

<https://doi.org/10.48047/AFJBS.6.15.2024.6227-6237>



African Journal of Biological Sciences

Journal homepage: <http://www.afjbs.com>



Research Paper

Open Access

VICTIMIZATION OF EXCESSIVE PROFILE CELEBRITIES: THE IMPACT OF MEDIA TRIALS

Dr.Santhana Karthikeyan¹ V , Dr.S.Chandrachud² , Dr.S.Saravanan³ & Dr.M.Muruganathan⁴

¹ Associate Professor, Department of Psychology, Presidency College, Chennai- 600 005

¹ Professor & Head, Department of Economics, Vels Institute of Science Technology & Advanced Studies, Chennai, Tamil Nadu

¹ Assistant Professor & Head, Department of Economics, Vels Institute of Science Technology & Advanced Studies, Chennai, Tamil Nadu.

¹ Assistant Professor & Head, Department of Economics, Vels Institute of Science Technology & Advanced Studies, Chennai, Tamil Nadu

Volume 6, Issue 15, Sep 2024

Received: 15 July 2024

Accepted: 25 Aug 2024

Published: 05 Sep 2024

[doi: 10.48047/AFJBS.6.15.2024.6227-6237](https://doi.org/10.48047/AFJBS.6.15.2024.6227-6237)

ABSTRACT

'Media Trial' normally refers to a exercise where the media starts offevolved a separate investigation and forms a public opinion against the accused earlier than the real trial commences. in this manner, it prejudices the trial main to infringement of the rights of the accused. hence, the accused, who ought to be considered harmless till tested guilty, is now presumed guilty, violating his fundamental rights. the topic 'Media Trial' has been discussed with the aid of civil rights activists, constitutional legal professionals, judges, and academicians almost each day nowadays. With the upward push within the net and social media customers, the quantity of exposure any crime or suspect or accused gets in the media has reached alarming proportions. Innocents may be condemned for no cause, or those who are responsible won't get a honest trial and grow to be getting a higher sentence than what they deserve. the fact that the media is considered the fourth pillar of democracy, and below Article 19(1)(a) of the charter of India, it has a right to freedom of speech; however, the media can not be allowed to head past its area under the garb of freedom of speech and expression to the extent of prejudicing the trial. consequently, when the rights of equal weight conflict, courts ought to evolve balancing strategies or measures based on re-calibration under which both the rights are given equal area inside the constitutional scheme. Envisage for a second a state of affairs in which in an individual is accused of having devoted an offense. there is a whole dismiss for the statistics of the case. problems aren't allowed to be raised, arguments which can be not often advanced in court, and manner of law that doesn't exist.

Key Words: Media Trials, Public, Victim and Special Court

Introduction

'Media Trial' normally refers to a exercise where the media starts offevolved a separate investigation and forms a public opinion against the accused earlier than the real trial commences. in this manner, it prejudices the trial main to infringement of the rights of the accused. hence, the accused, who ought to be considered harmless till tested guilty, is now presumed guilty, violating his fundamental rights. the topic 'Media Trial' has been discussed with the aid of civil rights activists, constitutional legal professionals, judges, and academicians almost each day nowadays. With the upward push within the net and social media customers, the quantity of exposure any crime or suspect or accused gets in the media has reached alarming proportions. Innocents may be condemned for no cause, or those who are responsible won't get a honest trial and grow to be getting a higher sentence than what they deserve.

Envisage for a second a state of affairs in which in an individual is accused of having devoted an offense. there is a whole dismiss for the statistics of the case. problems aren't allowed to be raised, arguments which can be not often advanced in court, and manner of law that doesn't exist. The best element that prevails is the purpose of the accused, that's presumed to be guilty. In this example, the pursuit of conviction obscures the look for justice completely. One may accept as true with that this type of situation could by no means arise in a democracy wherein the guideline of law is the splendid law of the land, specifically in India, which has the sector's maximum potent apex court. regrettably, with the Media assuming the role of the Courts of regulation, this example has end up modern-day harsh truth "From fanciful words to effective speeches, it can enhance and denigrate the belief of a person simultaneously." Trial by the media, one of the Media's uglier multidimensional facets, has come to be an regular phenomenon nowadays. it is the process of maintaining an accused individual responsible of the crime before the courtroom offers its verdict.

The giant circulation and booklet of content material portray that person as responsible and worthy of punishment even though the court complaints are ongoing. The Fourth property can bully their manner into all and sundry's life and be voyeuristic about it. they can factor fingers at every body and solid aspersions on any group on the pretext of the fundamental right granted to them. each constitutional and statutory proper, which includes the privateness of people, has been trampled. the issue reaches its height whilst there is substantial coverage of the topics which are sub judice and publishing of opinion and records that patently prejudices the parties' interests in a pending case earlier than a court. The group of the judiciary is capable of conducting a honest trial, and trials via media ought to be avoided, otherwise it would cause interference with the paintings of the judiciary. Trial via Media is a Contempt of court docket and desires to be punished. The Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 defines 'contempt' as civil and crook contempt. criminal contempt has been described via segment 2(c) of the Act. in keeping with this phase, book of any be counted, scandalizing or decreasing the court's authority, prejudice or interference with the due

direction of any judicial intending, obstruction/interference with the administration of justice would amount to contempt of court docket.

The two hundredth document of the regulation fee of India made some hints to reduce the practice of media trials. The commission has recommended prohibiting publication of whatever prejudicial towards the accused from the time of his arrest. It also recommended that the excessive Courts be empowered to delay ebook or telecast in criminal cases. The document referred to that currently, under phase 3(2) of the Contempt of court docket Act, 1971, such courses might be contempt only after the filing of the rate sheet. The fee has advised that the start line of an ordeal should be from the 'time of arrest' of an accused and not from the 'time of submitting the charge sheet'. inside the opinion of the fee, such an amendment could save you the media from prejudging or prejudicing the case. The seventeenth law fee also made guidelines to the government to enact a regulation to prevent the media from reporting something prejudicial to the rights of the accused in crook instances from the time of arrest, at some point of the investigation, and trial. The literature at the difficulty indicates that trial by using media is a dynamic technique through which the events are uncovered to the public opinion where they're condemned without being heard. This studies attempts to research the effect of such trials by using the media that is frequently reduced to a public spectacle. It seeks to shed light on the surroundings of accused shaming created by way of the media that ridicules the tenets of the freedom afforded to them as an institution.

Objectives of the Examine

1. To take a look at the role performed with the aid of the media in a democracy.
2. To look at the evolution of freedom of the press in England, united states, and India.
3. To analyze the role of investigative journalism in criminal trials and public opinion of the case.

Research Method

The studies method used in this look at is the doctrinal approach. The take a look at would use diverse secondary sources including books, journals, newspaper articles, on line assets, studies articles, statutes, conventions, and so on., which can be available relating to the concerned subject matter. The researcher will even talk to various statutory legal guidelines and the regulation fee document of India approximately the subject to finish this observe.

position of media in a Democracy

Democracy method 'government of the human beings, for the humans, and via the people.' but, a actual democracy envisages plenty extra than the keeping of periodic elections or a representative government. It denotes a social country wherein all the human beings have same rights with out hereditary or arbitrary distinction of reputation or privilege. A certainly vibrant democracy permits the people to create and take part in a large variety of sports such

as unbiased exertions unions, nongovernmental companies, and impartial media, all of which inspire political and social participation. A democracy generally entails public participation and three robust pillars of the judiciary, executive, and legislature. but, media emerged as the fourth pillar with a rise in its strength to attain each corner of the nation. Media and democracy are so much interrelated that one is incomplete with out the opposite. A sturdy media is an imperative feature of a cutting-edge democracy because it plays a crucial function of a conscious keeper, a watchdog of the institutions of the kingdom, and endeavors to attend to the wrongs in our framework by using bringing them out into the open, hoping for a correction.

Like all of the other fundamental freedoms supplied with the aid of the charter, the liberty of the click is also no longer absolute and need to be exercised with affordable caution. With its increasing energy and importance in a democracy, the want for responsibility and professionalism in reporting can't be not noted. presently, the media can mold society's opinion and alternate how humans understand numerous events. The growing role of the media in these days's globalized and tech-savvy world can be rightly placed in the words of J. found out Hand of the U.S. supreme courtroom while he stated, "The hand that rules the click, the radio, the screen, and the some distance-spread mag, policies the country." The function of media in a democracy is to sell transparency and duty, and there is no question that it plays this position eloquently. In India, the press has unearthed many scams just like the 2G rip-off, Commonwealth games scam, and Harshad Mehta inventory marketplace scam, and it must be liked for this. Media additionally performs a pivotal role in divulging corruption inside the machine and bringing out the government's inactivity on many occasions to public note, and in the end, action is taken. however, conflicts arise whilst media transgresses its area and indulges in irresponsible and unethical journalism. Freedom of the click have to be utilized for a public cause in preference to influencing their minds and usurping the judiciary's power.

Concept of Open courtroom in India

The idea of open court, in easy phrases, means retaining the court court cases in public. J. Woolf taken into consideration it as "A precept of the common law that complaints ought to be open to the general public, such as the contents of court documents and public viewing of trials." Article 6(1) of the E.C.H.R. gives that: "within the determination of his civil rights and duties, or any crook rate towards him, each person is entitled to a fair and public hearing inside a reasonable time by means of an impartial and independent tribunal set up by means of regulation. Judgment shall be pronounced publicly, however the press and public may be excluded from all or part of the trial inside the interests of morals, public order, or countrywide safety in a democratic society, wherein the pastimes of juveniles or the protection of the private lifestyles of the events so require, or to the extent strictly necessary within the opinion of the court in special situations, or in which publicity would prejudice the pastimes of justice." The Indian legal device additionally acknowledges the concept of the open court. Article a hundred forty five(4) of the Indian constitution presents that the

judgments of the best court docket of India will be brought handiest in an open courtroom. Order 18 Rule four of the C.%, 1908 also emphasizes the concept of open court docket by declaring that the proof of the witnesses will be taken orally in an open court within the presence and superintendence of the decide or the commissioner appointed by means of the court docket, because the case can be. The equal precept is emphasised in crook regulation as well. The fundamental precept of open courtroom is that management of justice should be open to scrutiny shop in a few super cases. Scott vs. Scott³⁸ is an authoritative commonplace-law choice in this principle. It laid down the proposition that despite the fact that a case is heard in private, the fabric that emerges from it is able to be posted until the courtroom makes an order restricting the release or different reporting. in view that this selection, both statute and the common law within the U.okay. have intervened to regulate the subsequent book rule:

- In court cases relating to kids and those laid low with intellectual incapacity.
- In appreciate of documents produced by way of compulsion of a obligation to reveal (which include in matrimonial financial comfort proceedings).

Most lately, within the U.okay. inside the case of R (mother or father information and Media Ltd) vs. city of Westminster Magistrates' court the problem became whether or not a courtroom should release documents to a journalist after a hearing to make better sense of the proceeding. The court of attraction held that such launch turned into accredited via big connection with the open justice precept.

In Naresh vs. country of Maharastra, J. Bachawat elaborated at the open justice precept: "long ago, Plato determined in his legal guidelines that the citizen have to attend and listen attentively to the trials. In his Philosophy of right, Hegel maintained that judicial proceedings ought to be public because the aim of the court is justice, that's a widespread belonging to all save in excellent instances; the lawsuits of a court docket of justice need to be open to the general public." J. Bachawat turned into of the opinion that open judicial lawsuits are a familiar precept because it enhances public understanding and guarantees the administration of justice; but, exceptions shall be located to protect openness and due route of justice.

The proponents of freedom of the clicking argue based on the open court principle that media can't be confined from reporting court docket proceedings because it guarantees that the judicial method is difficulty to public scrutiny. but, every rule has exceptions, and so does the concept of open courtroom. In certain cases in India, the court can behavior the trial 'in-digital camera', prohibit the media reporting of the instances, and not divulge the identity of the witnesses and accused. The court docket can also restrict the publicity of intending in the interests of justice if it's miles satisfied past an inexpensive doubt, and there are apprehensions that the ends of justice could be defeated if the case is attempted in an open courtroom. If important, the very best court docket and excessive Courts might also invoke its inherent power under Articles 129 and 215 of the charter, respectively. they could restrict

publications of court cases or evidence of a case outside the courtroom by way of the media. consequently, the proper to open justice is not absolute and may be limited in great instances.

Paramount importance in a democracy is constantly given to the freedom of speech, open justice, and right to get admission to courts. however, courtrooms aren't simply an immediately source of statistics for the media. since media acts as a translator among the court and most of the people, while there's an negative apprehension at the presumption of innocence or anything disproportional to a truthful trial, it exemplifies the indiscretion of open justice. as a result, the media has to keep accountability and transparency while reporting. The landmark judgment in Australian Securities and investment commission vs. wealthy laid down certain issues that qualify the precept of open justice – “prematurity, trial by way of media, ambush, deceptive reports, industrial confidentiality” are a number of the qualifications to the principle of open justice so as to keep the right to a truthful trial and to avoid prejudice.

In Reliance Petrochemicals confined vs. proprietors of Indian explicit Newspaper Bombay Pvt. Ltd. the best courtroom limited the clicking from publishing articles in a sub-judice depend, i.e., earlier restraint became ordered with the aid of the courtroom at the ground that it interfered with the administration of justice. The courtroom regarded into the U.S. doctrine of ‘clean and gift risk’ originated in Schnek vs. u.s.. as a consequence, in India postponement order for a brief length is identified as an inherent energy of the courtroom to meet the ends of justice, and such orders could not be held to be violative of freedom of press. while the liberty of speech and expression of the click outweighs the balance of public significance, the media should refrain from any ebook which can preclude the management of justice and truthful trial. consequently, the media needs to strike a balance among reporting the court cases and the want for truthful trial whilst upholding the precept of open justice to reconcile the variations.

‘Media Trial’

The criminal jurisprudence of India rests on a machine in which an accused has the right to a honest trial, and he's presumed to be “harmless until demonstrated guilty.” The right of the accused to a truthful trial and freedom of the clicking are fundamental rights assured by using the charter. however, those constitutional ensures gift one of the maximum crucial conflicts within the management of criminal justice whilst against each other. The hassle involves what is currently known as “media trial” or “prejudicial news reporting.” because of its massive powers, media is going an extended way to record statistics and post interviews of human beings like the witnesses, victim’s loved ones, and contributors of the prison fraternity, which reasons prejudice to the pending criminal lawsuits. Prejudicial news reporting also impacts the belief of the general public at massive due to the fact that media can attain the loads unexpectedly.

In the beyond couple of many years, it's been witnessed that there may be an increasing fashion of reporting of instances related to corruption, rape, homicide, terrorist activities by the media in a way that sensationalizes the news. Trial via media resulted from a phenomenon referred to as 'Media Activism' in which the media, and conversation technologies are used for plenty functions like social and political moves to spread cognizance amongst people and change in society. but, the hassle occurs whilst the media at the same time as exercising its activist function crosses the boundaries within which it's far required to feature. Trial by way of media imposes oblique strain on the judiciary to manage justice to the sufferer in a manner that interferes with the rights of the accused and proceedings of the case. inside the process, the media tends to miss the primary ideas of "guilt beyond affordable doubt" and "innocent until confirmed guilty" which prevails inside the crook justice gadget in India.

Via this procedure, media has now converted itself right into a "Janta Adalat" or "Public court docket" wherein it conducts a parallel investigation, and the essential gap among the 'convict' and 'accused' is easily not noted. because of this, all of the sensitive details relating to the case are openly mentioned, thereby prejudicing the trial pending earlier than the court. Trial by way of media begins tons before the real trial in the courtroom. In subjects relating to investigation, arrest, or bail, the media interferes with the actual court cases of the court docket with the concept of influencing the route of justice. This puts a burden on the trial courtroom, which has a constitutional responsibility to limit the consequences of prejudicial publicity at the case. The argument in want of trial by media is that it ensures the administration of justice. but, in fact it makes the courts' challenge even more tough.

There have been a few instances wherein because of the efforts of media, justice become added. In Jessica Lal homicide case there was a delay in justice as all of the witnesses turned hostile, and the accused Manu Sharma become acquitted. Then the media, via the 'Justice for Jessica' campaign, played a vital position in handing over the justice. further, within the Priyadarshini Mattoo case 'justice for Jessica' marketing campaign prompt the trial of the accused charged with rape and homicide. With the following media intervention, the case investigation became speedy-tracked, and the accused become convicted. alternatively, within the BMW hit and run case the accused turned into added to justice after a news channel performed a sting operation that uncovered the accused's lawyer bribing the witnesses. but, there have additionally been numerous times where the media created a frenzy because of its reporting.

Inside the Arushi Talwar murder case⁴⁸ the media displayed a brazen loss of subject for the law. Media insurance, in this case, raised intense legal concerns relating to violation of privateness, breach of confidentiality, and the defamation of both living and lifeless individuals. Sheena Bora murder case also gave upward push to the arguable element of reporting of trial complaints of the accused as every thing of her lifestyles turned into underneath public scrutiny, which had not anything to do with the case or the general public.

The maximum current incident of media trial within the Sushant Singh Rajput loss of life case is a stark reminder that media trials are unfavorable not best to the accused but additionally to the victim and those associated with them. The supreme courtroom of India found within the case of R.ok. Anand vs. Delhi excessive courtroom that “at some stage in excessive exposure instances, the media is frequently accused of scary an environment of public hysteria corresponding to a lynch mob which now not most effective makes a honest trial impossible but manner that no matter the result of the trial, in public notion the accused is already held responsible and would not be able to stay the relaxation in their lifestyles with out intense public scrutiny.” The justice shipping device in India movements forward in a extended manner. with the aid of that point, if someone who is not guilty is subjected to media trial, then there is no real treatment for that character. some criminal remedies are to be had to the accused against such defamatory feedback, however the harm had already been executed. consequently, there's a terrific obligation on all the courts to defend the character's rights and recognition from an unwarranted trial with the aid of media by being more vigilant and proactive. Trial by way of media ought to no longer be appreciated in a democracy where there's already a ready judicial gadget to conduct the pains in a truthful and just way.

Social Media Trial

In this period of the virtual age, a considerable amount of facts can be accessed and broadcasted through the net within a be counted of seconds. Social media offerings also allow their users to get hold of an immediate reaction, permitting them to percentage statistics quickly and correctly, and it has changed the way how humans engage with each other. Social media has emerge as an integral part of all of us's lifestyles as it is easily handy through clever phones, computers, and other devices. With social media into play, every man or woman can indulge in sharing their opinions without any limit. those critiques or views expressed are at a global portal, wherein it would play an influential position in framing the views of others. The upward push of the internet and the use of social media have posed excessive challenges in the context of the openness of a trial, specially inside the case of crook trials.

Social media trial occurs while the people on the net form public opinion towards the accused primarily based on their thoughts & reviews at the case even before the investigation is whole or the courtroom takes attention of the case. this may be explained as a phenomenon referred to as ‘citizen journalism’ additionally called ‘collaborative journalism’, ‘guerilla journalism’ or ‘road journalism’. It entails the “residents who are non-experts, taking an more and more imperative role within the manner of gathering facts, information reporting, writing, enhancing, booklet, and distribution.” With the appearance of net and social media services, citizen journalism now additionally consists of running a blog, image and video importing, sharing, and on the spot commenting on current activities. The exercise of citizen journalism now not best interferes with the administration of justice however also propagates a fake message to society, and the society begins to form its opinions based on that message as opposed to counting on the judiciary.

Though, the citizen journalism phenomenon has added excessive challenges to conventional journalism, its function, and limitations and has aroused a debate amongst conventional and non-conventional newshounds. indeed, conventional journalism is related to humans who've received education and paintings with established information or media businesses beneath editorial supervision; consequently, they typically report the information accurately and objectively. but, citizen newshounds do no longer receives a commission, lack schooling, and their methods of gathering and disseminating facts are unfiltered and unsystematic. Unregulated use of such statistics may additionally cause serious damage to the administration of justice. The maximum recent instance of social media trial is the case of the loss of life of a well-known Hindi movie actor Sushant Singh Rajput which suggests how social media trials can be detrimental to the rights of the accused. The media reported that the actor's female friend(accused) allegedly abetted the actor's suicide after which, humans had been short to conclude that she was, in reality, guilty. regardless of federal investigative corporations like the C.B.I., E.D., and N.C.B. being involved in the case, she become continuously denounced by using the information channels and people on social media. The insane media insurance around her life and hate campaigns towards her at the microblogging web page 'Twitter' and different social media systems led to her virtual character assassination with none conclusive evidence. despite being remanded to judicial custody based totally on the F.I.R. filed with the aid of the N.C.B., the 'Twitterati' stored branding her because the "witch who drove her boyfriend to suicide."

Social media trials are growing dramatically. A survey by way of the Edelman trust Barometer in 2008 observed that most of the people of americans trust that peer-to-peer reviews are extra honest and have a extra extreme effect on humans than statistics coming from professionals. One could argue in choose of social media guides that mainstream media groups ordinarily recognition on earnings and marketing rather than peer-to-peer digital networks, which sell communicate and interplay. however, it cannot be denied that e-book about the subjudice rely on social media is complicated as it can infringe the privacy and harm the accused's popularity. furthermore, there aren't any empirical studies which could show the efficacy of social media trials. therefore, using social networking sites for posting whatever related to a especially publicized ongoing trial should be restricted or as a minimum regulated for now.

Media Trial & public opinion

Media possesses large strength to mildew or influence public opinion, and with the boom of era, it is now even able to polarizing human beings's minds. The maximum correct example of that is the polarization of Indian politics. Polarization is a dominant subject in Indian politics in latest years because it has led to the formation of the right-wing and left-wing press. there's growing evidence to aid that the media affect individuals' perspectives and political conduct. With such portions of proof, it's miles only natural to assume whether or not this might translate into an impact on political polarization. it might be naive to think that the media has sustained itself as a non-partisan entity, and it would be ironic to say that it

exists with out bias.

Every other way through which media impacts the general public is by using cultivating their mind and opinions. Prof. George Gerbner advanced the 'Cultivation theory'- a sociological and communications framework to look at the lasting consequences of mass media, typically television, on human beings. "in step with this idea, long-term exposure to the media shapes how the customers of such media perceive the world and behavior themselves. The cultivation hypothesis states that the extra tv people watch, the more likely they may be to view reality toward tv's depiction of fact. for many people, the distorted and partial truth portrayed on tv represents what the world is without a doubt like."sixty five Salman Khan's hit and run and blackbuck poaching cases may be considered as an awesome instance to confirm this concept. despite him committing such heinous crimes, the media stored focusing human beings's interest on his charity forum. thru this, the media managed to make humans sympathize with a poacher by using portraying him as a respectable individual whose existence revolved around helping others. sooner or later, this narrative worked in his favor within the courts as nicely.

This idea also forms the very foundation of trial by means of media. Media trials frequently goal the important reasoning competencies of individuals, main them to draw assumptions and inferences primarily based on media publications. inside the past decade, we have visible many instances of media trials in which media goes out of its way to unearth statistics and disseminate it. Such hateful and derogatory programming via mainstream media frequently becomes libel/slander because of the recklessness of journalists. Trial by media can also polarize public opinion in opposition to the functioning of the judicial system by means of terming it as unsuitable or biased towards the accused. This occurred inside the Jessica Lal homicide case. Following a national public outcry towards the acquittal of the accused by way of the trial court, the Delhi excessive court docket took up the case on a fast-tune foundation, ending in his conviction on murder fees. This indicates how media trials can have a large impact at the mind-set of the humans and may spoil their consider within the judiciary (SINGH, 2021).

Conclusion

From this discussion it can be concluded that despite the fact that the media is considered the fourth pillar of democracy, and below Article 19(1)(a) of the charter of India, it has a right to freedom of speech; however, the media can not be allowed to head past its area under the garb of freedom of speech and expression to the extent of prejudicing the trial. consequently, when the rights of equal weight conflict, courts ought to evolve balancing strategies or measures based on re-calibration under which both the rights are given equal area inside the constitutional scheme.

Reference

1. Lee Epstein, 'some thoughts on the examine of Judicial conduct' (2016) 57 William & Mary law evaluation 2017, 2067–sixty nine.

2. Tom S Clark, the limits of Judicial Independence (Cambridge university Press 2010).
3. Richard A Posner, How Judges assume (Harvard college Press 2008).
4. Matthew A Baum and Tim Groeling, 'New Media and the Polarization of yank Political Discourse' (2008) 25 Political verbal exchange 345.
5. Chester Neal Tate and Torbjörn Vallinder (eds), the global enlargement of Judicial energy (big apple university Press 1995).
6. Tom Ginsburg and Mila Versteeg, 'Why Do nations adopt Constitutional evaluation?' (2014) 30 The magazine of law, Economics, and organization 587.
7. Clark (n 7).
8. Georg Vanberg, 'Constitutional Courts in Comparative angle: A Theoretical evaluation' (2015) 18 Annual evaluate of Political technological know-how 167, 177.
9. John A Ferejohn, Frances McCall Rosenbluth and Charles R Shipan, 'Comparative Judicial Politics' (Social science studies community 2004) SSRN Scholarly Paper identification 1154123 accessed 15 April 2018.