



## A Study on Intuitionistic Pre \* Connected Spaces

L. Jeyasudha<sup>1</sup>, K. Bala Deepa Arasi<sup>2</sup>

Email: <sup>1</sup>jeyasudha555@gmail.com, <sup>2</sup>baladeepa85@gmail.com

### Article Info

Volume 6, Issue Si3, June 2024

Received: 17 April 2024

Accepted: 27 May 2024

Published: 20 June 2024

[doi:10.48047/AFJBS.6.Si3.20242203-2208](https://doi.org/10.48047/AFJBS.6.Si3.20242203-2208)

### ABSTRACT:

The major goal of this work is to introduce and investigate the concepts of Intuitionistic Pre \* Connected (In short  $\mathcal{I}P^*$ -Connected) Spaces using the concepts of intuitionistic pre \* open (In short  $\mathcal{I}P^*O$ ) sets. Also we give characterization for this connected space and discuss the relationship with other known intuitionistic connected spaces.

**Keywords:**  $\mathcal{I}P^*$ - connected,  $\mathcal{I}P^*$ - disconnected,  $\mathcal{I}P$  – connected,  $\mathcal{I}$ - connected.

**AMS subject classification (2010):** 54C05.

© 2024 L. Jeyasudha, This is an open access article under the CC BY license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made

### 1. Introduction

D. Coker [1] introduced the idea of intuitionistic sets for the first time in 1996. In 2017, G. Sasikala and M. Navaneethakrishnan [5] give the definition of intuitionistic pre open sets in  $\mathcal{I}TS$ . In 2021, G. Esther Rathinakani and M. Navaneethakrishnan [2,3,4] gives a new closure operator in intuitionistic topological spaces and define intuitionistic semi \* open set. In 2023, we [6,7,8] introduced  $\mathcal{I}P^*$  open and  $\mathcal{I}P^*$  closed sets in  $\mathcal{I}TS$  using the concepts of intuitionistic interior and intuitionistic generalized closure operators. Also we define  $\mathcal{I}P^*$ -continuous maps and  $\mathcal{I}P^*$ - open maps in  $\mathcal{I}TS$ .

In this study, we define  $\mathcal{I}P^*$ - connected spaces using the concepts of  $\mathcal{I}P^*O$  sets. We also demonstrate that the  $\mathcal{I}P^*$ - connected space is intermediate between  $\mathcal{I}P$ - connected space and  $\mathcal{I}$ - connected space.

**Definition 1.1 [1]** Let  $\check{X}_J$  be a set that is not empty. An object with the form  $M_J = \langle \check{X}_J, M_{J1}, M_{J2} \rangle$ , where  $M_{J1}$  and  $M_{J2}$  are subsets of  $\check{X}_J$  satisfying  $M_{J1} \cap M_{J2} = \phi$ , is known as an intuitionistic set ( $\mathcal{I}S$  in short). The terms “set of members of  $M_J$ ” and “set of non-members of  $M_J$ ” refer to the sets  $M_{J1}$  and  $M_{J2}$  respectively.

**Definition 1.2 [1]** Assume that  $\check{X}_J$  is a non-empty set, that  $M_J = \langle \check{X}_J, M_{J1}, M_{J2} \rangle$ , that  $N_J = \langle \check{X}_J, N_{J1}, N_{J2} \rangle$  is an  $\mathcal{I}S$ 's and that  $\{M_{Ji} : i \in J\}$  be arbitrary family of  $\mathcal{I}S$ 's. Then,

- $M_J \subseteq N_J$  iff  $M_{J1} \subseteq N_{J1}$  and  $M_{J2} \supseteq N_{J2}$ .
- $M_J = N_J$  iff  $M_J \subseteq N_J$  and  $M_J \supseteq N_J$ .
- The complement of  $M_J$  is defined as  $M_J^c = \langle \check{X}_J, M_{J2}, M_{J1} \rangle$ .
- $\cup M_{Ji} = \langle \check{X}_J, \cup M_{Ji1}, \cap M_{Ji2} \rangle$  and  $\cap M_{Ji} = \langle \check{X}_J, \cap M_{Ji1}, \cup M_{Ji2} \rangle$ .
- $M_J - N_J = M_J \cap N_J^c$ .
- $\check{\phi}_I = \langle \check{X}_J, \phi, \check{X}_J \rangle$  and  $\check{X}_I = \langle \check{X}_J, \check{X}_J, \phi \rangle$ .

**Definition 1.3 [1]** Assume that  $\check{X}_J$  is a non-empty set and  $\tau_I$  is the set of  $\mathcal{I}S$ 's of  $\check{X}_J$  then  $\tau_I$  is known as an intuitionistic topology ( $\mathcal{I}T$  in short) on  $\check{X}_J$  if it meets the criteria listed below:

- $\check{X}_I, \check{\phi}_I \in \tau_I$ .
- $M_J \cap N_J \in \tau_I$  for every  $M_J, N_J \in \tau_I$ .
- $\cup M_{Ji} \in \tau_I$  for any arbitrary family  $\{M_{Ji} : i \in J\} \subseteq \tau_I$ .

The pair  $(\check{X}_J, \tau_I)$  is referred to as intuitionistic topological space ( $\mathcal{I}TS$  in short) and intuitionistic open set ( $\mathcal{I}OS$  in short) in  $\check{X}_J$  is referred to as  $\mathcal{I}S$  in  $\tau_I$ . The intuitionistic closed set ( $\mathcal{I}CS$  in short) in  $\check{X}_J$  is regarded as the counterpart of  $\mathcal{I}OS$  in  $\check{X}_J$ .

**Definition 1.4 [1]** If  $(\check{X}_J, \tau_I)$  is a  $\mathcal{I}TS$  and  $M_J$  is a  $\mathcal{I}S$  in  $\check{X}_J$  then the definition of the  $\mathcal{I}$ -interior operator of  $M_J$  and the  $\mathcal{I}$ -closure operator of  $M_J$  are as follows: (i)  $\mathcal{I}int(M_J) = \cup \{N_J : N_J \text{ is } \mathcal{I}OS \text{ in } \check{X}_J \text{ \& } M_J \supseteq N_J\}$ . (ii)  $\mathcal{I}cl(M_J) = \cap \{N_J : N_J \text{ is } \mathcal{I}CS \text{ in } \check{X}_J \text{ \& } M_J \subseteq N_J\}$ .

**Definition 1.5 [2]** If  $(\check{X}_J, \tau_I)$  is an  $\mathcal{I}TS$  and a  $\mathcal{I}S$   $M_J$  is known as the  $\mathcal{I}g$ -closed set if  $\mathcal{I}cl(M_J) \subseteq U_J$  whenever  $M_J \subseteq U_J$  and  $U_J$  is  $\mathcal{I}OS$  in  $\check{X}_J$ . The  $\mathcal{I}g$ -open set in  $\check{X}_J$  is known as the  $\mathcal{I}g$ -closed set's counterpart.

**Definition 1.6 [2]** If  $(\check{X}_J, \tau_I)$  is an  $\mathcal{I}TS$  and  $M_J$  be a  $\mathcal{I}S$  in  $\check{X}_J$  then the definition of

- $\mathcal{I}g$ -closure of  $M_J$  is,  $\mathcal{I}cl^*(M_J) = \cap \{N_J : N_J \text{ is } \mathcal{I}g\text{-CS in } \check{X}_J \text{ \& } M_J \subseteq N_J\}$ .
- $\mathcal{I}g$ -interior of  $M_J$  is,  $\mathcal{I}int^*(M_J) = \cup \{N_J : N_J \text{ is } \mathcal{I}g\text{-OS in } \check{X}_J \text{ \& } M_J \supseteq N_J\}$ .

**Definition 1.7 [5,7]** If  $(\check{X}_J, \tau_I)$  is an  $\mathcal{I}TS$  and a  $\mathcal{I}S$   $M_J$  in  $\check{X}_J$  is known as the

- $\mathcal{I}PO$  set if  $M_J \subseteq \mathcal{I}int(\mathcal{I}cl(M_J))$ . The  $\mathcal{I}PC$  set in  $\check{X}_J$  is the  $\mathcal{I}PO$  set's counterpart.
- $\mathcal{I}P^*O$  set if  $M_J \subseteq \mathcal{I}int(\mathcal{I}cl^*(M_J))$ . The  $\mathcal{I}P^*C$  set in  $\check{X}_J$  is the  $\mathcal{I}P^*O$  set's counterpart.
- $\mathcal{I}R^*O$  set if  $M_J = \mathcal{I}int(\mathcal{I}cl^*(M_J))$ . The  $\mathcal{I}R^*C$  set in  $\check{X}_J$  is the  $\mathcal{I}R^*O$  set's counterpart.

**Definition 1.8 [5]** If  $(\check{X}_J, \tau_I)$  is an  $\mathcal{I}TS$  and a  $\mathcal{I}S$   $M_J$  in  $\check{X}_J$  is known as the  $\mathcal{I}P^*$ -regular set if it is both  $\mathcal{I}P^*O$  and  $\mathcal{I}P^*C$  set.

**Theorem 1.9 [5]** If  $(\check{X}_J, \tau_{IT})$  is an  $\mathcal{I}TS$  then,

- Every  $\mathcal{I}O$  set is  $\mathcal{I}P^*O$  set.
- Every  $\mathcal{I}C$  set is  $\mathcal{I}P^*C$  set.
- Every  $\mathcal{I}P^*O$  set is  $\mathcal{I}PO$  set.
- Every  $\mathcal{I}P^*C$  set is  $\mathcal{I}PC$  set.

- e) Every  $\mathcal{IR}^*O$  set is  $\mathcal{IP}^*O$  set.
- f) Every  $\mathcal{IR}^*C$  set is  $\mathcal{IP}^*C$  set.
- g) Arbitrary union of  $\mathcal{IP}^*O$  sets is  $\mathcal{IP}^*O$  set.
- h) Intersection of  $\mathcal{IP}^*C$  sets is  $\mathcal{IP}^*C$  set.

**Definition 1.10** Let  $(\check{X}_I, \tau_{IT})$  be an  $\mathcal{ITS}$ . Then  $(\check{X}_I, \tau_{IT})$  is called the

- a)  $\mathcal{I}$ - connected space if  $\check{X}_I$  cannot be expressed as the union of two disjoint nonempty  $\mathcal{IO}$  sets in  $\check{X}_I$ .
- b)  $\mathcal{IP}$ - connected space if  $\check{X}_I$  cannot be expressed as the union of two disjoint nonempty  $\mathcal{IPO}$  sets in  $\check{X}_I$ .
- c)  $\mathcal{IR}^*$ - connected space if  $\check{X}_I$  cannot be expressed as the union of two disjoint nonempty  $\mathcal{IR}^*O$  sets in  $\check{X}_I$ .

**Definition 1.11 [6,8]** Let  $f_J : \check{X}_J \rightarrow \check{Y}_J$  is said to be

- a)  $\mathcal{IP}^*$ - continuous map if  $f_J^{-1}(V_J)$  is  $\mathcal{IP}^*O$  set in  $\check{X}_J$  for every  $\mathcal{IO}$  set  $V_J$  in  $\check{Y}_J$ .
- b)  $\mathcal{IP}^*$ - irresolute map if  $f_J^{-1}(V_J)$  is  $\mathcal{IP}^*O$  set in  $\check{X}_J$  for every  $\mathcal{IP}^*O$  set  $V_J$  in  $\check{Y}_J$ .
- c) Contra  $\mathcal{IP}^*$ - continuous map if  $f_J^{-1}(V_J)$  is  $\mathcal{IP}^*C$  set in  $\check{X}_J$  for every  $\mathcal{IO}$  set  $V_J$  in  $\check{Y}_J$ .
- d) Contra  $\mathcal{IP}^*$ - irresolute map if  $f_J^{-1}(V_J)$  is  $\mathcal{IP}^*C$  set in  $\check{X}_J$  for every  $\mathcal{IP}^*O$  set  $V_J$  in  $\check{Y}_J$ .
- e)  $\mathcal{IP}^*$ - open map if  $f_J(V_J)$  is  $\mathcal{IP}^*O$  set in  $\check{Y}_J$  for every  $\mathcal{IO}$  set  $V_J$  in  $\check{X}_J$ .
- f)  $\mathcal{IP}^*$ - closed map if  $f_J(V_J)$  is  $\mathcal{IP}^*C$  set in  $\check{Y}_J$  for every  $\mathcal{IC}$  set  $V_J$  in  $\check{X}_J$ .
- g) Pre  $\mathcal{IP}^*$ - open map if  $f_J(V_J)$  is  $\mathcal{IP}^*O$  set in  $\check{Y}_J$  for every  $\mathcal{IP}^*O$  set  $V_J$  in  $\check{X}_J$ .
- h) Pre  $\mathcal{IP}^*$ - closed map if  $f_J(V_J)$  is  $\mathcal{IP}^*C$  set in  $\check{Y}_J$  for every  $\mathcal{IP}^*C$  set  $V_J$  in  $\check{X}_J$ .

**Theorem 1.12 [6]** Let  $f_J : \check{X}_J \rightarrow \check{Y}_J$  be a map then the followings are holds,

- a) Every  $\mathcal{IP}^*$ - Irresolute map is  $\mathcal{IP}^*$ - continuous map.
- b) Every Contra  $\mathcal{IP}^*$ - Irresolute map is Contra  $\mathcal{IP}^*$ - continuous map.

## 2. Intuitionistic Pre \* Connected Spaces

**Definition – 2.1.** Let  $(\check{X}_I, \tau_{IT})$  be an  $\mathcal{ITS}$ . Then  $(\check{X}_I, \tau_{IT})$  is called the  $\mathcal{IP}^*$ - disconnected if there exists an  $\mathcal{IP}^*O$  sets  $M_I \neq \check{\phi}_I$  and  $N_I \neq \check{\phi}_I$  such that  $M_I \cup N_I = \check{X}_I$  and  $M_I \cap N_I = \check{\phi}_I$ .

**Definition – 2.2.** Let  $(\check{X}_I, \tau_{IT})$  be an  $\mathcal{ITS}$ . Then  $(\check{X}_I, \tau_{IT})$  is called the  $\mathcal{IP}^*$ - connected if it is not an  $\mathcal{IP}^*$ - disconnected. (i.e),  $\check{X}_I$  cannot be expressed as the union of two disjoint nonempty  $\mathcal{IP}^*O$  sets in  $\check{X}_I$  is called the  $\mathcal{IP}^*$ - connected.

**Example – 2.3.** Let  $\check{X}_I = \{a_{xj}, b_{xj}, c_{xj}\}$ . Consider the  $\mathcal{IT}$ ,  $\tau_{IT} = \{\check{X}_I, \check{\phi}_I, \langle \check{X}_I, \{a_{xj}\}, \{b_{xj}\} \rangle, \langle \check{X}_I, \{b_{xj}\}, \{c_{xj}\} \rangle, \langle \check{X}_I, \{a_{xj}, b_{xj}\}, \phi \rangle, \langle \check{X}_I, \phi, \{b_{xj}, c_{xj}\} \rangle\}$  then  $\mathcal{IP}^*O(\check{X}_I) = \{\check{X}_I, \check{\phi}_I, \langle \check{X}_I, \phi, \{b_{xj}, c_{xj}\} \rangle, \langle \check{X}_I, \{a_{xj}, b_{xj}\}, \phi \rangle, \langle \check{X}_I, \{a_{xj}\}, \{b_{xj}\} \rangle, \langle \check{X}_I, \{b_{xj}\}, \{c_{xj}\} \rangle, \langle \check{X}_I, \{a_{xj}\}, \{b_{xj}, c_{xj}\} \rangle, \langle \check{X}_I, \{a_{xj}, b_{xj}\}, \{c_{xj}\} \rangle\}$ . Clearly  $(\check{X}_I, \tau_{IT})$  is  $\mathcal{IP}^*$ - connected space.

**Theorem – 2.4.** Let  $(\check{X}_I, \tau_{IT})$  be an  $\mathcal{ITS}$  then the followings are hold.

- a) Every  $\mathcal{IP}^*$ - connected is  $\mathcal{I}$ - connected.
- b) Every  $\mathcal{IP}^*$ - connected is  $\mathcal{IR}^*$ - connected.
- c) Every  $\mathcal{IP}$ - connected is  $\mathcal{IP}^*$ - connected.

**Proof: (a)** Let  $\check{X}_I$  be a  $\mathcal{IP}^*$ - connected. To prove,  $\check{X}_I$  is  $\mathcal{I}$ - connected. Suppose  $\check{X}_I$  is  $\mathcal{I}$ - disconnected then there exists nonempty disjoint  $\mathcal{IO}$  sets  $M_I$  and  $N_I$  such that  $\check{X}_I = M_I \cup N_I$ . Since  $M_I$  and  $N_I$  are  $\mathcal{IO}$  sets then  $M_I$  and  $N_I$  are  $\mathcal{IP}^*O$  sets. Therefore,  $\check{X}_I$  is  $\mathcal{IP}^*$ - disconnected. This is contradiction to our assumption. Hence  $\check{X}_I$  is  $\mathcal{I}$ - connected.

(b) Let  $\check{X}_J$  be a  $\mathcal{JP}^*$ - connected. To prove,  $\check{X}_J$  is  $\mathcal{JR}^*$ - connected. Suppose  $\check{X}_J$  is  $\mathcal{JR}^*$ - disconnected then there exists nonempty disjoint  $\mathcal{JR}^*\mathcal{O}$  sets  $M_J$  and  $N_J$  such that  $\check{X}_I = M \cup N$ . Since  $M_J$  and  $N_J$  are  $\mathcal{JR}^*\mathcal{O}$  sets then  $M_J$  and  $N_J$  are  $\mathcal{JP}^*\mathcal{O}$  sets. Therefore,  $\check{X}_J$  is  $\mathcal{JP}^*$ - disconnected. This is contradiction to our assumption. Hence  $\check{X}_J$  is  $\mathcal{JR}^*$ - connected.

(c) Let  $\check{X}_J$  be a  $\mathcal{JP}$ - connected. To prove,  $\check{X}_J$  is  $\mathcal{JP}^*$ - connected. Suppose  $\check{X}_J$  is  $\mathcal{JP}^*$ - disconnected then there exists nonempty disjoint  $\mathcal{JP}^*\mathcal{O}$  sets  $M_J$  and  $N_J$  such that  $\check{X}_I = M_J \cup N_J$ . Since  $M_J$  and  $N_J$  are  $\mathcal{JP}^*\mathcal{O}$  sets then  $M_J$  and  $N_J$  are  $\mathcal{JPO}$  sets. Therefore,  $\check{X}_J$  is  $\mathcal{JP}$ - disconnected. This is contradiction to our assumption. Hence  $\check{X}_J$  is  $\mathcal{JP}^*$ - connected.

The converse of the above theorems need not be true as shows in the following example.

**Example – 2.5.** Let  $\check{X}_J = \{a_{xj}, b_{xj}\}$ . Consider the  $\mathcal{JT}$ ,  $\tau_{JT} = \{\check{X}_I, \check{\phi}_I, \langle \check{X}_J, \{a_{xj}\}, \phi \rangle, \langle \check{X}_J, \{b_{xj}\}, \phi \rangle, \langle \check{X}_J, \phi, \phi \rangle\}$  then  $\mathcal{JP}^*\mathcal{O}(\check{X}_J) = \{\check{X}_I, \check{\phi}_I, \langle \check{X}_J, \{a_{xj}\}, \phi \rangle, \langle \check{X}_J, \{b_{xj}\}, \phi \rangle, \langle \check{X}_J, \phi, \phi \rangle, \langle \check{X}_J, \{a_{xj}\}, \{b_{xj}\} \rangle, \langle \check{X}_J, \{b_{xj}\}, \{a_{xj}\} \rangle\}$ . Clearly  $(X, \tau_{JT})$  is  $\mathcal{J}$ - connected space. But  $\langle \check{X}_J, \{a_{xj}\}, \{b_{xj}\} \rangle \neq \phi_I \neq \langle \check{X}_J, \{b_{xj}\}, \{a_{xj}\} \rangle$  and  $\langle \check{X}_J, \{a_{xj}\}, \{b_{xj}\} \rangle \cup \langle \check{X}_J, \{b_{xj}\}, \{a_{xj}\} \rangle = \check{X}_I$ . Also,  $\langle \check{X}_J, \{a_{xj}\}, \{b_{xj}\} \rangle \cap \langle \check{X}_J, \{b_{xj}\}, \{a_{xj}\} \rangle = \check{\phi}_I$ . Therefore,  $\check{X}_J$  is  $\mathcal{JP}^*$ - disconnected space.

**Example – 2.6.** In example – 2.5,  $\mathcal{JR}^*\mathcal{O}(\check{X}_J) = \{\check{X}_I, \check{\phi}_I, \langle \check{X}_J, \phi, \phi \rangle\}$ . Clearly,  $\check{X}_J$  is  $\mathcal{JR}^*$ - connected space but not a  $\mathcal{JP}^*$ - connected space.

**Example – 2.7.** Let  $\check{X}_J = \{a_{xj}, b_{xj}, c_{xj}\}$ . Consider the  $\mathcal{JT}$ ,  $\tau_{JT} = \{\check{X}_I, \check{\phi}_I, \langle \check{X}_J, \{a_{xj}\}, \{c_{xj}\} \rangle, \langle \check{X}_J, \{c_{xj}\}, \{a_{xj}, b_{xj}\} \rangle, \langle \check{X}_J, \{a_{xj}, c_{xj}\}, \phi \rangle\}$  then  $\mathcal{JP}^*\mathcal{O}(\check{X}_J) = \{\check{X}_I, \check{\phi}_I, \langle \check{X}_J, \{a_{xj}\}, \{c_{xj}\} \rangle, \langle \check{X}_J, \{a_{xj}\}, \{b_{xj}, c_{xj}\} \rangle, \langle \check{X}_J, \{c_{xj}\}, \{a_{xj}, b_{xj}\} \rangle, \langle \check{X}_J, \{a_{xj}, c_{xj}\}, \{b_{xj}\} \rangle, \langle \check{X}_J, \{a_{xj}\}, \phi \rangle, \langle \check{X}_J, \{a_{xj}, c_{xj}\}, \phi \rangle\}$  and  $\mathcal{JPO}(\check{X}_J) = \{\check{X}_I, \check{\phi}_I, \langle \check{X}_J, \phi, \phi \rangle, \langle \check{X}_J, \phi, \{b_{xj}\} \rangle, \langle \check{X}_J, \phi, \{c_{xj}\} \rangle, \langle \check{X}_J, \{b_{xj}\}, \{a_{xj}\} \rangle, \langle \check{X}_J, \{c_{xj}\}, \{b_{xj}\} \rangle, \langle \check{X}_J, \phi, \{a_{xj}, b_{xj}\} \rangle, \langle \check{X}_J, \phi, \{b_{xj}, c_{xj}\} \rangle, \langle \check{X}_J, \{a_{xj}\}, \{b_{xj}\} \rangle, \langle \check{X}_J, \{a_{xj}\}, \{c_{xj}\} \rangle, \langle \check{X}_J, \{b_{xj}, c_{xj}\}, \{a_{xj}\} \rangle, \langle \check{X}_J, \{a_{xj}\}, \phi \rangle, \langle \check{X}_J, \{b_{xj}\}, \phi \rangle, \langle \check{X}_J, \{a_{xj}\}, \{b_{xj}, c_{xj}\} \rangle, \langle \check{X}_J, \{c_{xj}\}, \phi \rangle, \langle \check{X}_J, \{c_{xj}\}, \{a_{xj}, b_{xj}\} \rangle, \langle \check{X}_J, \{a_{xj}, c_{xj}\}, \{b_{xj}\} \rangle, \langle \check{X}_J, \{a_{xj}, b_{xj}\}, \phi \rangle, \langle \check{X}_J, \{b_{xj}, c_{xj}\}, \phi \rangle, \langle \check{X}_J, \{a_{xj}, c_{xj}\}, \phi \rangle\}$ . Clearly,  $\check{X}_J$  is  $\mathcal{JP}^*$ - connected spaces. But,  $\langle \check{X}_J, \{a_{xj}\}, \{b_{xj}, c_{xj}\} \rangle \neq \check{\phi}_I \neq \langle \check{X}_J, \{b_{xj}, c_{xj}\}, \{a_{xj}\} \rangle$  and  $\langle \check{X}_J, \{a_{xj}\}, \{b_{xj}, c_{xj}\} \rangle \cup \langle \check{X}_J, \{b_{xj}, c_{xj}\}, \{a_{xj}\} \rangle = \check{X}_I$ . Also,  $\langle \check{X}_J, \{a_{xj}\}, \{b_{xj}, c_{xj}\} \rangle \cap \langle \check{X}_J, \{b_{xj}, c_{xj}\}, \{a_{xj}\} \rangle = \check{\phi}_I$ . Therefore,  $\check{X}_J$  is  $\mathcal{JP}$ - disconnected space.

**Theorem – 2.8.** An  $\mathcal{JTS} (\check{X}_J, \tau_{JT})$  has the only  $\mathcal{JP}^*\mathcal{O}$  and  $\mathcal{JP}^*\mathcal{C}$  sets are  $\check{\phi}_I$  and  $\check{X}_I$  itself then  $(\check{X}_J, \tau_{JT})$  is an  $\mathcal{JP}^*$ - connected.

**Proof:** Let  $\check{\phi}_I$  and  $\check{X}_I$  are both  $\mathcal{JP}^*\mathcal{O}$  and  $\mathcal{JP}^*\mathcal{C}$  sets in  $\check{X}_J$ . To prove,  $\check{X}_J$  is  $\mathcal{JP}^*$ - connected. Suppose  $\check{X}_J$  is  $\mathcal{JP}^*$ - disconnected then there exists nonempty disjoint  $\mathcal{JP}^*\mathcal{O}$  sets  $M_J$  and  $N_J$  such that  $\check{X}_I = M_J \cup N_J$ . Therefore,  $M_J = N_J^c$  is  $\mathcal{JP}^*\mathcal{C}$  set. Hence,  $M_J$  is both  $\mathcal{JP}^*\mathcal{O}$  and  $\mathcal{JP}^*\mathcal{C}$  set. This is contradiction to our assumption. Hence  $\check{X}_J$  is  $\mathcal{JP}^*$ - connected.

The converse of the above theorem need not be true as shows in the following example.

**Example – 2.9.** Let  $\check{X}_J = \{a_{xj}, b_{xj}\}$ . Consider the  $\mathcal{JT}$ ,  $\tau_{JT} = \{\check{X}_I, \check{\phi}_I, \langle \check{X}_J, \phi, \{b_{xj}\} \rangle, \langle \check{X}_J, \{b_{xj}\}, \phi \rangle\}$  then  $\mathcal{JP}^*\mathcal{O}(\check{X}_J) = \tau_{JT}$ . Clearly  $\check{X}_J$  is  $\mathcal{JP}^*$ - connected space but  $\langle \check{X}_J, \phi, \{b_{xj}\} \rangle$  is both  $\mathcal{JP}^*\mathcal{O}$  and  $\mathcal{JP}^*\mathcal{C}$  set in  $\check{X}_J$ .

**Theorem – 2.10.** Let  $(\check{X}_J, \tau_{JT})$  &  $(\check{Y}_J, \sigma_{JT})$  be two  $\mathcal{JTS}$  and  $f_J : (\check{X}_J, \tau_{JT}) \rightarrow (\check{Y}_J, \sigma_{JT})$  be surjection  $\mathcal{JP}^*$ - Continuous Map then  $\check{Y}_J$  is  $\mathcal{J}$ - connected if  $\check{X}_J$  is  $\mathcal{JP}^*$ - connected.

**Proof:** Suppose  $\check{Y}_J$  is  $\mathcal{J}$ - disconnected then there exists nonempty disjoint  $\mathcal{JO}$  sets  $M_J$  and  $N_J$  such that  $\check{Y}_I = M_J \cup N_J$ . Since  $f$  is  $\mathcal{JP}^*$ - continuous. Therefore,  $f_J^{-1}(M_J)$  and  $f_J^{-1}(N_J)$  are  $\mathcal{JP}^*\mathcal{O}$  sets in  $\check{X}_J$ . Since,  $M_J \neq \check{\phi}_I$  and  $N_J \neq \check{\phi}_I$  then  $f_J^{-1}(M_J) \neq \check{\phi}_I$  and  $f_J^{-1}(N_J) \neq \check{\phi}_I$ . Since,  $\check{Y}_I = M_J \cup N_J$ . Therefore,  $f_J^{-1}(\check{Y}_I) = \check{X}_I = f_J^{-1}(M_J) \cup f_J^{-1}(N_J)$ . Also,  $f_J^{-1}(M_J) \cap f_J^{-1}(N_J) = f_J^{-1}(M_J \cap N_J) = f_J^{-1}(\check{\phi}_I) = \check{\phi}_I$ . Therefore,  $\check{X}_J$  is  $\mathcal{JP}^*$ - disconnected. This is contradiction to our assumption. Hence  $\check{Y}_J$  is  $\mathcal{J}$ - connected.

**Corollary – 2.11.** Let  $(\check{X}_J, \tau_{IT})$  &  $(\check{Y}_J, \sigma_{IT})$  be two  $\mathcal{JTS}$  and  $f_J : (\check{X}_J, \tau_{IT}) \rightarrow (\check{Y}_J, \sigma_{IT})$  be surjection  $\mathcal{JP}^*$ - Irresolute Map then  $\check{Y}_J$  is  $\mathcal{J}$ - connected if  $\check{X}_J$  is  $\mathcal{JP}^*$ - connected.

**Proof:** Let  $f_J : (\check{X}_J, \tau_{IT}) \rightarrow (\check{Y}_J, \sigma_{IT})$  be a surjection  $\mathcal{JP}^*$ - Irresolute Map and  $\check{X}_J$  be an  $\mathcal{JP}^*$ - connected space. We know that, every  $\mathcal{JP}^*$ - irresolute map is  $\mathcal{JP}^*$ - continuous map then by theorem – 2.10,  $\check{Y}_J$  is  $\mathcal{J}$ - connected.

**Theorem – 2.12.** Let  $(\check{X}_J, \tau_{IT})$  &  $(\check{Y}_J, \sigma_{IT})$  be two  $\mathcal{JTS}$  and  $f_J : (\check{X}_J, \tau_{IT}) \rightarrow (\check{Y}_J, \sigma_{IT})$  be surjection  $\mathcal{JP}^*$ - Irresolute Map then  $\check{Y}_J$  is  $\mathcal{JP}^*$ - connected if  $\check{X}_J$  is  $\mathcal{JP}^*$ - connected.

**Proof:** Suppose  $\check{Y}_J$  is  $\mathcal{JP}^*$ - disconnected then there exists nonempty disjoint  $\mathcal{JP}^*O$  sets  $M_J$  and  $N_J$  such that  $\check{Y}_J = M_J \cup N_J$ . Therefore,  $M_J = N_J^c$  is  $\mathcal{JP}^*$ - regular set in  $\check{Y}_J$ . Since,  $f_J$  is  $\mathcal{JP}^*$ - irresolute map. Therefore,  $f_J^{-1}(M_J)$  is  $\mathcal{JP}^*$ - regular set in  $\check{X}_J$ . By theorem – 2.8,  $\check{X}_J$  is  $\mathcal{JP}^*$ - disconnected. This is contradiction to our assumption. Hence  $\check{Y}_J$  is  $\mathcal{JP}^*$ - connected.

**Theorem – 2.13.** Let  $(\check{X}_J, \tau_{IT})$  &  $(\check{Y}_J, \sigma_{IT})$  be two  $\mathcal{JTS}$  and  $f_J : (\check{X}_J, \tau_{IT}) \rightarrow (\check{Y}_J, \sigma_{IT})$  be injection Pre  $\mathcal{JP}^*$ - open and Pre  $\mathcal{JP}^*$ - closed Map then  $\check{X}_J$  is  $\mathcal{JP}^*$ - connected if  $\check{Y}_J$  is  $\mathcal{JP}^*$ - connected.

**Proof:** Suppose  $\check{X}_J$  is  $\mathcal{JP}^*$ - disconnected then there exists nonempty disjoint  $\mathcal{JP}^*O$  sets  $M_J$  and  $N_J$  such that  $\check{X}_J = M_J \cup N_J$ . Therefore,  $M_J = N_J^c$  is  $\mathcal{JP}^*C$  set. Hence,  $M_J$  is both  $\mathcal{JP}^*O$  and  $\mathcal{JP}^*C$  set in  $\check{X}_J$ . Since,  $f_J$  is both Pre  $\mathcal{JP}^*$ - open and Pre  $\mathcal{JP}^*$ - closed map. Therefore,  $f_J(M_J)$  is  $\mathcal{JP}^*$ - regular set in  $\check{Y}_J$ . By theorem – 2.8,  $\check{Y}_J$  is  $\mathcal{JP}^*$ - disconnected. This is contradiction to our assumption. Hence  $\check{X}_J$  is  $\mathcal{JP}^*$ - connected.

**Theorem – 2.14.** Let  $(\check{X}_J, \tau_{IT})$  &  $(\check{Y}_J, \sigma_{IT})$  be two  $\mathcal{JTS}$  and  $f_J : (\check{X}_J, \tau_{IT}) \rightarrow (\check{Y}_J, \sigma_{IT})$  be injection  $\mathcal{JP}^*$ - open and  $\mathcal{JP}^*$ - closed Map then  $\check{X}_J$  is  $\mathcal{J}$ - connected if  $\check{Y}_J$  is  $\mathcal{JP}^*$ - connected.

**Proof:** Suppose  $\check{X}_J$  is  $\mathcal{J}$ - disconnected then there exists nonempty disjoint  $\mathcal{JO}$  sets  $M_J$  and  $N_J$  such that  $\check{X}_J = M_J \cup N_J$ . Therefore,  $M_J = N_J^c$  is both  $\mathcal{JO}$  and  $\mathcal{JC}$  set in  $\check{X}_J$ . Hence,  $M_J$  is both  $\mathcal{JP}^*O$  and  $\mathcal{JP}^*C$  set in  $\check{X}_J$ . Since,  $f_J$  is both  $\mathcal{JP}^*$ - open and  $\mathcal{JP}^*$ - closed map. Therefore,  $f_J(M_J)$  is  $\mathcal{JP}^*$ - regular set in  $\check{Y}_J$ . By theorem – 2.8,  $\check{Y}_J$  is  $\mathcal{JP}^*$ - disconnected. This is contradiction to our assumption. Hence  $\check{X}_J$  is  $\mathcal{J}$ - connected.

**Theorem – 2.15.** Let  $(\check{X}_J, \tau_{IT})$  &  $(\check{Y}_J, \sigma_{IT})$  be two  $\mathcal{JTS}$  and  $f_J : (\check{X}_J, \tau_{IT}) \rightarrow (\check{Y}_J, \sigma_{IT})$  be onto Contra  $\mathcal{JP}^*$ - continuous Map then  $\check{Y}_J$  is  $\mathcal{J}$ - connected if  $\check{X}_J$  is  $\mathcal{JP}^*$ - connected.

**Proof:** Suppose  $\check{Y}_J$  is  $\mathcal{J}$ - disconnected then there exists nonempty disjoint  $\mathcal{JO}$  sets  $M_J$  and  $N_J$  such that  $\check{Y}_J = M_J \cup N_J$ . Therefore,  $M_J = N_J^c$  is both  $\mathcal{JO}$  and  $\mathcal{JC}$  set in  $\check{Y}_J$ . Since,  $f_J$  is Contra  $\mathcal{JP}^*$ - continuous map. Therefore,  $f_J^{-1}(M_J)$  is  $\mathcal{JP}^*$ - regular set in  $\check{X}_J$ . By theorem – 2.8,  $\check{X}_J$  is  $\mathcal{JP}^*$ - disconnected. This is contradiction to our assumption. Hence  $\check{Y}_J$  is  $\mathcal{J}$ - connected.

**Theorem – 2.16.** Let  $(\check{X}_J, \tau_{IT})$  &  $(\check{Y}_J, \sigma_{IT})$  be two  $\mathcal{JTS}$  and  $f_J : (\check{X}_J, \tau_{IT}) \rightarrow (\check{Y}_J, \sigma_{IT})$  be onto Contra  $\mathcal{JP}^*$ - Irresolute Map then  $\check{Y}_J$  is  $\mathcal{J}$ - connected if  $\check{X}_J$  is  $\mathcal{JP}^*$ - connected.

**Proof:** Let  $f_J : (\check{X}_J, \tau_{IT}) \rightarrow (\check{Y}_J, \sigma_{IT})$  be a Contra  $\mathcal{JP}^*$ - Irresolute Map. Therefore,  $f_J$  is Contra  $\mathcal{JP}^*$ - continuous map. Since,  $\check{X}_J$  be a  $\mathcal{JP}^*$ - connected space then by theorem – 2.10,  $\check{Y}_J$  is  $\mathcal{J}$ - connected.

### 3. Conclusion

We discussed the some of the properties of  $\mathcal{JP}^*$ - connected spaces in this paper. We intend to conduct research in the future  $\mathcal{JP}^* C_5$  – connected space,  $\mathcal{JP}^*$ - connected sets,  $\mathcal{JP}^*$  – compact space,  $\mathcal{JP}^*$  – normal space and so on.

### 4. References

1. D. Coker, ‘An Introduction to Intuitionistic Topological Spaces’, Busefal, 2000, vol. 81, 51 -56.

2. Esther Rathinakani, G and Navaneethakrishnan, M, 'A New Closure Operator in Intuitionistic Topological Spaces', Xi'an Shiyou Daxue Xuebao, Journal of Xi'an shiyou university, 2021, vol. 17, 473-476.
3. Esther Rathinakani, G and Navaneethakrishnan, M, 'A Study on Intuitionistic Semi \* Open Set', Design Engineering (Toronto), 2021, vol. 9, 5043-5049.
4. Esther Rathinakani, G and Navaneethakrishnan, M, 'On Intuitionistic Semi \* Continuous Functions', Ratio Mathematica, 2022, vol. 44, 65-75.
5. G. Sasikala and M. Navaneetha Krishnan, 'On Intuitionistic pre Open Sets', International Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics, 2017, vol, 116, 281-292.
6. L. Jeyasudha and K. Bala deepa arasi, 'Intuitionistic Pre \* Open Maps in Intuitionistic Topological Spaces', International Journal of Food and Nutritional Sciences, 2022, vol. 11, 16259-16269.
7. L. Jeyasudha and K. Bala deepa arasi "  $\mathcal{I}P^*$ - Open sets in Intuitionistic Topological Spaces", 2023 (communicated).
8. L. Jeyasudha and K. Bala deepa arasi  $\mathcal{I}P^*$ - Continuous maps in Intuitionistic Topological Spaces, 2023 (communicated).