https://doi.org/10.33472/AFJBS.6.6.2024.6613-6620



Comprehensive Study on Biomedical Waste Management: Knowledge, Attitudes, and Practices among Healthcare Professionals

Latika Ramawat¹, Dr. Pawan Kumar², Deepa Mukharjee^{3*}, Dr. Sunil Kumar Dular⁴

 ¹M. Sc. Nursing Final Year, Specialty- Medical Surgical Nursing, Faculty of Nursing, SGT University, Budhera, Gurugram, Haryana, 122505, India.
 ²HOD Nursing Foundation, Faculty of Nursing, SGT University, Budhera, Gurugram, Haryana, 122505, India.
 ³Associate Professor, Faculty of Nursing, SGT University, Budhera, Gurugram, Haryana, 122505, India.
 ⁴Professor cum Dean, Faculty of Nursing, SGT University, Budhera, Gurugram, Haryana, 122505, India.

*Corresponding Author: Deepa Mukharjee, <u>deepa_fnur@sgtuniversity.org</u>

Article Info

Volume 6, Issue 6, July 2024

Received: 03 May 2024

Accepted: 16 June 2024

Published: 01 July 2024

doi: 10.33472/AFJBS.6.6.2024.6613-6620

ABSTRACT:

Biomedical waste (BMW) management is critical for healthcare operations due to its significant implications for environmental and public health. Despite regulatory guidelines, disparities in the knowledge, attitudes, and practices. (KAP) of healthcare professionals regarding BMW management persist. This comprehensive study reviews literature from 2016 to 2023 to assess these KAP dynamics and identify areas requiring targeted interventions. Through the analysis of twelve pertinent studies, this research highlights the influential roles of education, training, and institutional support in shaping KAP levels. Key findings indicate significant variations in healthcare professionals' knowledge and practices, often influenced by their educational background, training adequacy, and the presence of robust institutional policies. Higher education and comprehensive training programs are consistently associated with improved knowledge and practices in BMW management. However, even well-educated and trained healthcare workers exhibit varied attitudes towards BMW management, largely affected by the support and resources provided by their institutions.

The study underscores the critical need for continuous education and regular training to keep healthcare workers updated on best practices and regulatory changes. It emphasizes that healthcare facilities with strong administrative support and clear waste management protocols demonstrate higher compliance levels. Conversely, facilities lacking these resources struggle with effective waste management. The broader implications of these findings highlight the necessity for evidence-based interventions. Tailored educational programs addressing specific gaps identified in different healthcare settings are crucial. Additionally, stringent regulatory enforcement and support from policymakers are essential to ensure healthcare facilities adhere to established BMW management guidelines.

By synthesizing insights from diverse studies, this research provides a comprehensive overview of current BMW management practices, identifying prevalent trends and critical gaps. It advocates for proactive measures, including tailored training programs and stringent regulatory frameworks, to enhance compliance with BMW protocols. The study contributes to a deeper understanding of the complexities surrounding BMW management and underscores the need for continuous education, training, and institutional support.Ultimately, effective BMW management is vital for protecting public health and ensuring environmental sustainability. Through concerted efforts and informed interventions, healthcare professionals can significantly contribute to safer and more sustainable BMW management practices, thereby safeguarding both human health and environmental integrity.

Keywords: Biomedical waste management, Healthcare workers, Knowledge, Attitudes, Practices, Systematic review

© 2024 Latika Ramawat, This is an open access article under the CC BY license (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you giveappropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made

1. INTRODUCTION

Biomedical waste, generated from healthcare facilities during patient diagnosis, treatment, or research activities, poses significant challenges due to its hazardous nature and potential for environmental pollution. ^[1] Improper management of biomedical waste can lead to the spread of infectious diseases, environmental contamination, and adverse health effects on both healthcare workers and the general population. ^[4] Effective biomedical waste management encompasses proper segregation, collection, storage, transportation, treatment, and disposal methods, adhering to regulatory guidelines and best practices. ^[14]

Healthcare professionals, including doctors, nurses, laboratory technicians, and support staff, play a crucial role in ensuring the safe handling and disposal of biomedical waste.^[13] However, studies indicate varying levels of knowledge, attitudes, and practices (KAP) among healthcare workers regarding biomedical waste management. Factors such as education, training, experience, and institutional policies influence KAP levels and ultimately impact the effectiveness of waste management protocols. However, studies indicate varying levels of KAP among healthcare workers regarding biomedical waste management, influenced by factors such as education, training, education, tra

Understanding the KAP of healthcare professionals is essential for identifying gaps and formulating targeted interventions to improve compliance with biomedical waste management guidelines. While several studies have explored this topic in different healthcare settings and geographic regions, a comprehensive analysis is necessary to consolidate existing knowledge and identify areas for further research and intervention. This study aims to provide a comprehensive overview of the KAP of healthcare professionals regarding biomedical waste management through a systematic review of literature published between 2016 and 2023. By synthesizing findings from diverse studies, this research seeks to identify trends, challenges, and best practices in biomedical waste management across various healthcare settings and geographical locations. ^[6] Moreover, this study aims to inform policymakers, healthcare administrators, and practitioners about the importance of continuous education, training, and regulatory enforcement to ensure effective biomedical waste management and mitigate its adverse impact on public health and the environment.

NEED OF THE STUDY

Effective biomedical waste (BMW) management is essential due to its significant impact on public health and environmental sustainability. Biomedical waste, if improperly managed, poses a substantial risk of infectious disease transmission, environmental contamination, and adverse health effects on healthcare workers and the general population. Despite the existence of regulatory guidelines and protocols, there are notable disparities in the knowledge, attitudes, and practices (KAP) of healthcare professionals concerning BMW management. These disparities highlight the pressing need for a comprehensive assessment to identify specific areas for improvement.^[1]

The persistence of variations in KAP levels among healthcare professionals underscores the necessity for this study. Understanding these dynamics is crucial for developing evidence-based interventions tailored to address specific challenges and enhance compliance with BMW management guidelines. A systematic review of literature from 2016 to 2023 provides a consolidated view of the current state of KAP among healthcare workers, helping to identify prevalent trends, highlight critical gaps, and propose targeted interventions to improve adherence to BMW management protocols.^[1]

Continuous education, training, and institutional support are critical in fostering a culture of compliance and responsibility among healthcare workers. This study aims to emphasize the importance of these factors and their role in effective BMW management. By addressing deficiencies in KAP levels, healthcare facilities can mitigate the risks associated with improper

BMW management, ensuring a safer environment for both healthcare workers and the general population.

Moreover, the study aims to inform policymakers, healthcare administrators, and practitioners about the critical need for continuous education, training, and regulatory of enforcement. By investing in these areas, healthcare facilities can significantly improve waste handling practices, reduce environmental contamination, and protect public health. This research is pivotal in guiding informed policy decisions and proactive interventions, ultimately contributing to the overarching goal of safeguarding human health and environmental integrity through effective BMW management.^[1]

AIM OF THE STUDY

The primary aim of this study is to undertake a systematic review of literature spanning the period from 2016 to 2023, with the objective of assessing the knowledge, attitudes, and practices of healthcare professionals regarding biomedical waste management.^[9] By synthesizing insights from diverse studies conducted across various healthcare settings and geographic regions, the study seeks to identify prevalent trends, highlight critical gaps, and propose targeted interventions to enhance compliance with waste management protocols.^[8] Through this endeavour, the study aims to inform policymakers, healthcare administrators, and practitioners about the imperative of continuous education, training, and regulatory enforcement in ensuring effective biomedical waste management practices.^[6]

2. METHODOLOGY

This study employs a systematic literature review methodology to meticulously examine existing research on the knowledge, attitudes, and practices (KAP) of healthcare professionals regarding biomedical waste management. A total of twelve pertinent studies that met predefined inclusion criteria were identified and reviewed, ensuring relevance to the research objectives. The sampling technique involved selecting studies based on their adherence to these inclusion criteria, thereby ensuring a focused and relevant review. Data extraction included a comprehensive overview of study characteristics, participant demographics, measurement tools, key findings, and recommendations. To enhance the credibility of the study outcomes, quality assessment protocols were implemented, ensuring the reliability and validity of the findings.

3. RESULTS

Study	Healthcare Setting	Participant Demographics	Measurement Tools	Key Findings	Recommendations
Study 1	Hospital	Doctors, Nurses	Surveys, Interviews	Adequate knowledge, positive attitudes	Enhanced training programs
Study 2	Clinic	Support Staff	Questionnaires	Deficiencies in practice	Reinforcement of guidelines
Study 3	Dental Facility	Dentists, Hygienists	Observations, Focus Groups	Varied KAP levels	Tailored educational interventions

 Table 1: Summary of Study Characteristics

Study 4	Community Health Center	All Healthcare Staff	Structured Interviews	Lack of awareness on disposal protocols	Educational campaigns
------------	-------------------------------	-------------------------	--------------------------	--	--------------------------

RESULTS

Table 2:	Factors	Influencing	KAP Levels
----------	---------	-------------	------------

Factors	Influence on KAP	Examples/Comments
Education	Positive correlation	Higher education linked to better knowledge
Institutional Support	Critical for compliance	Facilities with robust support systems showed higher compliance
Training Adequacy	Enhances practices	Adequate training correlated with better waste management
Compliance with Regulations	Influences behaviour	Facilities adhering to regulations exhibited better practices

These tabulated results encapsulate key findings, elucidating variations in KAP levels and the pivotal role of influencing factors among healthcare professionals. Additionally, specific examples and comments provide deeper insights into the relationships between these factors and KAP levels.

4. **DISCUSSION**

The findings from the reviewed studies underscore significant variations in the knowledge, attitudes, and practices (KAP) of healthcare professionals regarding biomedical waste (BMW) management. Key factors influencing these variations include educational background, training adequacy, and institutional support. Higher levels of education and adequate training programs are consistently associated with better knowledge and practices in BMW management. However, the studies reveal that even within well-educated and trained groups, attitudes towards BMW management can vary, often influenced by institutional policies and the availability of resources.

For instance, healthcare facilities with robust support systems and clear regulatory frameworks show higher compliance levels, while those lacking these resources struggle with effective waste management. The discussion also highlights the critical need for continuous education and regular training sessions to keep healthcare professionals updated on best practices and regulatory changes. This is particularly important in regions with rapidly evolving healthcare landscapes or where regulatory updates are frequent. Moreover, the role of institutional support is emphasized, as facilities with strong administrative backing and clear waste management protocols tend to exhibit better KAP among their staff. The broader implications of these findings point to the necessity for targeted interventions, such as tailored educational programs that address specific gaps identified in different healthcare settings. Additionally, regulatory bodies need to enforce compliance more stringently and provide support to healthcare facilities to develop and maintain effective BMW management systems. The discussion also touches upon the environmental sustainability aspect of BMW management, advocating for practices that not only protect public health but also reduce the ecological footprint of healthcare activities. By synthesizing these insights, the discussion aims to inform evidence-based

strategies and interventions that can be implemented across diverse healthcare settings to enhance BMW management practices. Overall, the research highlights the multifaceted nature of KAP dynamics and the importance of a holistic approach that integrates education, training, institutional support, and regulatory enforcement to achieve effective BMW management. The review highlights the importance of continuous training and institutional support in enhancing HCWs' KAP in BMW management. Efforts to improve waste handling practices should focus on addressing knowledge gaps, reinforcing positive attitudes, and promoting adherence to established guidelines and regulations. ^[1] By investing in targeted interventions and educational initiatives, healthcare facilities can mitigate environmental contamination and public health risks associated with improper BMW management. ^[2]



5. CONCLUSION

This narrative review underscores the crucial role of continuous education, training, and institutional support in enhancing healthcare professionals' knowledge, attitudes, and practices (KAP) regarding biomedical waste (BMW) management. The findings reveal significant gaps in knowledge and practice, influenced by educational background, training adequacy, and institutional policies. Tailored educational programs and regular training sessions are essential to keep healthcare workers well-informed and competent in BMW management.

Moreover, strong administrative support and clear waste management protocols within healthcare facilities are critical for fostering a culture of compliance. Effective BMW management is not only vital for protecting public health but also for ensuring environmental sustainability. The study advocates for stringent regulatory enforcement and proactive support from policymakers to ensure adherence to established guidelines and protocols. By addressing deficiencies in KAP levels among healthcare professionals, healthcare facilities can mitigate the risks associated with improper BMW management, ensuring a safer environment for both healthcare workers and the general population. The review emphasizes the need for evidencebased strategies and targeted interventions to enhance compliance with BMW protocols.

Ultimately, the study contributes to a deeper understanding of the complexities surrounding BMW management and provides a foundation for developing effective strategies to address these challenges. Through concerted efforts and informed interventions, healthcare

professionals can play a significant role in ensuring the safe handling and disposal of biomedical waste, thus contributing to a healthier and more sustainable future.

Conflict of Interest: The authors certify that they have no involvement in any organization or entity with any financial or non-financial interest in the subject matter or materials discussed in this paper.

Funding Source: There is no funding Source for this study.

6. REFERENCES

- 1. Rojas, C., et al. (2023). Impact of a Virtual Training Program on Awareness and Understanding of Dental Material Recycling and Biowaste Management Among Peruvian Dentists. Journal of Dental Education.
- 2. Dr. Shivakumar, M. A., et al. (2022). Knowledge, Attitude and Practice of Bio-Medical Waste Management among Public Hospitals in Hubli-Dharwad City. International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health.
- 3. Tatiya, S., et al. (2022). Knowledge Assessment of Biomedical Waste Management of Dental Materials in Dakshina Kannada. Journal of Medical Waste Management.
- 4. Musa, S., et al. (2021). Knowledge and Practices of Healthcare Waste Management Among Healthcare Workers at Yusuf Danshov Memorial Hospital, Nigeria. African Journal of Medical Sciences.
- 5. Aldeguer, M., et al. (2021). Knowledge, Attitudes, and Practices on Biomedical Waste Management Among Nurses and Medical Technologists in the Philippines. Journal of Healthcare Management.
- 6. Basavaraj, T. J., et al. (2021). Assessment of Knowledge, Attitude, and Practices in Biomedical Waste Management Among Healthcare Workers in a Dedicated COVID Hospital in Bangalore. Indian Journal of Public Health.
- 7. Deeksheetha, P., et al. (2020). Knowledge, Attitude, and Practice of Biomedical Waste Management Among Undergraduate Dental Students in Chennai. Journal of Dental Hygiene.
- 8. Najotra, D. K., et al. (2020). Knowledge, Attitude, and Practices of Biomedical Waste Management Among Medical and Nursing Students in a Teaching Hospital of J & K, India. Journal of Medical Education and Training.
- 9. Khubchandani, K., et al. (2020). Knowledge, Attitude, and Practices of Biomedical Waste Management Among Clinical Dental Students. Journal of Dental Education.
- 10. Abrol, A., et al. (2019). Awareness and Practices Regarding Biomedical Waste Management Among Healthcare Workers in a Tertiary Care Hospital in Himachal Pradesh. Journal of Environmental Health Science and Engineering.
- 11. Deress, T., et al. (2019). Knowledge, Attitude, and Practice of Waste Handlers About Medical Waste Management in Debre Markos Town Healthcare Facilities, Northwest Ethiopia. Journal of Environmental and Public Health.
- 12. Lavanya, K. M., et al. (2018). Knowledge, Attitude, and Practices about Biomedical Waste Management Among Hospital Staff – A Correctional Study in a Tertiary Care Hospital, Andhra Pradesh, India. Indian Journal of Community Medicine.
- 13. Sekar, M., et al. (2018). A Study on Knowledge, Attitude, and Practice of Biomedical Waste Management Among Health Care Workers in a Tertiary Care Hospital in Puducherry. International Journal of Community Medicine and Public Health.
- 14. Khan, M. J., et al. (2017). Knowledge, Attitude, and Practices of Hospital Waste Management Among Healthcare Providers in a Tertiary Care Hospital. Journal of Infection Control.

15. Ranu, R., et al. (2016). Knowledge, Attitude, and Practice Regarding Biomedical Waste Management Among Healthcare Personnel in a Medical College Hospital in Trivandrum. Journal of Medical Science and Clinical Research.