(06)80028-2).
53. Siau, K., & Tan, X. (2005a). "Improving the quality of conceptual modeling using cognitive mapping techniques". Data and Knowledge Engineering, Volume 55, Issue 3: pp.343-365. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.datak.2004.12.006>.

54. Siau, K., & Tan, X. (2005b). "Technical Communication in Information Systems Development: The Use of Cognitive Mapping". *IEEE Transactions on Professional Communication*, Vol.48, Issue 3, pp.269-284. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1109/TPC.2005.853933>.
55. Smart, A. Dudas, A., (2007) "Developing a decision-making framework for implementing purchasing synergy: A case study", *International Journal of Physical Distribution and Logistics Management*, Vol.37 (Issue 1): pp. 64-89. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1108/09600030710723327>.
56. Smith J.E, Holtzman S., and Matheson J.E., (1993) "Structuring Conditional Relationships in Influence Diagrams", *Operations Research*, Vol.41, N.2 March-April 1993, pp.280-297. Available at: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/171778>.
57. Soetanto R., and Goodier, C.I., (2013). "Building future scenarios using cognitive mapping", *Lecture Notes: School of Civil and Building Engineering*, Loughborough University, 4 Leicestershire, LE11 3TU, UK. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1080/17445647.2013.770997>.
58. Soesanto, H., (2021) "Innovation Management System Model of State Civil Services Using Soft System Methodology Approach", in *JURNAL NATAPRAJA:Kajian Ilmu Administrasi Negara*, Vol.9 (Issue, 1): pp.77-89. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21831/jnp.v9vi.39709>.
59. Sørensen, L., and Vidal, R.V., (2002) "Soft methods in primary schools: Focusing on IT strategies", *International Transactions in Operational Research*, Vol.9 (Issue 2): pp. 141-152. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1111/1475-3995.00346>.
60. Sutrisna, M., and Barrett, P., (2007) "Applying rich picture diagrams to model case studies of construction projects", *Engineering Construction & Architectural Management*, Vol.14, Issue 2: pp.164 – 179. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1108/09699980710731281>.
61. Swan, J. (1997). "Using cognitive mapping in management research: Decisions about technical innovation". *British Journal of Management*, Vol.8, Issue 2: pp.183-198. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-8551.0050>.
62. Swan, J.A., (1995) "Exploring knowledge and cognitions in decisions about technological innovation: Mapping managerial cognitions", *Human Relations*, Vol.48 (Issue 11): pp. 1241-1270. DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/001872679504801101>.
63. Sweatman, W.L., Wake, G.C., and Cooper, H., (2011) "Using Influence Diagrams as a tool for Decision Making", *Austral. Mathematical Soc*, pp.M147-M170. Available at: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/285999011_Using_influence_diagrams_as_a_tool_for_decision_making.
64. Tolman, E. C. (1948). "Cognitive Maps in Rats and Man". *The Psychological Review*, Vol.5, Issue 4, pp.189-208. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1037/h0061626>.
65. Tröger, K, M.A., Lelea, Hensel, O., and Kaufmann, B., (2018) "Embracing the complexity: Surfacing problem situations with multiple actors of the pineapple value chain in Uganda", *Systemic Practice and Action Research*, Vol.31 (Issue 5), pp. 557-580. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11213-018-9443-1>.
66. Upham, P., and Perez, J.G., (2015) "A cognitive mapping approach to understanding public objection to energy infrastructure: The case of wind power in Galicia, Spain", *Renewable Energy*, Vol.83, pp. 587-596. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.renene.2015.05.009>.
67. Wenger, E. (2000) "Communities of Practice: the key to knowledge strategy", In: E. Lesser (ed) *Knowledge and Communities*, London: Butterworth Heinemann, pp. 3-20. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1023947624004>.

68. Xirogiannis, G., Stefanou, G. and Glykas, M. (2004). "A fuzzy cognitive map approach to support urban design". *Expert Systems with Applications*: Vol.26, pp.257-268. DOI: [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0957-4174\(03\)00140-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0957-4174(03)00140-4).
69. Yusnaini, Y, Hakiki, A., and Wahyudi, W., (2023) "Cognitive Mapping and Framing Bias on Decision Making", *European Journal of Business and Management Research*, Vol 8 | Issue 3, pp.227-232. DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.24018/ejbmr.2023.8.3.1986>.
70. Yusnaini, Y, Hakiki, A., and Maryati, S., (2023) "Cognitive Orientation and Incentive System on Team Performance: Experimental Studies in Accounting Decision Making", in *International Journal of Management Studies and Social Science Research*, Vol.5, (Issue 2): pp.281-289. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.56293/IJMSSSR.2022.4597>.