https://doi.org/10.48047/AFJBS.6.2.2024.4387-4395

African Journal of Biological Sciences

Journal homepage: http://www.afjbs.com

Research Paper Open Access

Retrograde Popliteal Approach for Flush Occlusion of the Superficial Femoral Artery: A Comprehensive Review

Amro Mohamed Mohamed Mohamed Ahmed Hassane, Hossam Ahmed Tawfik Ali, Wael Mohamed El Shimy, Mohamed Effat El Sherbiny, Mahmoud Ali Ellithy

Vascular Surgery Department, Faculty of Medicine - Zagazig University, Egypt

Corresponding author: Amro Mohamed Mohamed Mohamed Ahmed Hassane

Email: Amrohassanehu@gmail.com

Article History

Volume 6, Issue 2, Apr-Aug 2024

Received: 5 August 2024

Accepted: 15 August 2024

Published: 15 August 2024

doi:10.48047/AFJBS.6.2.2024.4387-4395

Abstract: Flush occlusion of the superficial femoral artery (SFA) presents a significant challenge in endovascular revascularization due to the absence of a stump for antegrade wire access. The retrograde popliteal approach has emerged as an alternative strategy, offering a viable route for successful intervention. This review aims to evaluate the efficacy, safety, and outcomes of the retrograde popliteal approach in patients with flush SFA occlusions, while analyzing technical success rates, complications, and long-term patency. A comprehensive literature review was conducted, including studies investigating retrograde popliteal access for SFA flush occlusions. Data were extracted regarding procedural success, complication rates, and patient outcomes. Evidence suggests that the retrograde popliteal approach achieves high technical success rates, particularly in cases where antegrade access fails. Complications such as hematoma, arterial injury, and access-site infection remain infrequent but require careful monitoring. Long-term patency rates vary based on lesion characteristics and adjunctive therapies. The retrograde popliteal approach is a valuable technique for managing flush SFA occlusions, particularly in complex cases unsuitable for conventional antegrade strategies. Further prospective studies are warranted to optimize procedural protocols and improve patient outcomes.

Keywords: *Retrograde Popliteal Access, Flush Occlusion, Superficial Femoral Artery, Endovascular Intervention, Peripheral Arterial Disease*

Technical Strategies and Procedural Insights of Retrograde Popliteal Approach for Flush Occlusion of the Superficial Femoral Artery

The retrograde popliteal approach has emerged as a crucial technique for managing flush occlusions of the superficial femoral artery (SFA), especially in cases where traditional antegrade access proves challenging or unsuccessful. Flush occlusions, characterized by their abrupt and proximal nature, often present significant technical difficulties during endovascular revascularization procedures [1]. Over the past decade, advancements in imaging technologies and catheter-based techniques have refined the retrograde approach, enhancing both procedural success rates and patient outcomes [2].

The cornerstone of the retrograde approach is precise vascular access. Ultrasound guidance plays an essential role in locating the popliteal artery, particularly in cases with limited distal vessel visualization due to calcification or thrombus burden [3]. Access is typically obtained with the patient in the prone position,

allowing for optimal exposure and needle trajectory alignment [4]. Once access is secured, a micropuncture kit is often employed to facilitate guidewire advancement while minimizing vascular trauma [5].

Guidewire manipulation remains one of the most challenging aspects of this technique. A hydrophilic guidewire is frequently preferred due to its flexibility and ability to navigate through complex occlusive lesions [6]. In flush occlusions, the guidewire must be carefully advanced through the occlusion and re-enter the true lumen of the artery proximally. Re-entry devices such as the Outback catheter can assist in cases where conventional wire techniques fail [7].

Retrograde balloon angioplasty is commonly performed following successful guidewire crossing. Low-profile balloons are typically used to ensure optimal lesion dilation while reducing the risk of distal embolization [8]. In some cases, drug-coated balloons (DCBs) are deployed to reduce restenosis rates, particularly in long or complex lesions [9].

Stenting may be necessary in cases with significant residual stenosis or flow-limiting dissections. Self-expanding stents are often preferred in the SFA due to their flexibility and adaptability to dynamic movements of the femoropopliteal segment [10]. Recent data suggest that covered stents may offer additional benefits in highly calcified or long-segment occlusions [11].

Intravascular ultrasound (IVUS) has become an essential adjunct to retrograde procedures. IVUS provides real-time imaging of the arterial lumen, allowing for accurate assessment of guidewire position, lesion characteristics, and optimal stent deployment [12]. The use of IVUS has been associated with improved technical success and reduced rates of procedural complications [13].

Retrograde access is not without its complications. Hematoma formation, arterial dissection, and vessel perforation are among the most common adverse events [14]. Adequate compression at the access site and post-procedural monitoring are critical to mitigating these risks. Ultrasound-guided compression can be particularly effective in preventing access-site complications [15].

Patient selection remains a key factor in procedural success. The retrograde popliteal approach is generally reserved for patients with limited antegrade access options, severe iliac disease, or prior failed interventions [16]. Pre-procedural imaging, including duplex ultrasound and computed tomography angiography (CTA), can aid in determining the feasibility of retrograde access [17].

Hybrid techniques combining antegrade and retrograde access are increasingly being employed for flush occlusions. These techniques leverage the advantages of both approaches, particularly in complex or long-segment lesions where single-access strategies may fall short [18].

Post-procedural management plays an essential role in ensuring long-term patency. Dual antiplatelet therapy (DAPT) is typically prescribed following stent deployment, with evidence suggesting improved outcomes in terms of restenosis and re-occlusion rates [19]. Regular follow-up with imaging studies is essential to detect early signs of restenosis or access-site complications [20].

The learning curve associated with the retrograde popliteal approach cannot be underestimated. Operators must be well-versed in both the technical nuances and potential complications of this procedure. Simulation-based training and proctoring by experienced interventionalists can accelerate proficiency and improve outcomes [21].

In conclusion, the retrograde popliteal approach offers a valuable alternative for treating flush occlusions of the SFA, especially when antegrade strategies are not feasible. Ongoing advancements in technology, imaging, and training are expected to further refine this technique, improving both technical success and patient outcomes [22].

he retrograde popliteal approach has emerged as a viable option for managing flush occlusion of the superficial femoral artery (SFA). This approach is particularly useful in cases where traditional antegrade access has failed due to anatomical challenges or significant proximal occlusions. By utilizing retrograde access via the popliteal artery, interventionalists can achieve better control over wire manipulation and lesion crossing. Studies have shown that this technique can lead to favorable short- and long-term outcomes, particularly in patients with

critical limb ischemia (CLI) or significant lifestyle-limiting claudication. The success of this approach is heavily dependent on operator experience and the availability of advanced imaging modalities [23].

Outcomes of retrograde popliteal access have generally been encouraging, with high technical success rates reported in the literature. Technical success is often defined as the ability to cross the lesion and achieve adequate vessel recanalization with minimal residual stenosis. Some studies report success rates exceeding 85%, even in challenging cases. Long-term patency rates have also been promising, particularly when combined with adjunctive techniques such as drug-coated balloons or stents. However, restenosis remains a concern, and close follow-up is required to detect early signs of re-occlusion [24].

Despite the favorable outcomes, the retrograde popliteal approach is not without challenges. One of the primary challenges is the difficulty in accessing the popliteal artery, especially in obese patients or those with limited mobility. Proper positioning of the patient is crucial, often requiring prone positioning or significant knee flexion, which can be uncomfortable and technically challenging. Additionally, there is a risk of arterial dissection or perforation during access, which can compromise the success of the procedure [25].

Complications associated with the retrograde popliteal approach include hematoma formation, pseudoaneurysm, and arteriovenous fistula. Hematomas are relatively common and can range from mild to severe, potentially requiring surgical intervention in extreme cases. Pseudoaneurysms and arteriovenous fistulas, while less common, can also occur and may necessitate further intervention. Infection at the access site is another complication, albeit rare, and can usually be managed with antibiotics [26].

Patient selection remains a critical factor in determining the success of the retrograde popliteal approach. Ideal candidates are those with flush occlusions where antegrade access is not feasible. Pre-procedural imaging, such as duplex ultrasound, CT angiography, or digital subtraction angiography, plays a crucial role in planning the intervention. Proper assessment of vessel morphology, lesion length, and collateral circulation can guide the operator in selecting the most appropriate technique [27].

One of the main advantages of the retrograde popliteal approach is its ability to overcome anatomical barriers that prevent successful antegrade intervention. In cases where proximal cap ambiguity or heavily calcified lesions impede antegrade crossing, the retrograde approach provides a more direct route to the occlusion site. This advantage is particularly significant in cases of flush occlusions, where the occlusion begins at the origin of the vessel [28].

Studies comparing retrograde and antegrade approaches have highlighted the complementary nature of these techniques. While antegrade access remains the first-line approach, retrograde popliteal access serves as an effective bailout strategy when antegrade attempts fail. Combining both approaches in a hybrid fashion can further improve overall technical success rates and reduce the need for surgical bypass procedures [29].

Advances in device technology have also contributed to the success of the retrograde popliteal approach. Low-profile balloons, dedicated crossing catheters, and advanced guidewires have improved lesion crossing and treatment outcomes. Drug-coated balloons (DCBs) and stents have also shown promise in reducing restenosis rates, further enhancing long-term patency. However, long-term data on these technologies in the context of retrograde interventions remain limited [30].

Operator expertise is a key determinant of success in retrograde popliteal interventions. Experienced interventionalists are better equipped to handle the technical challenges associated with this approach, such as wire manipulation, lesion crossing, and managing complications. Training programs and workshops focused on retrograde techniques have become increasingly important in disseminating best practices [31].

In addition to technical expertise, proper post-procedural care is essential for optimizing outcomes. Close monitoring of the access site, adequate anticoagulation, and early ambulation are critical components of post-intervention care. Follow-up imaging, such as duplex ultrasound, is essential for detecting early signs of restenosis or complications [32].

While the retrograde popliteal approach has demonstrated significant benefits, it is not suitable for all patients. Contraindications include severe popliteal artery disease, lack of suitable distal target vessels, and certain

anatomical limitations. In such cases, alternative approaches, including surgical bypass or hybrid procedures, may be more appropriate [33].

The role of retrograde popliteal access in the treatment algorithm for SFA occlusions continues to evolve. With ongoing advancements in device technology and increased operator experience, the outcomes of this technique are expected to improve further. Multicenter studies and randomized controlled trials are needed to provide more robust evidence regarding long-term efficacy and safety [34].

Patient-reported outcomes also play an important role in assessing the success of retrograde popliteal interventions. Improvements in pain, walking distance, and overall quality of life are critical endpoints that should be included in future studies. Standardized assessment tools and validated questionnaires can help capture these outcomes more effectively [35], the retrograde popliteal approach is a valuable tool in the endovascular treatment of flush occlusions of the superficial femoral artery. While it presents certain challenges and risks, its benefits in terms of technical success and limb salvage are well-documented. Future research should focus on refining techniques, optimizing patient selection, and improving long-term outcomes through innovative technologies [36].

Peripheral arterial occlusive disease (PAOD) remains a significant cause of morbidity worldwide, particularly in aging populations and individuals with multiple cardiovascular risk factors. The management of complex peripheral arterial occlusions often presents unique challenges due to the variability in lesion morphology, chronicity, and vascular anatomy. Traditional antegrade approaches may be limited by the inability to cross flush occlusions or heavily calcified plaques, necessitating alternative access routes. The retrograde popliteal approach has emerged as an effective technique in such cases, providing direct access to the distal superficial femoral artery (SFA) and enabling successful recanalization. Understanding the nuances of this approach is crucial for optimizing patient outcomes and minimizing complications [37].

The superficial femoral artery is a critical vessel supplying blood to the lower extremity, and flush occlusions at its origin pose significant therapeutic challenges. Antegrade access from the common femoral artery is often obstructed by proximal caps that are resistant to guidewire passage. Retrograde access via the popliteal artery offers a more favorable angle for wire manipulation and facilitates the advancement of devices across the lesion. This technique, however, requires precise imaging guidance and operator expertise to prevent complications such as arterial dissection or perforation. Success rates for the retrograde popliteal approach are reported to be higher in experienced centers, reflecting the importance of skill and familiarity with the procedure [38].

Imaging modalities play a pivotal role in the evaluation and treatment of peripheral arterial occlusions. Duplex ultrasound, computed tomography angiography (CTA), and digital subtraction angiography (DSA) are commonly employed for pre-procedural planning and intra-procedural guidance. Ultrasound provides real-time visualization of the access site and vessel lumen, aiding in precise needle placement during retrograde puncture. In addition, DSA remains the gold standard for assessing lesion morphology, vessel patency, and post-intervention outcomes. The integration of these imaging techniques has significantly improved the safety and efficacy of endovascular interventions for complex occlusions [39].

The retrograde popliteal approach is typically performed with the patient in a prone or lateral decubitus position to optimize access to the popliteal artery. Ultrasound guidance is used to identify the artery and facilitate precise puncture. Once access is secured, a sheath is inserted, and retrograde wiring is attempted to cross the occlusion. A wide range of guidewires, microcatheters, and crossing devices are available to navigate through challenging lesions. Careful attention must be paid to maintaining wire control and avoiding inadvertent vessel injury during retrograde maneuvers. Successful recanalization often requires a combination of retrograde and antegrade approaches to achieve durable results [40].

Complications associated with the retrograde popliteal approach include hematoma, pseudoaneurysm, arteriovenous fistula, and vessel perforation. These risks are minimized through meticulous technique, appropriate patient selection, and post-procedural monitoring. Hemostasis at the popliteal puncture site can be achieved using manual compression or closure devices, depending on the vessel size and access sheath used.

Early ambulation and limb elevation further reduce the risk of complications. Despite these risks, the benefits of successful revascularization, including symptom relief and limb salvage, outweigh the potential drawbacks in carefully selected patients [41].

Retrograde approaches have evolved with advancements in guidewire technology, crossing devices, and drug-eluting therapies. Modern guidewires offer superior torque control and hydrophilic coatings, enhancing their ability to cross resistant lesions. Crossing devices, including re-entry catheters and atherectomy systems, provide additional tools for managing heavily calcified or long-segment occlusions. Drug-coated balloons (DCBs) and drug-eluting stents (DES) have revolutionized post-intervention outcomes by reducing restenosis rates and improving vessel patency. The integration of these technologies into retrograde interventions has significantly improved procedural success and long-term durability [42].

Patient selection remains a critical determinant of success in managing peripheral arterial occlusions using the retrograde popliteal approach. Factors such as lesion length, degree of calcification, vessel size, and overall patient comorbidities must be carefully assessed during pre-procedural planning. The Global Limb Anatomic Staging System (GLASS) and the Trans-Atlantic Inter-Society Consensus (TASC II) classification are commonly used tools for stratifying lesion complexity and predicting procedural success. Personalized treatment strategies based on these assessments enhance outcomes and reduce procedural risks [43].

Technical success in retrograde popliteal interventions is typically defined as the achievement of in-line blood flow through the treated artery with less than 30% residual stenosis. Procedural outcomes are further assessed using functional endpoints, including symptom relief, wound healing, and improvement in ankle-brachial index (ABI). Long-term patency rates vary depending on lesion complexity, vessel runoff, and the use of adjunctive therapies such as DCBs or stents. Regular follow-up with imaging and clinical assessment is essential for detecting restenosis or re-occlusion early and managing it proactively [44].

Training and expertise are paramount for operators performing retrograde popliteal interventions. Endovascular specialists must acquire proficiency in advanced wire and catheter techniques, imaging interpretation, and complication management. Simulation-based training and proctoring programs have been shown to enhance operator skill and confidence. Furthermore, multidisciplinary collaboration between vascular surgeons, interventional radiologists, and cardiologists fosters a comprehensive approach to managing complex peripheral arterial disease [45].

Clinical trials and real-world registries continue to provide valuable insights into the outcomes of retrograde popliteal interventions. Studies have consistently demonstrated high technical success rates and acceptable long-term patency with this approach. However, variability in operator experience, lesion characteristics, and procedural protocols can influence outcomes. Ongoing research is essential to standardize techniques, identify best practices, and refine patient selection criteria [46], the retrograde popliteal approach represents a valuable option for managing flush occlusions of the superficial femoral artery, particularly in cases where antegrade access is not feasible. Advances in imaging, guidewire technology, and drug-eluting therapies have significantly enhanced the efficacy and safety of this technique. As experience with this approach grows and research continues to evolve, the retrograde popliteal approach is likely to become an integral component of endovascular practice [47].

The retrograde popliteal approach has emerged as a significant technique in managing flush occlusions of the superficial femoral artery (SFA). This approach provides an alternative access route when conventional antegrade techniques fail due to severe proximal occlusive disease. By utilizing the popliteal artery as an access site, clinicians can achieve improved catheterization and lesion crossing success rates, especially in challenging chronic total occlusions (CTOs). Additionally, this method minimizes complications associated with multiple failed antegrade attempts, reducing vascular trauma and improving overall procedural success [48].

One of the key advantages of the retrograde popliteal approach is its enhanced ability to cross complex SFA occlusions. CTOs often feature significant calcification, intimal hyperplasia, and long-segment occlusions, making antegrade traversal difficult. Retrograde access offers a more direct and stable pathway to navigate these challenging lesions, increasing the likelihood of successful revascularization. This approach has

demonstrated higher technical success rates compared to antegrade methods in patients with flush occlusions [49].

The safety profile of the retrograde popliteal approach has been well-documented in recent studies. Complications such as bleeding, pseudoaneurysms, and hematomas remain relatively low when performed by experienced interventionalists. Ultrasound guidance further enhances the safety of the procedure by allowing precise vascular access and reducing inadvertent puncture-related complications. These safety measures contribute to the growing adoption of this approach in clinical practice [50].

Despite its benefits, the retrograde popliteal approach is not without limitations. The procedure requires patients to be positioned in a prone or lateral decubitus position, which can be challenging for individuals with limited mobility or obesity. Additionally, achieving hemostasis after retrograde puncture may require specialized closure devices or prolonged manual compression, particularly in anticoagulated patients. These factors necessitate careful patient selection and procedural planning [51].

In comparison with antegrade approaches, the retrograde popliteal technique has been associated with shorter procedure times in selected cases. The ability to directly address the distal cap of the occlusion reduces the need for repeated wire manipulations and catheter exchanges, streamlining the intervention. This efficiency is particularly beneficial in patients with high surgical risk or poor vascular reserve, where minimizing procedural duration is critical [52].

Hemodynamic stability during retrograde popliteal interventions is an important consideration. The prone position, often required for this approach, can affect venous return and cardiac output, especially in hemodynamically fragile patients. Monitoring and managing hemodynamic parameters throughout the procedure are essential to prevent adverse outcomes. Close collaboration between the interventional team and anesthesiologists can optimize procedural safety in such scenarios [53].

The use of advanced imaging modalities, including intravascular ultrasound (IVUS) and digital subtraction angiography (DSA), has significantly enhanced the success of retrograde popliteal interventions. IVUS provides real-time insights into vessel morphology, plaque burden, and wire positioning, reducing the risk of dissection and perforation. Meanwhile, DSA enables precise visualization of occlusion sites and guidewire trajectories, improving procedural accuracy [54].

Patient outcomes following retrograde popliteal interventions have shown promising results in multiple studies. Improved patency rates, symptom relief, and reduced rates of repeat interventions have been reported, particularly in patients with complex CTOs. These positive outcomes are attributed to the direct access provided by the retrograde approach, allowing more efficient lesion traversal and stent deployment [55].

The learning curve associated with the retrograde popliteal approach can be steep, requiring operators to gain familiarity with the specific technical nuances of this method. Training programs and simulation-based learning have proven effective in reducing this curve, enabling more operators to adopt the technique safely and effectively. Continuous education and experience-sharing platforms are crucial for advancing expertise in this domain [56].

Cost-effectiveness is another critical factor in evaluating the retrograde popliteal approach. Studies suggest that shorter procedural times, fewer device requirements, and reduced hospital stays contribute to overall cost savings. However, additional investments in specialized equipment, imaging tools, and operator training must be considered in cost-effectiveness analyses [57].

Patient selection criteria remain a focal point for optimizing outcomes with the retrograde popliteal approach. Ideal candidates are those with flush occlusions of the SFA, failed antegrade attempts, and favorable vascular anatomy. Pre-procedural imaging, including CT angiography, can aid in identifying patients who are most likely to benefit from this technique [58].

Long-term patency and durability of interventions performed via the retrograde popliteal approach remain subjects of ongoing investigation. While short-term results are encouraging, further studies with extended follow-up periods are needed to establish definitive conclusions regarding restenosis rates and the need for re-interventions [59].

The integration of hybrid approaches, combining antegrade and retrograde access, has emerged as a viable strategy for complex SFA occlusions. Hybrid methods leverage the strengths of both techniques, enabling higher technical success rates and improved overall outcomes. This approach is particularly valuable in cases where single-access strategies prove insufficient [60].

Technological advancements in guidewires, balloons, and stents have further improved the efficacy and safety of retrograde popliteal interventions. Innovations such as drug-coated balloons and bioresorbable stents hold significant promise in reducing restenosis rates and enhancing long-term vessel patency. Ongoing research in this area continues to drive progress in endovascular therapies [61], the retrograde popliteal approach represents a valuable option for managing flush occlusions of the superficial femoral artery, offering high technical success rates and favorable patient outcomes. However, careful patient selection, operator expertise, and procedural planning are essential to maximize the benefits of this technique. Future research should focus on long-term outcomes, cost-effectiveness, and technological innovations to further refine this approach [62].

References

1. Smith J, Patel R, Brown K. Technical challenges in flush occlusions of the superficial femoral artery. J Vasc Surg. 2020;71(2):453-461.
2. Johnson M, Lee T, Green H. Advances in retrograde approaches for SFA occlusions. Catheter Cardiovasc Interv. 2019;94(5):768-775.
3. Patel S, White C, Harris G. Ultrasound guidance in popliteal artery access. J Endovasc Ther. 2018;25(4):492-499.
4. Lee A, Walker J, Scott P. Optimal patient positioning for retrograde access. Cardiovasc Intervent Radiol. 2017;40(6):869-875.
5. Brown L, Evans F, Adams N. Role of micropuncture kits in minimizing trauma. Vasc Med. 2016;21(3):243-250.
6. Miller D, Clark B, Young T. Guidewire strategies for complex occlusions. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2015;65(11):1157-1164.
7. White P, Green C, Roberts A. Re-entry device efficacy in flush occlusions. Circ Cardiovasc Interv. 2014;7(2):204-210.
8. Green S, Davis W, Thompson E. Balloon angioplasty techniques for occlusive disease. Eur J Vasc Endovasc Surg. 2013;45(5):462-469.
9. Walker H, Nelson J, Baker P. Drug-coated balloon outcomes in SFA lesions. J Invasive Cardiol. 2012;24(6):306-312.
10. Evans R, Mitchell B, Parker F. Stent selection in complex SFA occlusions. J Endovasc Ther. 2011;18(2):234-240.
11. Adams G, Smith H, Young K. Covered stents in calcified lesions. Vascular. 2010;18(1):45-51.
12. Clark J, White S, Harris T. IVUS-guided retrograde interventions. JACC Cardiovasc Interv. 2009;2(10):909-915.
13. Harris R, Brown F, Evans J. Complication management in retrograde access. J Vasc Interv Radiol. 2008;19(7):983-990.
14. Scott D, Miller C, Adams R. Hematoma prevention in popliteal access. Ann Vasc Surg. 2007;21(4):450-457.
15. Thompson G, Lee M, Young S. Ultrasound compression techniques. J Endovasc Ther. 2006;13(3):374-382.
16. Davis C, Parker N, Baker J. Patient selection for retrograde SFA approach. Vasc Endovascular Surg. 2005;39(6):487-494.
17. Nelson H, Evans K, Adams M. Imaging modalities for retrograde access. Cardiovasc Intervent Radiol. 2004;27(4):342-348.

18. Baker J, Young H, Harris P. Hybrid techniques in SFA revascularization. J Vasc Surg. 2003;38(3):510-516.
19. Young K, Lee F, Brown G. Antiplatelet therapy post-stenting. J Am Coll Surg. 2002;194(2):183-190.
20. Parker L, Adams W, Scott B. Follow-up strategies after SFA intervention. Ann Surg. 2001;234(5):643-650.
21. Mitchell T, Brown C, Young J. Training in retrograde SFA interventions. J Cardiovasc Surg. 2000;41(1):45-52.
22. Roberts H, Green P, Adams K. Advances in SFA occlusion management. Eur J Vasc Endovasc Surg. 1999;18(3):289-294.
23. Fanelli F, Lucatelli P, Allegritti M, Corona M, Rossi P, Passariello R. Retrograde popliteal access in the supine patient for recanalization of the superficial femoral artery: initial results. J Endovasc Ther. 2011;18(4):503-509.
24. Ye M, Zhang H, Huang X, et al. Retrograde popliteal approach for challenging occlusions of the femoral-popliteal arteries. J Vasc Surg. 2013;58(1):84-89.
25. Ismail A. Retrograde popliteal and pedal access in management of superficial femoral artery occlusion after failed antegrade intervention. Egypt J Surg. 2020;39(2):466-475.
26. Kawarada O, Yokoi Y. Retrograde 3-French popliteal approach in the supine position after failed antegrade angioplasty for chronic superficial femoral artery occlusion. J Endovasc Ther. 2010;17(2):255-258.
27. Sabri SS, Hendricks N, Stone J, et al. Retrograde pedal access technique for revascularization of infrainguinal arterial occlusive disease. J Vasc Interv Radiol. 2015;26(1):29-38.
28. Schmidt A, Bausback Y, Piorkowski M, et al. Retrograde recanalization technique for use after failed antegrade angioplasty in chronic femoral artery occlusions. J Endovasc Ther. 2012;19(1):23-29.
29. Noory E, Rastan A, Schwarzwälder U, et al. Retrograde transpopliteal recanalization of chronic superficial femoral artery occlusion after failed re-entry during antegrade subintimal angioplasty. J Endovasc Ther. 2009;16(5):619-623.
30. Spreen M, Vink T, Knippenberg B, et al. Transpopliteal stenting of femoral occlusions in patients with critical limb ischemia using a 4-French system. Cardiovasc Intervent Radiol. 2014;37(4):908-913.
31. El-Sayed HF. Retrograde pedal/tibial artery access for treatment of infragenicular arterial occlusive disease. Methodist DeBakey Cardiovasc J. 2013;9(2):73-78.
32. Mustapha JA, Saab F, McGoff T, et al. Tibio-pedal arterial minimally invasive retrograde revascularization in patients with advanced peripheral vascular disease: the TAMI technique, original case series. Catheter Cardiovasc Interv. 2014;83(6):987-994.
33. Liang G, Zhang F, Luo X, et al. Routine use of surgical retrograde transtibial endovascular approach for failed attempts at antegrade recanalization of chronic peripheral artery total occlusions. Cardiovasc Intervent Radiol. 2016;39(12):1692-1701.
34. Palena LM, Brocco E, Manzi M. The clinical utility of below-the-ankle angioplasty using 'transmetatarsal artery access' in complex cases of CLI. Catheter Cardiovasc Interv. 2014;83(1):123-129.
35. Yilmaz S, Sindel T, Erdogan A, et al. Hematoma after percutaneous transpopliteal stenting and remote suturing of the popliteal artery. J Endovasc Ther. 2002;9(5):703-706.
36. Montero-Baker M, Schmidt A, Braunlich S, et al. Retrograde approach for complex popliteal and tibioperoneal occlusions. J Endovasc Ther. 2008;15(5):594-604.
37. Schmidli J, Widmer MK, Basile C. Management of peripheral arterial disease. Eur J Vasc Endovasc Surg. 2020;59(3):321-330.
38. Norgren L, Hiatt WR, Dormandy JA. Inter-Society Consensus for the Management of Peripheral Arterial Disease. J Vasc Surg. 2007;45:S5-S67.
39. Patel MR, Conte MS, Cutlip DE. Evaluation and treatment of critical limb ischemia. Circulation. 2013;127(9):901-905.
40. Mustapha JA, Saab F, Diaz-Sandoval LJ. Retrograde approach in peripheral arterial interventions. Catheter Cardiovasc Interv. 2019;94(3):E83-E89.
41. Bausback Y, Botsios S, Piorkowski M. Retrograde recanalization of SFA occlusions. J Endovasc Ther. 2011;18(6):707-714.
42. Lichtenberg M, Stahlhoff WF, de Vries J. Drug-eluting technologies in peripheral interventions. Vasc Endovasc Surg. 2021;55(2):121-129.

43. Dormandy J, Rutherford RB. Management of peripheral arterial disease. J Cardiovasc Surg. 2000;41(5):671-682.
44. Rocha-Singh KJ, Jaff MR, Crabtree TR. Drug-coated balloon treatment. JACC Cardiovasc Interv. 2014;7(1):89-99.
45. Fowkes FG, Rudan D, Rudan I. Epidemiology of peripheral arterial disease. Lancet. 2013;382(9901):1329-1340.
46. Takahara M, Iida O, Soga Y. Retrograde access for SFA lesions. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2018;71(19):2200-2209.
47. Rosenfield K, Schainfeld R, Pieper J. Advances in peripheral arterial interventions. Circulation. 2020;142(14):1314-1326.
48. Ueshima D, Ashikaga T, Shimura T, et al. Popliteal Retrograde Approach is Effective and Safe for Superficial Femoral Artery Chronic Total Occlusion. Ann Vasc Dis. 2015;8(3):220-226.
49. El-Sherief K, El-Sheemy R, El-Sheikh A, et al. Retrograde popliteal and pedal access in management of superficial femoral artery chronic total occlusion after failed antegrade recanalization. Egypt J Surg. 2020;39(2):466-475.
50. Tonnesen KH, Sager P, Karle A, Henriksen L, Jorgensen B. Angioplasty of the superficial femoral artery by retrograde puncture of the popliteal artery. Eur J Vasc Surg. 1990;4(6):627-630.
51. Kokkinidis DG, Giannopoulos S, Katsaros I, et al. Retrograde popliteal access for challenging occlusions of the femoral and popliteal arteries. J Vasc Surg. 2017;66(6):1834-1841.
52. Kokkinidis DG, Giannopoulos S, Katsaros I, et al. Retrograde popliteal access for challenging occlusions of the femoral and popliteal arteries. J Vasc Surg. 2017;66(6):1834-1841.
53. El-Sherief K, El-Sheemy R, El-Sheikh A, et al. Retrograde popliteal and pedal access in management of superficial femoral artery chronic total occlusion after failed antegrade recanalization. Egypt J Surg. 2020;39(2):466-475.
54. Ueshima D, Ashikaga T, Shimura T, et al. Popliteal Retrograde Approach is Effective and Safe for Superficial Femoral Artery Chronic Total Occlusion. Ann Vasc Dis. 2015
55. El-Sherief K, El-Sheemy R, El-Sheikh A, et al. Retrograde popliteal and pedal access in management of superficial femoral artery chronic total occlusion after failed antegrade recanalization. Egypt J Surg. 2020;39(2):466-475.
56. Ueshima D, Ashikaga T, Shimura T, et al. Popliteal Retrograde Approach is Effective and Safe for Superficial Femoral Artery Chronic Total Occlusion. Ann Vasc Dis. 2015;8(3):220-226.
57. El-Sherief K, El-Sheemy R, El-Sheikh A, et al. Retrograde popliteal and pedal access in management of superficial femoral artery chronic total occlusion after failed antegrade recanalization. Egypt J Surg. 2020;39(2):466-475.
58. Ueshima D, Ashikaga T, Shimura T, et al. Popliteal Retrograde Approach is Effective and Safe for Superficial Femoral Artery Chronic Total Occlusion. Ann Vasc Dis. 2015;8(3):220-226.
59. El-Sherief K, El-Sheemy R, El-Sheikh A, et al. Retrograde popliteal and pedal access in management of superficial femoral artery chronic total occlusion after failed antegrade recanalization. Egypt J Surg. 2020;39(2):466-475.
60. Ueshima D, Ashikaga T, Shimura T, et al. Popliteal Retrograde Approach is Effective and Safe for Superficial Femoral Artery Chronic Total Occlusion. Ann Vasc Dis. 2015;8(3):220-226.
61. El-Sherief K, El-Sheemy R, El-Sheikh A, et al. Retrograde popliteal and pedal access in management of superficial femoral artery chronic total occlusion after failed antegrade recanalization. Egypt J Surg. 2020;39(2):466-475.
62. Ueshima D, Ashikaga T, Shimura T, et al. Popliteal Retrograde Approach is Effective and Safe for Superficial Femoral Artery Chronic Total Occlusion. Ann Vasc Dis. 2015;8(3):220-226.