



Fixed Point Theorem in Random Fuzzy Metric Space

Mausam Sinha, Shyam Patkar

Department of Mathematics, BHABHA University Bhopal (MP), India

msinha.dhn@gmail.com, spatkar789@gmail.com

Article History

Volume 6, Issue Si4, 2024

Received: 16 May 2024

Accepted : 20 June 2024

Doi:

10.48047/AFJBS.6.Si4.2024.1502-1508

Abstract

In the present paper a random fixed-point theorem is established in fuzzy metric space for rational expression. The theorem is based on the concept of Common E.A like properties and compatibility. The obtained result is generalization form of some well-known results in fuzzy metric spaces.

Keywords: Random Fixed point, Fuzzymetric space, Common Fixed point, Common E.A like property for random operator, weakly compatible mapping

1. Introduction

In 1965, L. A. Zedha [22] introduced a novel concept of fuzzy set, which is very useful to solve uncertainty problems. Whenever crisp set is unable to define the concept of fuzzy set used. In 1975, the concept of Fuzzy Metric Space was introduced by Kromosil and Michalek [10]. Later on in 1994, the modified concept Continuous t-norm is introduced by Veeramani and George [6]. Many Authors and Researchers used many new concepts such as R-weakly Computing mapping, compatible mapping, Weak Compatible mapping, CLR- Property etc and develop new results on Fuzzy Metric Space in different ways. The study of random fixed point is very useful in the iteration process. The literature from B.S. Choudhry [2-4], provide fruitful results in field of fixed-point theory named as random fixed point or fixed point theorems for random operator. The concept of Fuzzy-random-variable was introduced as an analogous notion to random variable in order to extend statistical analysis to situations when the outcomes of some random experiment are fuzzy sets. Volker [18] presented set theoretical concept of fuzzy-random-variables using the method of general topology and drawing on results from topological measure theory and the theory of analytic spaces. Some more results on this concept are given by Authors Shrivastava et.al [7]. Taking motivation from the said results the present paper deals with common random fixed-point theorems for rational expression for four mapping through Common E.A like property in random fuzzy metric space. Established results are generalization of well-known results in fuzzy metric spaces as Wadhwa et.al [20-21], Umashankar [17], Shrivastava, Shangvi et.al [16], Gupta et.al [8], and the researchers of [13-15, 19].

2. Preliminaries:

The basic definition of fuzzy metric spaces, t norm, compatible mapping, weakly compatible mapping, E.A. like property can be viewed in [5, 20-21]. The concept of random operator along with the fixed-point theory can be seen in [2-4]. Gupta, Dhagat, Shrivastava gave the modified fuzzy metric space as random fuzzy metric space. Taking the concept the modified definitions are given:

Throughout this paper, (Ω, Σ) denotes a measurable space. $\xi: \Omega \rightarrow X$ is a measurable selector. X is any non-empty set. $*$ is continuous t-norm, M is a fuzzy set in $X^2 \times [0, \infty)$.

Definition 2.1 $(X, \Omega, M, *)$ is said to be randomized fuzzy metric spaces (R.F.M.) if followings are true:

- (1) $M(x(\xi), y(\xi), z(\xi)) = 0$
- (2) $M(x(\xi), y(\xi), t) = 1$ for all $t > 0$ iff $x(\xi) = y(\xi)$.
- (3) $M(x(\xi), y(\xi), t) = M(y(\xi), x(\xi), t)$
- (4) $M(x(\xi), y(\xi), t_1) * M(y(\xi), z(\xi), t_2) \leq M(x(\xi), z(\xi), t_1 + t_2)$
- (5) $M(x(\xi), y(\xi), *): [0, \infty] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is left continuous.
- (6) $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} M(x(\xi), y(\xi), t) = 1$

$\forall x, y, z \in X$, with measureble salector (ξ) and $t_1, t_2, > 0$

Definition 2.2: Two self-mapping P and Q on $(X, \Omega, M, *)$ are said to be compatible with measurable selector ξ if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(PQ(\xi, x_n(\xi)), QP(\xi, x_n(\xi)), t) = 1$ for all $t > 0$ whenever $\{x_n(\xi)\}$ is a sequence in X such that

$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(\xi, x_n(\xi)) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Q(\xi, x_n(\xi)) = u(\xi)$, for all $u(\xi) \in X$ with measurable selector ξ

Definition 2.3: Two self-mapping P and Q on $(X, \Omega, M, *)$ are said to be a weakly compatible with measurable selector ξ if they commute at their coincidence point that is for $\forall u(\xi) \in X$, $P(\xi, u(\xi)) = Q(\xi, u(\xi))$ implies that $PQ(\xi, u(\xi)) = QP(\xi, u(\xi))$ for all $t > 0$

Definition 2.4: Two self-mapping P and Q on $(X, \Omega, M, *)$ are said to be Satisfy E.A property with measurable selector ξ if their exist a sequence $\{x_n(\xi)\}$ in X such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(\xi, x_n(\xi)) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Q(\xi, x_n(\xi)) = u(\xi), \text{ for all } u(\xi) \in X$$

Definition 2.5: Let P, Q, S and T be a self-mapping of $(X, \Omega, M, *)$ then (P, S) and (Q, T) said to satisfy Common E.A like property for measurable selector ξ if their exist two sequence $\{x_n(\xi)\}$ and $\{y_n(\xi)\}$ in X such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(\xi, x_n(\xi)) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S(\xi, x_n(\xi)) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Q(\xi, y_n(\xi)) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T(\xi, y_n(\xi)) = z(\xi)$$

Where $z(\xi) \in S(X) \cap T(X)$ or $z(\xi) \in P(X) \cap Q(X)$

Lemma 2.6: This lemma is motivated by [20-21]

$(X, \Omega, M, *)$ be a Random Fuzzy Metricspace then there exist $k \in (0, 1)$ such that $M(x(\xi), y(\xi), kt) \geq M(x(\xi), y(\xi), t)$ then $x(\xi) = y(\xi)$.

for all $t > 0$ $x, y \in X$ with measureble salector ξ

The implicit function is necessary to establish the main result.

Definition 2.7 Let ϕ be the set of all real continuous function $\phi: [0, 1]^5 \rightarrow [0, 1]$ non decreasing in each coordinate variable then

$$\phi(1, 1, t, 1, t) \geq t, \quad \phi(1, t, 1, t, t) \geq t, \quad \phi(t, t, t, t, t) \geq t \quad \forall t \in [0, 1]$$

3. Main Result

Theorem 3.1: Let Q, U, N and J be a self-mapping of a Random Fuzzy Metric space (RFM) $(X, \Omega, M, *)$ satisfying the following conditions:

- (i) (Q, N) and (U, J) Satisfying Common E.A like properties with random operator
- (ii) (Q, N) and (U, J) are weakly compatible with random operator

- (iii) For $\emptyset \in \phi$ then there exist $k \in (0,1)$ such that $\forall x(\xi), y(\xi), z(\xi) \in X$ with measurable selector ξ and $t > 0$
 $M(Q(\xi, x(\xi)), U(\xi, y(\xi)), kt) \geq$

$$\phi \left\{ \frac{M(N(\xi, x(\xi)), J(\xi, y(\xi)), t), M(N(\xi, x(\xi)), U(\xi, y(\xi)), t), M(Q(\xi, x(\xi)), J(\xi, y(\xi)), t), M(N(\xi, x(\xi)), U(\xi, y(\xi)), t) + M(N(\xi, x(\xi)), J(\xi, y(\xi)), t)}{1 + M(U(\xi, y(\xi)), J(\xi, y(\xi)), t)} * M(U(\xi, y(\xi)), J(\xi, y(\xi)), t), \right. \\ \left. \frac{M(Q(\xi, x(\xi)), N(\xi, x(\xi)), t) + M(N(\xi, x(\xi)), U(\xi, y(\xi)), t)}{1 + M(Q(\xi, x(\xi)), U(\xi, y(\xi)), t)} * M(Q(\xi, x(\xi)), U(\xi, y(\xi)), t) \right\}$$

Then Q, U, N and J have common random fixed point.

Proof: Since (Q, N) and (U, J) satisfying common E.A like properties then there exist two sequences $\{x_n(\xi)\}$ and $\{y_n(\xi)\}$ in X :

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Qx_n(\xi) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Nx_n(\xi) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Uy_n(\xi) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Jy_n(\xi) = z(\xi)$$

Where, $z(\xi) \in N(X) \cap J(X)$ or $z(\xi) \in P(X) \cap U(X)$

Let $z(\xi) \in N(X) \cap J(X)$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Qx_n(\xi) = z(\xi) \in N(X)$ then $z(\xi) = Nu(\xi)$

To Prove, $Qu(\xi) = Nu(\xi)$

Put $x(\xi) = u(\xi)$ and $y(\xi) = y_n(\xi)$ in (iii)

$$M(Q(\xi, u(\xi)), U(\xi, y_n(\xi)), kt) \\ \geq \phi \left\{ \frac{M(N(\xi, u(\xi)), J(\xi, y_n(\xi)), t), M(N(\xi, u(\xi)), U(\xi, y_n(\xi)), t), M(Q(\xi, u(\xi)), J(\xi, y_n(\xi)), t), M(N(\xi, u(\xi)), U(\xi, y_n(\xi)), t) + M(N(\xi, u(\xi)), J(\xi, y_n(\xi)), t)}{1 + M(U(\xi, y_n(\xi)), J(\xi, y_n(\xi)), t)} * M(U(\xi, y_n(\xi)), J(\xi, y_n(\xi)), t), \right. \\ \left. \frac{M(Q(\xi, u(\xi)), N(\xi, u(\xi)), t) + M(N(\xi, u(\xi)), U(\xi, y_n(\xi)), t)}{1 + M(Pu, Uy_n, t)} * M(Q(\xi, u(\xi)), U(\xi, y_n(\xi)), t) \right\} \\ M(Q(\xi, u(\xi)), z(\xi), kt) \\ \geq \phi \left\{ \frac{M(z(\xi), z(\xi), t), M(z(\xi), z(\xi), t), M(Q(\xi, u(\xi)), z(\xi), t), M(z(\xi), z(\xi), t) + M(z(\xi), z(\xi), t)}{1 + M(z(\xi), z(\xi), t)} * M(z(\xi), z(\xi), t), \right. \\ \left. \frac{M(Q(\xi, u(\xi)), z(\xi), t) + M(z(\xi), z(\xi), t)}{1 + M(Q(\xi, u(\xi)), z(\xi), t)} * M(Q(\xi, u(\xi)), z(\xi), t) \right\} \\ M(Q(\xi, u(\xi)), z(\xi), kt) \geq \phi \{ 1, 1, M(Q(\xi, u(\xi)), z(\xi), t), 1, M(Q(\xi, u(\xi)), z(\xi), t) \}$$

$$M(Q(\xi, u(\xi)), z(\xi), kt) \geq M(Q(\xi, u(\xi)), z(\xi), t)$$

$$\Rightarrow Q(\xi, u(\xi)) = z(\xi)$$

$$\Rightarrow Q(\xi, u(\xi)) = z(\xi) = N(Q(\xi, u(\xi))) = z(\xi)$$

Since (Q, N) is random weakly compatible then

$$Q(\xi, z(\xi)) = QN(\xi, u(\xi)) = NQ(\xi, u(\xi)) = N(\xi, z(\xi))$$

Again, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} U(\xi, y_n(\xi)) = z(\xi) \in J(X)$ then $z(\xi) = J(\xi, v(\xi))$

Now to Prove, $J(\xi, v(\xi)) = U(\xi, v(\xi))$

Now from (iii)

$$M(Q(\xi, x_n(\xi)), U(\xi, v(\xi)), kt) \geq \\ \phi \{ M(N(\xi, x_n(\xi)), J(\xi, v(\xi)), t), M(N(\xi, x_n(\xi)), U(\xi, v(\xi)), t), M(Q(\xi, x_n(\xi)), J(\xi, v(\xi)), t),$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{M(N(\xi, x_n(\xi)), U(\xi, v(\xi)), t) + M(N(\xi, x_n(\xi)), J(\xi, v(\xi)), t)}{1 + M(U(\xi, v(\xi)), J(\xi, v(\xi)), t)} * M(U(\xi, v(\xi)), J(\xi, v(\xi)), t), \\
& \frac{M(Q(\xi, x_n(\xi)), N(\xi, x_n(\xi)), t) + M(N(\xi, x_n(\xi)), U(\xi, v(\xi)), t)}{1 + M(Px_n x, Uv, t)} \\
& * M(Q(\xi, x_n(\xi)), U(\xi, v(\xi)), t) \} \\
& M(z(\xi), U(\xi, v(\xi)), kt) \\
& \geq \phi\{M(z(\xi), z(\xi), t), M(z(\xi), U(\xi, v(\xi)), t), M(z(\xi), z(\xi), t), \\
& \frac{M(z(\xi), U(\xi, v(\xi)), t) + M(z(\xi), z(\xi), t)}{1 + M(U(\xi, v(\xi)), z(\xi), t)} * M(U(\xi, v(\xi)), z(\xi)), t), \\
& \frac{M(z(\xi), z(\xi), t) + M(z(\xi), U(\xi, v(\xi)), t)}{1 + M(z, Uv, t)} * M(z, U(\xi, v(\xi)), t) \} \\
& M(z(\xi), U(\xi, v(\xi)), kt) \\
& \geq \phi\{1, M(z(\xi), U(\xi, v(\xi)), t), 1, M(U(\xi, v(\xi)), z(\xi)), t), M(z(\xi), U(\xi, v(\xi)), t) \} \\
& M(z(\xi), U(\xi, v(\xi)), kt) \geq M(z(\xi), U(\xi, v(\xi)), t) \\
& \Rightarrow z(\xi) = U(\xi, v(\xi)) \Rightarrow U(\xi, v(\xi)) = z(\xi) = J(\xi, v(\xi))
\end{aligned}$$

Since (U, J) is random weakly compatible then

$$U(\xi, z(\xi)) = UJ(\xi, v(\xi)) = JU(\xi, v(V)) = J(\xi, z(\xi))$$

Now to Prove $Q(\xi, z(\xi)) = z(\xi)$

From (iii), $M(Q(\xi, z(\xi)), U(\xi, y_n(\xi)), kt) \geq$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \phi\{M(N(\xi, z(\xi)), J(\xi, y_n(\xi)), t), M(N(\xi, z(\xi)), U(\xi, y_n(\xi)), t), M(Q(\xi, z(\xi)), J(\xi, y_n(\xi)), t), \\
& \frac{M(N(\xi, z(\xi)), U(\xi, y_n(\xi)), t) + M(N(\xi, z(\xi)), J(\xi, y_n(\xi)), t)}{1 + M(Uy_n, Iy_n, t)} \\
& * M(U(\xi, y_n(\xi)), J(\xi, y_n(\xi)), t), \\
& \frac{M(Q(\xi, z(\xi)), N(\xi, z(\xi)), t) + M(N(\xi, z(\xi)), U(\xi, y_n(\xi)), t)}{1 + M(Pz, Uy_n, t)} \\
& * M(Q(\xi, z(\xi)), U(\xi, y_n(\xi)), t) \} \\
& M(Q(\xi, z(\xi)), z(\xi), kt) \\
& \geq \phi\{M(Q(\xi, z(\xi)), z(\xi), t), M(Q(\xi, z(\xi)), z(\xi), t), M(Q(z(\xi)), z(\xi)), t), \\
& \frac{M(Q(\xi, z(\xi)), z(\xi), t) + M(Q(\xi, z(\xi)), z(\xi), z(\xi)), t)}{1 + M(z(\xi), z(\xi), t)} * M(z(\xi), z(\xi), t), \\
& \frac{M(Q(\xi, z(\xi)), z(\xi), t) + M(Q(\xi, z(\xi)), z(\xi), t)}{1 + M(Q(\xi, z(\xi)), z(\xi), t)} * M(Q(\xi, z(\xi)), z(\xi)), t) \} \\
& M(Q(\xi, z(\xi)), z(\xi), t) \\
& \geq \phi\{M(Q(\xi, z(\xi)), z(\xi)), t, M(Q(\xi, z(\xi)), z(\xi)), t, M(Q(\xi, z(\xi)), z(\xi)), t), \\
& M(Q(\xi, z(\xi)), z(\xi)), t, MM(Q(\xi, z(\xi)), z(\xi)), t) \}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
M(Q(\xi, z(\xi)), z(\xi)), t & \geq M(Q(\xi, z(\xi)), z(\xi)), t \\
& \Rightarrow M(Q(\xi, z(\xi)), t) = z(\xi)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow M(Q(\xi, z(\xi)), t) = z(\xi) = N(\xi, z(\xi))$$

Now to Prove $U(\xi, z(\xi)) = z(\xi)$

Again from (iii)

$$M(Q(\xi, x_n(\xi)), U(\xi, z(\xi)), kt)$$

$$\geq \phi\{M(N(\xi, x_n(\xi)), J(\xi, z(\xi)), t), M(N(\xi, x_n(\xi)), U(\xi, z(\xi)), t), M(Q(\xi, x_n(\xi)), J(\xi, z(\xi)), t),$$

$$\frac{M(N(\xi, x_n(\xi)), U(\xi, z(\xi)), t) + M(N(\xi, x_n(\xi)), J(\xi, z(\xi)), t)}{1 + M(U(\xi, v(\xi)), J(\xi, v(\xi)), t)} \\ * M(U(\xi, v(\xi)), J(\xi, v(\xi)), t),$$

$$\frac{M(Q(\xi, x_n(\xi)), N(\xi, x_n(\xi)), t) + M(N(\xi, x_n(\xi)), U(\xi, z(\xi)), t)}{1 + M(Q(\xi, x_n(\xi)), U(\xi, z(\xi)), t)} \\ * M(Q(\xi, x_n(\xi)), U(\xi, z(\xi)), t) \}$$

$$M(z(\xi), U(\xi, z(\xi)), kt)$$

$$\geq \phi\{M(z(\xi), U(\xi, z(\xi)), t), M(z(\xi), U(\xi, z(\xi)), t), M(z(\xi), U(\xi, z(\xi)), t), \\ \frac{M(z(\xi), U(\xi, z(\xi)), t) + M(z(\xi), U(\xi, z(\xi)), t)}{1 + M(U(\xi, v(\xi)), U(\xi, z(\xi)), t)} * M(U(\xi, v(\xi)), U(\xi, z(\xi)), t), \\ \frac{M(z(\xi), z(\xi), t) + M(z(\xi), U(\xi, z(\xi)), t)}{1 + M(z(\xi), U(\xi, z(\xi)), t)} * M(z(\xi), U(\xi, z(\xi)), t)\}$$

$$M(z(\xi), U(\xi, v(\xi)), kt) \geq \phi\{M(z(\xi), U(\xi, z(\xi)), t), M(z(\xi), U(\xi, z(\xi)), t),$$

$$M(z(\xi), U(\xi, z(\xi)), t), M(z(\xi), U(\xi, z(\xi)), t), M(z(\xi), U(\xi, z(\xi)), t) \}$$

$$M(z(\xi), U(\xi, z(\xi)), kt) \geq M(z(\xi), U(\xi, z(\xi)), t)$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{we get } U(\xi, z(\xi)) = z(\xi)$$

$$\Rightarrow U(\xi, z(\xi)) = z(\xi) = J(\xi, z(\xi))$$

$$\text{Hence, } Q(\xi, z(\xi)) = U(\xi, z(\xi)) = N(\xi, z(\xi)) = J(\xi, z(\xi)) = z(\xi)$$

Hence Q, U, N and J have a Common random Fixed Point.

Uniqueness: Suppose z and s are two common random fixed point of Q, U, N and J with $z \neq s$ then from (3.1)

$$M(Q(\xi, z(\xi)), U(\xi, s(\xi)), kt) \geq \phi\{M(N(\xi, z(\xi)), J(\xi, s(\xi)), t),$$

$$M(N(\xi, s(\xi)), U(\xi, s(\xi)), t), M(Q(\xi, z(\xi)), J(\xi, s(\xi)), t),$$

$$\frac{M(N(\xi, z(\xi)), U(\xi, s(\xi)), t) + M(N(\xi, z(\xi)), J(\xi, s(\xi)), t)}{1 + M(U(\xi, s(\xi)), J(\xi, s(\xi)), t)} * M(U(\xi, s(\xi)), J(\xi, s(\xi)), t),$$

$$\frac{M(Q(\xi, z(\xi)), N(\xi, s(\xi)), t) + M(N(\xi, z(\xi)), U(\xi, s(\xi)), t)}{1 + M(Q(\xi, z(\xi)), U(\xi, s(\xi)), t)} \\ * M(Q(\xi, z(\xi)), U(\xi, s(\xi)), t) \}$$

$$M(z(\xi), s(\xi), kt) \geq \phi\{M(z(\xi), s(\xi), t), M(z(\xi), s(\xi), t), M(z(\xi), s(\xi), t),$$

$$\frac{M(z(\xi), s(\xi), t) + M(z(\xi), s(\xi), t)}{1 + M(z(\xi), s(\xi), t)} * M(s(\xi), s(\xi), t), \\ \frac{M(z(\xi), z(\xi), t) + M(s(\xi), s(\xi), t)}{1 + M(z(\xi), s(\xi), t)} * M(z(\xi), s(\xi), t)\}$$

$$M(z(\xi), s(\xi), kt) \geq \phi\{M(z(\xi), s(\xi), t), M(z(\xi), s(\xi), kt), M(z(\xi), s(\xi), t)$$

$$M(z(\xi), s(\xi), t), M(z(\xi), s(\xi), kt)\}$$

$$M(z(\xi), s(\xi), kt) \geq \phi\{M(z(\xi), s(\xi), t)\}$$

$\Rightarrow z(\xi) = s(\xi)$. Hence Random fixed point is unique.

References.

1. Banach, S. (1922), "Sur les operation dans les ensembles abstraits et leur application aux equations integrals", Funda. Math. 3, 133-181
2. Choudhary, B.S. and Ray, M. (1999), "Convergence of an iteration leading to a solution of a random operator equation", J. Appl. Math. Stochastic Anal. 12 161-168.
3. Choudhary, B.S. and Upadhyay (1999), "An iteration leading to random solutions and fixed points of operators", Soochow J. Math. 25, 395-400.
4. Choudhary, B.S. (2002), "A common unique fixed point theorem for two random operators in Hilbert spaces", I. J. M.M. S. 32, 177-182.
5. Dolas, Uday, "A Common Fixed point theorem in Fuzzy Metric space using E.A like property", UltraScientist Vol. 28(1), 2016, pp-1-6.
6. George A., Veeramani P. "On some results in fuzzy metric space", Fuzzy sets and systems, Vol-64, 1994, pp-395-399.
7. Gupta, Richa, Dhagat Vanita Ben, Shrivastava, Rajesh (2010), "Fixed Point Theorem in Fuzzy Random Spaces", Int. J. Contemp. Math. Sciences, Vol. 5, no. 39, 1943 – 1949.
8. Gupta Sharad, Bhardwaj, Ramakant, Choubey, Kamal (2016), "Results with Random Fuzzy Metric Spaces", Innovative Systems Design and Engineering, Vol 7, No 4, 10-14
9. Grabiec, M., "Fixed point in fuzzy metric space", fuzzy sets and systems, 27 (1988), 385-389.
10. Kramosil I and Michalek J. , "Fuzzy Metric and statistical metric spaces", Kibernetika , 11, 1975, pp-336-344.
11. Popa V. "Some fixed-point theorems for weakly compatible mappings", Radovi Mathematics, 10, 2002, pp- 245-252.
12. Patel Shailesh, T., Bhardwaj, R. , Shrivastava, Rakesh, Patkar, Shyam, Choudhary, Sanjay (2013), "On Fixed Point theorems in Fuzzy Metric Spaces", Mathematical Theory and Modeling, Vol. 3, No. 6, 274- 277
13. Sessa, S. Roades, B.E., and Khan, M.S., "On common fixed point of compatible mapping in metric and Banach spaces", Internet. J. Math. Sci., 11(2) , 1988.
14. Singh B., and Jain S., "Semi Compatibility and fixed point theorems in fuzzy metric space using implicit relation", Int. J. of Math. sciences, 2005, pp-2617-2629.
15. Sushil Sharma, "On Fuzzy Metric space", Southeast Asian Bulletin of Mathematics, (2002) , 26: 133-145.
16. Shrivastava, R, Shinghvi, Jitendra, Bhardwaj, Ramakant, Rajput, S.S. (2012) , "Random Fixed Point Theorems in Metric Spaces", Int. J. Contemp. Math. Sciences, Vol. 7, no. 2, 79 – 88
17. Uma Shankar Singh, Naval Singh, Ruchi Singh, Ramakant Bhardwaj (2022), "Common Invariant Point Theorem for Multi-valued Generalized Fuzzy Mapping in b-Metric Space" Recent Trends in Design, Materials and Manufacturing, pp 15–21, Online ISBN, 978-981-16-4083-4, Part of the Lecture Notes in Mechanical Engineering book series (LNME) By Springer (Scopus) doi.org/10.1007/978-981-16-4083-4_3
18. Volker Kratschmer (2001). "A unified approach to fuzzy-random-variables", Fuzzy sets and systems Vol. 123, 1-9.
19. Vasuki R., "Common Fixed point for R-weakly Commuting maps in Fuzzy Metric spaces", Indian J. Pure Appl. Math, 30, 4, 1999, pp-419-423.

20. Wadhwa K., Dubey H. and Jain R., “Impact of E.A like Property on Common fixed Fixed point theorems in Fuzzy Metric spaces”, J. of Adv. Stud. In Topol.,3, 1, 2013, pp-609-614.
21. Wadhwa KDubey H.,” Common Fixed point theorems using E.A. like property in Fuzzy Metric spaces”, International J.of Math. Archive -8(6),2017,pp-204-210.
22. Zadeh, L. A. (1965). Information and control. Fuzzy sets, 8(3), 338-353.