## https://doi.org/10.33472/AFJBS.6.6.2024.6450-6462



# Varietal Response of Trigonellafoenum-Graecum (Fenugreek) Against Rhizobium Meliloti L. Strain's

#### Kalpana Palghadmal

Post-Graduate Department of Botany and Research Centre, Padmashri Vikhe Patil College of Art's Science and Commerce, Pravaranagar, Maharashtra, India-413 713.

#### **Article Info**

Volume 6, Issue 6, June 2024

Received: 27 April 2024

Accepted: 03 June 2024

Published: 29 June 2024

doi: 10.33472/AFJBS.6.6.2024.6450-6462

#### **ABSTRACT:**

Five different localities from Pravara area (Songoan, Kanadgoan, Dadh , Hanumatgoan, Tambhere) were selected for isolation of R.meliloti strains and labeled as R1, R2 R3 R4& R5.the strains were tested for Varietal Response of Trigonellafoenum-graecum (fenugreek) against Rhizobium meliloti L. strain's individual and mixed strain combination in this present investigation it was proved that as per the variety and strain combination the growth parameters i.e. percent germination , root length, shoot length, plant height, number of leaves, and biomass showed variation hence there is a urgent need for further investigation on this topic for increasing yield of farmers to boost their economy.

**Keywords:** Rhizobium melilotiL., Trigonellafoenumgraecum,strains, biomass

© 2024 Kalpana Palghadmal, This is an open access article under the CC BY license (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you giveappropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made

#### 1. Introduction

Rhizobium is a Gram negative bacterium that is motile and in the form of non-sporulating rods found in the soil that fixes atmospheric nitrogen. It is found mostly in the root nodules where it establishes a symbiotic relationship with the roots of leguminous plants and parasponia. It is also known as biological nitrogen fixation where atmospheric or molecular nitrogen is converted into ammonia by an enzyme named nitrogenase. It converts free nitrogen into nitrogenous salts and helps in making it available for the absorption of plants. The reduction of N2 into NH3 requires 6 protons and 6 electrons where 12 molecules of ATP are also involved. The role of nitrogenous compounds in plants is huge as Nitrogen is the constituent element of chlorophyll, cytochromes, alkaloids, and many vitamins. It plays

an important role in different processes like metabolism, reproduction, growth and heredity. Nitrogen is present around 78% in the atmosphere and other forms of nitrogenous compounds include nitrates, nitrites and ammonia. Rhizobium nitrogen fixation is an essential process that takes place biologically and it is the initial stage in the nitrogen cycle. The bacterial species involved in fixing nitrogen include Azotobacter, Cyanobacteria, Anabaena, Nostoc and Rhizobium. Nitrogen fixation also takes place non-biologically where microorganisms are not involved and can be found in the rainy season during lightning.

Trigonellafoenum-graecum plant is also known as methi and used in Ayurvedic medicines for treatment of bronchitis, rheumatoid abscesses the arthritis, or wounds and digestive abnormalities. Fenugreek (Trigonellafoenumgraecum) is an annual plant belongs to the family Leguminosae. It is the famous spices in human food. The seeds and green leaves of fenugreek are used in food as well as in medicinal application that is the old practice of human history. It has been used to increase the flavoring and color, and also modifies the texture of food materials. Seeds of fenugreek spice have medicinal properties such as hypocholesterolemic, lactation aid, antibacterial, gastric stimulant, for anorexia, antidiabetic agent, galactogogue, hepatoprotective effect and anticancer. These beneficial physiological effects including the antidiabetic and hypocholesterolemic effects of fenugreek are mainly attributable to the intrinsic dietary fiber constituent which have promising nutraceutical value (Srinivasan, 2006). It is well known for its fiber, gum, other chemical constituents and volatile contents. Dietary fiber of fenugreek seed is about 25% which changes the texture of food. These days it is used as food stabilizer, adhesive and emulsifying agent due to its high fiber, protein and gum content. The protein of fenugreek is found to be more soluble at alkaline pH (Meghwal and Goswami, 2012). Fenugreek is having beneficial influence on digestion and also has the ability to modify the food. Fenugreek is used as a herb (dried or fresh leaves), spice (seeds), and vegetable (fresh leaves, sprouts, and microgreens). Sotolon is the chemical responsible for the distinctive maple syrup smell of fenugreek.

Therefore in the present investigation efforts were made to investigate Varital Response of Trigonellafoenum-graecum (fenugreek) against Rhizobium meliloti L. strain's to find out the effective strain of Rhizobium meliloti L. & to increase the productivity & yield of local farmers

## 2. Materials and Methods: -

#### A) Collection of plant material &different varieties of Fenugreek

Five different localities (Songoan, Kanadgoan, Dadh ,Hanumatgoan, Tambhere) were selected from pravara area for isolation of meliloti strains and labeled as  $R_1$ ,  $R_2$   $R_3$   $R_4$  &  $R_5$ 

#### **B) Isolation of Rhizobium meliloti L.**

In laboratory root systems were separated and were washed with tap water to remove adhering soil particles. Two to four healthy pinkish root nodules were selected from each root system with the help of sterilized forceps. Selected nodules were surface sterilized with 0.1% mercuric chloride solution for 3 minutes then serially washed with sterilized distilled water two to three times to remove toxic residue of mercuric chloride. The sterilized root nodules were crushed in 5 ml. sterilized distilled water in sterile test tube in order to get "ooze of R. japonicum. 1 ml. suspension was spread over Yeast Extract Mannitol Agar (YEMA) medium. (Tilak, 1993). Inoculated plates were incubated at 25 + 2 °C for 3 to 5 days whitish translucent growth was occurred on medium. After confirmation of their biochemical characters and morphological characteristics these cultures were transferred on pure yeast extract mannitol agars slants and were maintained by transfer and retransfer.

#### Morphology C) Gram Staining

One drop of two days old culture of isolates were used for staining. Each isolate was placed separately and smear was spread on glass slide with the help of another slide. Then slides were warmed on spirit lamp for drying and fixation. The dried smear was stained with iodine for two minutes and then washed with tap water first and then with 95% ethanol again with tap water and were stained with counter stain saffranine for 20-30 seconds and washed with tap water. The prepared slides were observed under oil immersion.



Gram Staining

#### **Capsule staining**

Seven days old liquid culture broths were used for capsule staining. One drop of each isolate was placed separately on glass slide and stained with India ink and smears were prepared these were gently heat on spirit lamp to fix the smear. Smear was stained with crystal violet for one minute then gently washed with tap water and covered with coverslip finally slides were pressed with filter paper until the ink was sepia colour beneath the coverglass and slide was observed under microscope.



Capsule staining

#### Preparation of Liquid culture media

YEMA liquid media without agar powder were made and autoclaved after autoclaving the liquid media cool to maintain the room temperature, then the pour 50 ml in each conical flasks the flask were inoculated with the pure culture and sealed with cotton plugs, & placed for incubation in incubator for 3days.

#### Seed treatment

seed treatment was given to the different varieties of Fenugreek by placing 10 seeds of each variety in the liquid culture broth for overnight, separately in each single strain broth & also

in the Combination of strains i.e.  $R_1+R_2$ ,  $R_3+R_4$ ,  $R_1+R_2+R_3$ ,  $R_3+R_4+R_5$ ,  $R_1+R_3+R_5$ , and distilled water soaked seeds as a control

#### **Growth Parameters**

After five days the count of the germination was taken and the percentage of germination was calculated. After 15 days the measurement of average plant leaves, plant shoot length, plant root length, plant fresh weight, dry weight was recorded

#### 3. Results and Discussion

From the result summarized in table no.1 it was noticed that variation in the percent germination as per the strain & variety. The highest seed germination percent (100%) observed in R<sub>2</sub>, R<sub>4</sub>& R<sub>5</sub> followed by R<sub>4</sub> & R<sub>5</sub> as compare to control in the variety 7 Star. However in the variety Diamond R<sub>2</sub>, R<sub>3</sub>, R<sub>4</sub>& R<sub>5</sub> 100% seed germination noticed, where as in the variety Gulab 100 % seed germination noticed in all five stains as compare to control (60%),in the varietyDoller except R<sub>1</sub> (80%) R<sub>2</sub>, R<sub>3</sub>, R<sub>4</sub>& R<sub>5</sub> showed 100% seed germination. In the variety Lal-cora only the R<sub>4</sub>& R<sub>5</sub> strain showed 100% seed germination followed by R<sub>2</sub> (60%) strain.

From table no.2 it was revealed that variation in the percent germination as per the Mix strain and variety. The highest seed germination percent (100%) observed in  $R_1+R_2$ ,  $R_3+R_4+R_5$ &  $R_1+R_3+R_5$  followed by  $R_3+R_4$  (80%) as compare to control in the variety 7 Star. However in the variety Diamond  $R_1+R_2$  100% seed germination noticed followed by  $R_3+R_4$ ,  $R_1+R_2+R_3$ ,  $R_3+R_4+R_5$  and  $R_1+R_3+R_5$  (80%) where as in the variety Gulab  $R_3+R_4$ ,  $R_1+R_2+R_3$ ,  $R_3+R_4+R_5$ and  $R_1+R_3+R_5$  showed 100% seed germination followed by  $R_1+R_2$  combination stains(60%) as compare to control (80%),in the variety Doller  $R_1+R_2$ ,  $R_3+R_4+R_5$ ,  $R_1+R_3+R_5$  showed 100% seed germination followed by  $R_1+R_2+R_3$  (80%)  $R_2$ ,  $R_3$ ,  $R_4$ &  $R_5$  showed 100% seed germination. In the variety Lal-cora  $R_1+R_2$ ,  $R_1+R_2+R_3$ ,  $R_3+R_4+R_5$ ,  $\& R_1+R_3+R_5$  (80%) . From the result summarized in table no.3 it was noticed that variation in the Shoot and Root length as per the strain & variety. The highest Average Shoot length observed in  $R_1$ ,  $R_2$ ,  $R_3$ &  $R_4$  followed by  $R_5$  as compare to control in the variety 7 Star. However in the variety Diamond The highest Average Shoot length observed in  $R_2$ ,  $R_3$ ,  $R_4$ ,  $R_5$ ,  $R_1$ ,  $R_2$ ,  $R_3$ ,  $R_4$ 

Diamond The highest Average Shoot length observed in R<sub>2</sub>, R<sub>3</sub>, R<sub>4</sub>& R<sub>1</sub> follow by R<sub>5</sub> as compare to control, where as in the variety Gulab The highest Average Shoot length observed in R<sub>1</sub>& R<sub>2</sub> as compare to control, in the varietyDoller except R<sub>2</sub>, R<sub>3</sub>, R<sub>4</sub> showed highest Average Shoot length. In the variety Lal-cora the R<sub>1</sub>, R<sub>4</sub>& R<sub>5</sub> strain showed highest Average Shoot length followed by R<sub>2</sub>, R<sub>3</sub> strain. The highest Average Root length observed in R<sub>5</sub>, R<sub>3</sub> followed by R<sub>1</sub>, R<sub>2</sub>& R<sub>4</sub> as compare to control in the variety 7 Star. However in the variety Diamond The highest Average Root length observed in R<sub>2</sub>, R<sub>4</sub>, follow by R<sub>1</sub>, R<sub>3</sub>& R<sub>5</sub> as compare to control, where as in the variety Gulab The highest Average Root length observed in R<sub>5</sub> as compare to control, in the varietyDoller except R<sub>1</sub>&R<sub>5</sub> showed highest Average Root length. In the variety Lal-cora the R<sub>1</sub>& R<sub>4</sub> strain showed highest Average Root length followed by R<sub>2</sub>, R<sub>3</sub>& R<sub>5</sub> strain.

From the result summarized in table no.4 it was noticed that variation in the Shoot and Root length as per the Mix strain & variety. The highest Average Shoot length observed in  $R_1+R_3+R_5$ ,  $R_3+R_4+R_5$  &  $R_1+R_2+R_3$  followed by  $R_3+R_4$ ,  $R_1+R_2$  as compare to control in the variety 7 Star. However in the variety Diamond The highest Average Shoot length observed in  $R_3+R_4$ ,  $R_3+R_4+R_5$ ,  $R_1+R_3+R_5$  follow by  $R_1+R_2$ ,  $R_1+R_2+R_3$  as compare to control, where as in the variety Gulab The highest Average Shoot length observed in  $R_3+R_4+R_5$  &  $R_1+R_2+R_3$  as compare to control, in the varietyDoller except  $R_1+R_2$ ,  $R_3+R_4+R_5$ ,  $R_1+R_2+R_3$  showed highest Average Shoot length. In the variety Lal-cora the  $R_3+R_4+R_5$ ,  $R_1+R_2+R_3$ ,  $R_1+R_3+R_5$  strain

showed highest Average Shoot length followed by  $R_1+R_2$ ,  $R_3+R_4$  strain. The highest Average Root length observed in  $R_3+R_4+R_5$ , followed by  $R_1+R_2$ ,  $R_3+R_4$ ,  $R_1+R_2+R_3$  as  $R_1+R_3+R_5$  as compare to control in the variety 7 Star. However in the variety Diamond The highest Average Root length observed in  $R_3+R_4$  follow by  $R_1+R_2$ ,  $R_1+R_2+R_3$  as  $R_3+R_4+R_5$ ,  $R_1+R_3+R_5$  as compare to control, where as in the variety Gulab The highest Average Root length observed in  $R_1+R_2+R_3$ ,  $R_3+R_4+R_5$  as compare to control, where as in the variety Gulab The highest Average Root length observed in  $R_1+R_2+R_3$ ,  $R_3+R_4+R_5$  as compare to control, in the varietyDoller except  $R_3+R_4$  showed highest Average Root length. In the variety Lal-cora the  $R_3+R_4+R_5$  strain showed highest Average Root length followed by  $R_1+R_2$ ,  $R_3+R_4$ ,  $R_1+R_2+R_3$  as  $R_1+R_3+R_5$  strain.

From the result summarized in table no.5 it was noticed that variation in the Plant Growth & Biomass as per the strain & variety. The highest Plant Growth observed in R<sub>3</sub>, R<sub>1</sub>& R<sub>5</sub> followed by R<sub>2</sub>, R<sub>4</sub> as compare to control in the variety 7 Star. However in the variety Diamond The highest Plant Growth observed in R<sub>2</sub>& R<sub>4</sub> follow by R<sub>1</sub>, R<sub>3</sub>& R<sub>5</sub> as compare to control, where as in the variety Gulab The highest Plant Growth observed in R<sub>5</sub>, R<sub>1</sub>& R<sub>2</sub> as compare to control, in the varietyDoller except R<sub>1</sub>& R<sub>5</sub> showed highest Plant Growth. In the variety Lal-cora the R<sub>1</sub>, R<sub>4</sub>& R<sub>5</sub> strain showed highest Plant Growth followed by R<sub>2</sub>, R<sub>3</sub> strain. The highest No. of Leaves observed in R<sub>5</sub>, R<sub>4</sub>& R<sub>3</sub> followed by R<sub>1</sub>, R<sub>2</sub> as compare to control in the variety 7 Star. However in the variety Diamond The highest No. of Leaves observed in all strains as compare to control, where as in the variety Gulab The highest No. of Leaves observed in R<sub>5</sub> as compare to control, in the varietyDoller except R<sub>3</sub>& R<sub>5</sub> showed highest No. of Leaves. In the variety Lal-cora the R<sub>5</sub>, R<sub>3</sub>& R<sub>1</sub> strain showed highest No. of Leaves followed by R<sub>2</sub>, R<sub>4</sub> strain. The highest Biomass observed in R<sub>5</sub>, R<sub>4</sub> followed by R<sub>1</sub>, R<sub>2</sub>& R<sub>3</sub> as compare to control in the variety 7 Star. However in the variety Diamond The highest Biomass observed in R<sub>2</sub>, R<sub>3</sub>, follow by R<sub>1</sub>, R<sub>4</sub>& R<sub>5</sub> as compare to control, where as in the variety Gulab The highest Biomass observed in R<sub>3</sub>& R<sub>5</sub> as compare to control, in the varietyDoller except R<sub>5</sub>&R<sub>3</sub> showed highest Biomass. In the variety Lal-cora the R<sub>5</sub>& R<sub>4</sub> strain showed highest Biomass followed by R<sub>1</sub>, R<sub>2</sub>& R<sub>3</sub> strain.

From the result summarized in table no.6 it was noticed that variation in the Plant Growth & Biomass as per the Mix strain & variety. The highest Plant Growth observed in  $R_3+R_4+R_5$ ,  $R_1+R_2+R_3$  &  $R_1+R_3+R_5$  followed by  $R_1+R_2$ ,  $R_3+R_4$  as compare to control in the variety 7 Star. However in the variety Diamond The highest Plant Growth observed in  $R_3+R_4\&$   $R_1+R_2+R_3$ follow by R<sub>1</sub>+R<sub>2</sub>, R<sub>3</sub>+R<sub>4</sub>+R<sub>5</sub>& R<sub>1</sub>+R<sub>3</sub>+R<sub>5</sub> as compare to control, where as in the variety Gulab The highest Plant Growth observed in  $R_3+R_4+R_5\&$   $R_1+R_2+R_3$  as compare to control, in the varietyDoller except R1+R2& R3+R4+R5 showed highest Plant Growth. In the variety Lalcora the  $R_3+R_4+R_5\&$   $R_1+R_2+R_3$  strain showed highest Plant Growth followed by  $R_1+R_2$ ,  $R_3+R_4$ ,  $R_1+R_3+R_5$  strain. The highest No. of Leaves observed in  $R_3+R_4$  followed by  $R_1+R_2$ ,  $R_1+R_2+R_3$ ,  $R_3+R_4+R_5$  &  $R_1+R_3+R_5$  as compare to control in the variety 7 Star. However in the variety Diamond The highest No. of Leaves observed in R<sub>3</sub>+R<sub>4</sub> as compare to control, where as in the variety Gulab The highest No. of Leaves observed in R<sub>3</sub>+R<sub>4</sub> as compare to control, in the varietyDoller except  $R_3+R_4$  showed highest No. of Leaves. In the variety Lal-cora the  $R_3+R_4$  strain showed highest No. of Leaves followed by  $R_1+R_2$ ,  $R_1+R_2+R_3$ ,  $R_3+R_4+R_5$  $R_1+R_3+R_5$  strain. The highest Biomass observed in  $R_3+R_4+R_5$  &  $R_1+R_3+R_5$  followed by  $R_1+R_2$ ,  $R_3+R_4$  &  $R_1+R_2+R_3$  as compare to control in the variety 7 Star. However in the variety Diamond The highest Biomass observed in  $R_1+R_2+R_3\&$   $R_3+R_4+R_5$ , follow by  $R_1+R_2$ ,  $R_3+R_4\&$   $R_1+R_3+R_5$  as compare to control, where as in the variety Gulab The highest Biomass observed in  $R_3+R_4+R_5\&$   $R_3+R_4$  as compare to control, in the varietyDoller except R<sub>3</sub>+R<sub>4</sub>&R<sub>3</sub>+R<sub>4</sub>+R<sub>5</sub> showed highest Biomass. In the variety Lal-cora the R<sub>3</sub>+R<sub>4</sub>+R<sub>5</sub>& R<sub>3</sub>+R<sub>4</sub> strain showed highest Biomass followed by  $R_1+R_2$ ,  $R_1+R_2+R_3$  &  $R_1+R_3+R_5$  strain.

Table No 1: Effect of Rhizobium meliloti L. strain on seed germination of different varietiesof Fenugreekon Germination Percentage (%) after 5 day table

Sr. no.	strains	Variety										
		7 Star			Diamond		Gulab		Doller		Lal-cora	
		No.ofseed placed for germination	No. of Germinated	Germination (%)								
1	R <sub>1</sub>	5	4	80%	4	80%	5	100%	4	80%	2	40%
2	Rz	5	5	100%	5	100%	5	100%	5	100%	3	60%
3	R.	5	4	80%	5	100%	5	100%	5	100%	5	100%
4	R4	5	5	100%	5	100%	5	100%	5	100%	5	100%
5	Rs	5	5	100%	5	100%	5	100%	5	100%	4	80%
6	Control	5	4	80%	3	60%	4	80%	4	80%	4	80%

**Note :-**R<sub>1</sub>-Songoan strain R<sub>2</sub>-Kanadgoan strain R<sub>3</sub>-Dadh strain R<sub>4</sub>-Hanumantgoan strain R<sub>5</sub>-Tambhere strain

Table No 2: Combination effect of strain of Rhizobium meliloti L. strain on seed germination of different varieties of Fenugreek(after 5 days)

Sr.	strains						Variety					
no.			7 Star		Dia	mond	Gu	lab	Do	ller	Lal-	cora
		No.of seed	No. of	Germination								
		placed for	Germinated	(%)								
		germination										
1	R1+R2	5	5	100%	4	80%	3	60%	5	100%	4	80%
2	R <sub>8</sub> +R <sub>4</sub>	5	4	80%	5	100%	5	100%	4	80%	3	60%
3	R1+R2+R8	5	3	60%	4	80%	5	100%	1	20%	4	80%
4	Re+Re+Rs	5	5	100%	4	80%	5	100%	5	100%	4	80%
5	R1+Re+Rs	5	5	100%	4	80%	5	100%	5	100%	3	60%
6	Control	5	4	80%	3	60%	4	80%	4	80%	4	80%

Note :-R<sub>1</sub>-Songoan strain R<sub>2</sub>-Kanadgoan strain R<sub>3</sub>-Dadh strain R<sub>4</sub>-Hanumantgoan strain, R<sub>5</sub>-Tambhere strain

Table No 3: Effect of Rhizobium meliloti L. strain on shoot & Root length of different varieties of Fenugreek

Sr.	strains	Variety																							
no.					7 5	itar							Diar	nond							Gu	lab			
		Le	Shoot ngth(	t cm)	Average shoot length(cm)	Lei	Root ngth(	cm)	Average root length(cm)	Lei	Shoo ngth(	t cm)	Average shoot length(cm)	Le	Root ngth(	cm)	Average root length(cm)	Lei	Shoot ngth(	: cm)	Average shoot length(cm)	Ler	Root ngth(	cm)	Average root length(cm)
1	R <sub>1</sub>	7	7	6	6.6	6	3	2	3.6	5	5	4	4.6	4	4	2	3.3	5	5	6	5.3	2	3	4	3
2	Rz	5	6	5	5.3	3	5	2	3.3	6	5	5	5.3	6	5	3	4.6	5	5	5	5	3	2	3	2.2
3	Rs	6	6	6	6	7	5	2	4.6	4	4	4	4	5	4	2	3.6	4	5	4	4.3	2	4	1	2.3
4	R4	7	5	6	6	4	3	3	3.3	4	5	3	4	4	6	3	4.3	5	5	4	4.6	4	3	1	2.6
5	R <sub>5</sub>	5	4	5	4.6	8	5	4	5.6	4	4	3	3.6	3	3	2	2.6	4	5	5	4.6	3	5	5	4.3
6	Control	4	3	3	3.3	7	1	1	3	6	2	2	3.3	7	1	5	3.5	5	3	2	3.3	3	1	1	1.6

#### **Note :-**R<sub>1</sub>-Songoan strain R<sub>2</sub>-Kanadgoan strain R<sub>3</sub>-Dadh strain R<sub>4</sub>-Hanumantgoan strain R<sub>5</sub>-Tambhere strain

Table no 4: Combination Effect of Rhizobium meliloti L. strain on shoot & Root length of different varieties of Fenugreek after 14 days

		Va	riet	у													
S		Do	oller	•						La	ıl- c	ora					
r.	strai				Avera				Avera				Avera				Avera
n	ns	Sh	oot		ge	Ro	ot		ge	Sh	oot		ge	Ro	ot		ge
0.		Le	ngt	h(	shoot	Le	ngt	h(	root	Le	ngt	h(	shoot	Le	ngtl	h(	root
		cm)			length	cm	l)		length	cn	I)		length	cm	I)		length
					(cm)			(cm)			-	(cm)				(cm)	
1	<b>R</b> 1	7 6 5		5	6	8	7	4	6.3	5	5		5	5	4		4.5
2	$\mathbf{R}_2$	6	6	5	5.6	2	2	2	2	5	5	4	4.6	3	2	2	2.3
3	<b>R</b> 3	6	5	3	4.6	4	4	2	3.3	5	5	3	4.3	6	4	2	4
4	<b>R</b> 4	5	5	5	5	4	2	2	2.6	5	5	5	5	3	6	4	4.3
5	<b>R</b> 5	4	4	4	4	6	4	4	4.6	6	5	5	5.3	5	4	3	4
6	Con	5	3	3	3.4	5	2	1	2.6	5	5	5	5	4	3	3	3.5
	trol																

**Note :-**R<sub>1</sub>-Songoan strain R<sub>2</sub>-Kanadgoan strain

R<sub>3</sub>-Dadh strain

R<sub>4</sub>-Hanumantgoan strain

R<sub>5</sub>-Tambhere strain

Table No 5: Effect of Rhizobium meliloti L. strain on plant growth & biomass of different varieties of Fenugreek

		Varie	ety										
C		7 Sta	r			Dian	nond			Gula	b		
r. n 0	stra ins	Pla nt Hei ght (cm )	No . of lea ves	Fre sh Wei ght (gm )	Dry Weigh t(gm)	Pla nt Hei ght (cm )	No . of lea ves	Fre sh Wei ght (gm )	Dry Weigh t(gm)	Pla nt Hei ght (cm )	No . of lea ves	Fre sh Wei ght (gm )	Dry Weigh t(gm)
1	<b>R</b> 1	10. 2	3	0.25 9	0.026	7.9	3	0.36 1	0.036	8.3	3	0.23 4	0.023
2	$\mathbf{R}_2$	8.6	2	0.39 0	0.037	9.9	3	0.69 0	0.071	8	2	0.76	0.006
3	<b>R</b> <sub>3</sub>	10. 6	3	0.37 1	0.033	7.6	3	0.55 8	0.073	6.6	3	0.48 3	0.052
4	<b>R</b> 4	9.3	3	0.57 7	0.057	8.3	3	0.44 5	0.049	7.2	3	0.33 0	0.037
5	<b>R</b> 5	10.	6	0.72	0.086	6.2	3	0.52	0.055	8.9	6	0.40	0.052

		2		4				0				5	
6	Con trol	7.6	2	0.14 8	0.022	8	2	0.11 5	0.009	6.6	2	0.12 0	0.013

Note :- R<sub>1</sub>-Songoan strain

R<sub>2</sub>-Kanadgoan strain

R<sub>3</sub>-Dadh strain

R<sub>4</sub>-Hanumantgoan strain

R<sub>5</sub>-Tambhere strain

Table No 6: Combination Effect of Rhizobium meliloti L. strain on plant growth & biomass of different varieties of Fenugreek

	-	Variety							
Sr		Doller				Lal- co	ra		
no •	strains R1	Plant Heigh t (cm)	No. of leave s	Fresh Weigh t (gm)	Dry Weight(g m)	Plant Heigh t (cm)	No. of leave s	Fresh Weigh t (gm)	Dry Weight(g m)
1	<b>R</b> 1	12.3	3	0.562	0.055	9.5	3	0.291	0.028
2	<b>R</b> <sub>2</sub>	7.6	3	0.604	0.056	6.9	2	0.420	0.046
3	<b>R</b> 3	7.9	6	0.664	0.067	8.3	4	0.480	0.051
4	<b>R</b> 4	7.6	3	0.479	0.048	9.3	2	0.594	0.056
5	<b>R</b> 5	8.6	6	0.722	0.094	9.3	7	0.836	0.096
6	Contro l	6.9	2	0.280	0.018	8.5	2	0.095	0.022

**Note :-**R<sub>1</sub>-Songoan strain

R<sub>2</sub>-Kanadgoan strain

R<sub>3</sub>-Dadh strain

R<sub>4</sub>-Hanumantgoan strain

R<sub>5</sub>-Tambherestrain

		Varie	ety										
c		7 Sta	r			Dian	nond			Gula	b		
r. n 0 ·	strai ns	Pla nt Hei ght (cm )	No . of lea ves	Fre sh Wei ght (gm )	Dry Weigh t(gm)	Pla nt Hei ght (cm )	No . of lea ves	Fre sh Wei ght (gm )	Dry Weigh t(gm)	Pla nt Hei ght (cm )	No . of lea ves	Fre sh Wei ght (gm )	Dry Weigh t(gm)
1	<b>R</b> <sub>1</sub> + <b>R</b> <sub>2</sub>	8	3	0.6 22	0.057	6.6	3	0.4 77	0.046	6.3	3	0.2 66	0.024
2	<b>R</b> 3+ <b>R</b> 4	8.2	6	0.5 60	0.061	11. 2	6	0.5 05	0.061	6.9	6	0.4 64	0.056
3	<b>R</b> 1+ <b>R</b> 2+ <b>R</b> 3	9	3	0.3 00	0.022	9.3	3	0.5 97	0.048	8.6	3	0.2 80	0.021
4	R3+R 4+R5	10	3	0.7 67	0.065	8.6	3	0.5 54	0.055	10. 6	3	0.5 95	0.052
5	R <sub>1</sub> +R	8.9	3	0.6	0.057	8.2	3	0.5	0.053	6.6	2	0.2	0.038

## Kalpana Palghadmal/Afr.J.Bio.Sc. 6(6) (2024) 6450-6462

	3+ <b>R</b> 5			62				31				04	
6	Cont rol	7.6	2	0.1 48	0.022	8	2	0.1 15	0.009	6.6	2	0.1 20	0.013

		Variety	7						
<b>C</b> -		Doller				Lal- co	ra		
no •	strains	Plant Heigh t (cm)	No. of leave s	Fresh Weigh t (gm)	Dry Weight(g m)	Plant Heigh t (cm)	No. of leave s	Fresh Weigh t (gm)	Dry Weight(g m)
1	$\mathbf{R}_1 + \mathbf{R}_2$	9.3	3	0.464	0.040	6.9	2	0.475	0.040
2	<b>R</b> 3+ <b>R</b> 4	9	6	0.709	0.069	8.2	4	0.783	0.074
3	R1+R2+ R3	8	3	0.110	0.009	9	3	0.301	0.024
4	R3+R4+ R5	9.3	3	0.643	0.058	9.6	3	0.810	0.077
5	R1+R3+ R5	7.6	3	0.509	0.042	7.3	3	0.391	0.040
6	Control	6.9	2	0.280	0.018	8.5	2	0.095	0.022

Note :-R<sub>1</sub>-Songoan strain, R<sub>2</sub>-Kanadgoan strain, R<sub>3</sub>-Dadh strain, R<sub>4</sub>-Hanumantgoan strain, R<sub>5</sub>-Tambhere strain

Note :-R<sub>1</sub>-Songoan strain R<sub>2</sub>-Kanadgoan strain R<sub>3</sub>-Dadh strain R<sub>4</sub>-Hanumantgoan strain R<sub>5</sub>-Tambhere strain



Photo plate no.-1 Collection of plant sample





Photo plate no.-2 Isolation of R. Meliloti strain on YEMA medium



R<sub>1</sub>-Songoan



R<sub>3</sub>-Dadh



R<sub>2</sub>-Kanadgoan



R<sub>4</sub>-Hanumantgoan



R<sub>5</sub>-Tambhere



Pure culture

## Photo plate no.-3 Seed germination & Plant growth



Treated Seeds placed for germination



Seed germination noticed



Seedling growth

## 4. Conclusion

From the present investigation it was proved that the effects of five isolated strains of Rizobiummeliloti effect variously on five selected varieties of fenugreek on % germination and various growth parameters studied in this investigation.

### 5. REFERANCES

- 1. K.V. Palghadmal(2007) have studied Studies on Symbiotic Nitrogen Fixing Bradyrhizobiumjaponicum in Soybean (Glycine max L. (Merrill),
- 2. Datt,Ishwar (2014) Genetic Divergence And Phenotypic Stability Studies In Fenugreek TrigonellaFoenumGraecum L
- 3. Namdas, Samuel B(2023) Studies on trypsin inhibitors from fenugreek seeds Trigonellafoenumgraecum L
- 4. N.K. Singh and D.B. Patel (2014)have studied the Performance of fenugreek bioinoculated with Rhizobium meliloti strains under semi-arid condition,
- 5. SatyadevPrajapati, M. S. Dadke, S. Surekha, S. Godika and V. Prasanna Krishna (2018) have studied the Isolation and Characterization of Rhizobium meliloti Isolated from Rhizosphere Soil and Roots of Fenugreek from Different Locations.
- 6. Singh, Pal, Chandra (2021) mycopathological studies on fungal diseases and seed mycoflora of fenugreek Trigonellafoenumgraecumlinn in BareillyLeela,D (2015) Studies on trigonellafoenumgraecum L
- 7. Aamil M. Zaidi A and Khan M. S. 2004. Fungicidal impact on chickpea Mewrhizobiemsymbious. Journal of Enviroment Science Health #39(5-0):775-90
- 8. Jennifer fox 2007. Pesticides reduce symbiotic efficiency of nitrogen fixing thizobia and host plants (Proceedings of National Academy of Sciences, USA104/24) 10282-7.
- K Palghadmal, AM Bhosale Varietal response of Bradyrhizobiumjaponicum for soybean (Glycine max L. Merril) BIOINFOLET-A Quarterly Journal ..., 2010 Volume : 7, Issue : 3,Page (195-196) Print ISSN : 0973-1431. Online ISSN : 0976-4755
- P Kalpana, AM Bhosale, Effect of Bradyrhizobiumjaponicum strain formulation on root nodule and biomass production in soybean (Glycine max L. Merrill). Advances in Plant Sciences, 2014 - Academy of Plant Sciences
- 11. KalpanaPalghadmal, AM Bhosale Effect of Bradyrhizobiumjaponicum strain
- Formulation on root nodule and biomass production in soybean (Glycine max L. Merrill).,2014 cabidigitallibrary.org
- 12. Ghosh 1 K, Tyagi MK and Dushan JS 2003 Rhizobial compatibility againstlethal doses of pesticides in greengrais with different methods of inoculation Indian Journal of Agricultural Research.2003.(37):120-123
- 13. CueneNFD.andDatAandGoeye M. 2003, Nodulation and nitrogen fixation of field grown common bean (Phaseolus vulgaris) as influenced by fungicide seed treatment. African Journal of Biotechnology 2(7) 198- 201.
- 14. RK Hynes and Can J Microbiol. 2001 Rhizobium population dynamics in thepearhizosphere of rhizobial inoculant strain applied in different formulations Ag Biologicals, Saskatoon, SK, Canada. Russ 47(7):595-600
- Samrudhi R. Sharmal, N. Kameswara Rao2, Trupti S. Gokhalel and Shoaib Ismail2 2012 Isolation and characterization of salt-tolerant rhizobia native to the desert soils of United Arab Emirates Emir. J. Food Agric. 2013. 25 (2):102-108
- 16. PoojaAgrawall and Shruti Shukla2,2016 Diversity of root nodule bacteriafrom leguminous crops Department of Microbiology and Biotechnology. Sagar, India 2016 Volume 68(1): 195-205.

- 17. Appunu, C and Dhar, B., 2006. Phage typing of indigenous Soyabean- Rhizobia relationship of a phage group of strains for their symbiotic and asymbiotic nitrogen fixation. Ind. J. Exp. Biology. (12):1006-1144.
- 18. Agha, S.K., Oad, F.C. and Buriro, U.A., 2004. Yield and yield components of inoculated and uninoculated soybean under varying nitrogen level. Asain J.PI. Sci., 3(3): 370-371.
- 19. Anthraper A and Dubois JD (2003). The effect of NaCl on growth, N2 fixation (acetylene reduction), and percentage total nitrogen in Leucaenaleucocephala (Leguminosae) Var. K-8. Am J Bot.90(5):683-Q92.
- 20. Cenap C and Çiğdemk, Esat C. Fungicide, antibiotic, heavy metal resistance and salt tolerance of root nodule isolates from Viciapalaestina. African J Biotechnology, 2011; 1013: 2423-2429.
- 21. Philip EL. The Effect of herbicides and fungicides on legume Rhizobium symbiosis. Chapter 9. In: Pesticide Interactions in Crop Production: Beneficial and Deleterious Effects (Editor, Altman J.) 2018; 591.
- 22. J.R. Rathjen, M.H. Ryder, I.T. Riley, T.V. Lai, M.D. Denton, Impact of seed-applied pesticides on rhizobial survival and legume nodulation, Journal of Applied Microbiology, 10.1111/jam.14602, 129, 2, (389-399), (2020).
- 23. Bouchmouch, I. Souad-Moushine, B. Brahada, F. Aurag J. 2005. Influence of host cultivars and Rhizobium sp. on the growth and symbiotic performance of Phaseolus vulgaris under salt stress J. Plant Physiol. 162(10):1103-13.
- 24. Shahid M and khan MS 2018 Fungicide tolerant BradyRhizobiumjaponicum mitigate toxicity and enhance greengram production under hexaconazole stress Aligarh Muslim University, Aligarh 202002, Uttar Pradesh, India. 2019Apr;78:92-108.
- 25. Chakraborty, M. Khan, H. and Ramkrishan, K. 2003. Symbiotic properties of hypermotile mutants of Brady Rhizobium sp. (Vignaaconitifolia) Indian Journal of Microbiology. 43 (2): 101-105.